



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>





600005317N

25 775





"I" \equiv $\mathbb{E}[X]$



BABYLON THE GREAT.

VOL. II.

LONDON :
PRINTED BY S. AND R. BENTLEY,
Dorset Street, Fleet Street.

BABYLON THE GREAT:

OR,

MEN AND THINGS

IN

THE BRITISH CAPITAL.

Βαβυλὼν ἡ μεγάλη, ἡ μῆτηρ τῶν πορνῶν καὶ βδελυγμάτων
τῆς γῆς.

SECOND EDITION, WITH ADDITIONS.

VOLUME II.

LONDON:

HENRY COLBURN, NEW BURLINGTON STREET.

1828.



CONTENTS

OF

THE SECOND VOLUME.

CHAPTER I.

THE LONDON PARTICULAR ELOQUENCE.—Common Council and doings there— <i>Eloquence not particular</i> , the Huntings—Time and Place—The Sub-way men, <i>et hoc genus omne</i>	Page 1
---	-----------

CHAPTER II.

BABYLONIAN LITERATURE.—Machinery—Sundry Schools —the Founders and Fellows thereof—Sentiment—Society for useful Knowledge	34
--	----

CHAPTER III.

THE PRESS &c.—General Sketch	71
--	----



600005317M



28.775





CHAPTER IV.

	Page
THE PRESS 6.—Disquisition—The Times	87

CHAPTER V.

THE PRESS 7.—The Herald—Police reporting—The Chronicle—The Post—The New Times—The Advertiser—The Ledger—The Courier—The Globe and Traveller—The British Traveller—The Sun—The Star	117
--	-----

CHAPTER VI.

THE SUNDAY PRESS.—The John Bull—The Examiner—The Observer—The Englishman—The Sunday Times	159
---	-----

CHAPTER VII.

THE HINDER-END OF THE PRESS.—Cobbet—Backslidings—Bones—The Outcasts	187
---	-----

CHAPTER VIII.

THE JOURNALS 8.—Lamentations—Mechanics' Magazine—The Literary Gazette—The Athenæum	203
--	-----

CHAPTER IX.

THE JOURNALS 9.—The Philosophy of the Moon—The London — The New Monthly — The Monthly — The Quarterly — The Westminster	226
---	-----

CHAPTER X.

BABYLONIAN EDUCATION.—Infant Schools—Schools for Ladies—For Youths—Foundation and Charity Schools— Business—Education—London University	257
--	------------

CHAPTER XI.

BABYLONIAN QUACKERY.—Disposing causes—Prostitution of the Press—Some classes of Quacks—Final feelings of the breed	296
---	------------



BABYLON THE GREAT.

CHAPTER I.

LONDON PARTICULAR ELOQUENCE,

AND

LONDON ELOQUENCE NOT VERY PARTICULAR. •

*vertice ad auras
Ætherias, tantum radici in Tartare tendit.*

VIRGIL.

ONE who is fonder of pleasing himself with the creations of his own wayward fancy, than of stating plain truths in plain words, would find delightful scope in laying hold of the opposite ends of things and circumstances in Babylon the Great, bringing them together, and pointing out the singular contrasts which they form to each other. Babylonian wealth is doubtless the greatest

of all wealth ; but Babylonian misery, which haply dwells at the next door, is the very extreme of human privation. Babylonian science is just as eagle-eyed as though there were not a single particle of charcoal in all her dingy atmosphere ; but Babylonian stupidity is just as obtuse as though the fogs of Boeotia formed her eternal mantle. Babylonian song is—or rather *was*, for it is long since all that was perishable of “ one John Milton, a schoolmaster,” died—is the most lofty and sublime that ever was heard in any land ; but Babylonian poetry—*vide* the whole drove of her rhyming sons and daughters—is the sorriest stuff that ever unretentive brains, or skulls leaky because cracked, dribbled upon the world. Babylonian honesty approaches remarkably near to perfection, and though it be a piece of mechanism, it is a piece of mechanism which is very beautiful and very lasting ; but no where, perchance, in the world, is there to be found so organised a system of fraud and deceit, or a system played in so many parts or by so many performers.

The beautiful similitude of the image, under which the empire of the ancient Babylon was presented to the dreaming monarch of that great city, applies as well to Babylon the Great, as if it had been whispered into the ear of the Lord Mayor instead of that of Nebuchadnezzar—“ Thou, O

King, sawest and beheld a great image. This great image, whose brightness was excellent, stood before thee, and the form thereof was terrible. This image's head was of fine gold, his breast and his arms of silver, his belly and his thighs of brass, his legs of iron, his feet part of iron and part of clay."

Now, in all the four elements of which the manageable and describable part of the Great Babylon is composed—in that which is, that which is spoken, that which is written, and that which is done, within her ample circumference, throughout her countless divisions, and among her innumerable people, it is always easy to trace the component parts of the Chaldean image: there is always the head of gold—showy and valuable, but withal exceedingly heavy; there are the breast and the arms of silver—the desire of Mammon, and the means of grasping at and attaining him; there are the belly and thighs of brass, not merely in obtrusive colour and ceaseless sound, but literally, and without any figure at all, it demands that a part of the human body kept so incessantly at work as the Babylonian bowels should have the strength and insensibility of brass; there are the legs of iron; and when one comes to the very lowest extremity, the miry clay begins to predominate over that—so that, if the meanest of all the

Babylonian elements be taken, one may almost regard it as in the act of passing into those bricks of which the external Babylon is composed.

In some chapters of the former Volume, I have attempted to remove so much of the obscurity from the gold and the silver of speeches and speaking men, as to enable my readers to form a sort of judgment as to what they are like. I have done this hastily, and in a manner somewhat different from that in which such things are usually attempted to be done; but I have done it without prejudice on my part, in the hope that it will be so taken and received on the part of others. This having been done, I have only now to perform the briefer task of examining the brass, the iron, and the clay, in order to complete my likeness of the great image of Babylonian eloquence.

Those three component parts of the image are to be found chiefly in the Hall of the Common Council, and at the public meetings of the people for political purposes. Not that these are the only flood-gates by which the Great Babylon lets out her din; for there are many others which partake largely of the brass, the iron, and the clay, and not a few in which lead is substituted for the second of those substances. There are political and charitable dinner-parties, at which a great deal is said, and said in a style which is truly Babylonian; and

there are little knots of ladies and gentlemen, calling themselves and each other literary—professing to touch the candle of art at the flame, and “hold the eel of science by the tail,” who dribble out their physical tea and their metaphysical nothings at the return of the week or of the month. As these, however, are mere efflorescences upon the Babylonian surface—as they go deep into nothing—as they have no effect whatever upon the opinions either of the Babylonians or of the world generally, they may be left in their own harmless tranquillity: for, however wretched may be the dinner oratory, the dinner itself and the purpose for which it is intended are often both very good; and while the fluttering insects, the ambition of whose lives it is to spin their filmy goasamer of slender verse or more slender prose, are engaged in comparing their cobwebs, they are very happy among themselves, and, for the time, very gently withdrawn from that world to which, according to their own estimate, they are so ornamental—and so useless according to the estimate of others.

When I say that the brass, the iron, and the clay, with here and there a little, or rather not a little, of lead, are the materials out of which the fag end of Babylonian eloquence is in general manufactured; I must not be understood as attempting to undervalue either those component parts, or

the compound which they form. Of all metallic substances, brass has ever made the greatest noise in the world, and whether it be elevated on spire, or gallows, or hustings, it always both draws and lengthens the ears of the multitude; furthermore, it is the only metal which becomes the more valuable the greater the quantity of rust and dirt with which it is encrusted. Iron, again, is the most cutting, and the most susceptible both of edge and of polish, although naturally it be the most rough and ungainly. As for lead, it is well remarked by Dr. Arbuthnot, that “ though it be the most dull and sluggish of all metals, yet it is the quickest in falling into fusion;” and upon this account it is the best emblem of that tide of feeling and opinion in public meetings, which makes them alternately praise and pelt the brass which is sounding, or the iron which is slashing, in order to win their applause; and as to the clay, it is the most passive and plastic of all substances—may be moulded into vessels of any form or for any use,—and therefore there cannot possibly be a fitter emblem of those passions of the crowd upon which the brazen thighs and iron limbs of speech-making attempt to trample the prints of themselves. From these explanations, I trust I shall be exonerated of all attempt at the depreciation of that which is supposed to constitute the bold, manly, and universal

opinion of the crowded thousands of the British metropolis.—which passes current through the provinces as the real coin of that opinion, but which is, in reality, neither more nor less than the assertion of one or two very forward, but in general very shallow and not over-principled persons, who, as there appears to be no means of getting anything like one fixed opinion from half-a-million of men, (and when double that number of women and children are added, the hope is by no means rendered greener,) generously, or at least gratuitously, palm an opinion upon them.

When one hears of the greatness of the City of London, and when one sees its wealth and its state; one very naturally supposes that its sentiments,—as delivered by the chosen men who do not wear but are its livery, embodied in the form of petitions upon skins of calves, carried up in gilded coaches by shrives who are yearning for Knighthood,—would have more of the essence of wisdom and the efficiency of power in them than any other sentiments whatever. It would naturally be supposed that the voice of the greatest Corporation of this greatest of cities, brought forward as it is by a weight and a pomp peculiar to itself, would be something to which Senates would listen, and by which Kings would be guided; and if the said Corporation could contrive to hold its meetings in Cornwall

or Caithness, so that its orators might have no critical eyes upon them, and then parade its gaudy equipages the breadth or the length of the Island, there is not a doubt but the efficiency of its sayings would be augmented. A visit to Guildhall upon a high political day, when the trumpets are to be sounded and the blunderbusses fired off there, enables a person to form a wonderfully correct estimate of the metaphysical influence of that which physically seems so great ; and from the lesson which one there learns, one is left in doubt as to whether any specific effect would be produced either upon the practices of Government or the progress of society, although the Guildhall of London, and those who do their elocution therein, were snugly and securely entombed in the abutments and piers of London Bridge. It is not, indeed, of the nature of Corporations generally, nor is there any thing in the structure of the Corporation of London to make it an exception, that they should either be of much use or held in much estimation at the present day : they belong to times of darkness; and in as far as they confer greater facilities, either of speaking or of dining, than are possessed by men generally, they fatten the body corporate till it be too gross and unwieldy for active exertion, and they at the same time starve the nation. In as far as the said Corporation acts as a police

magistracy, it may be useful, though not nearly so useful as another description of establishment that could be supported for a very small portion of the expense; but as it exists, and acts in levying taxes for Corporation purposes and expending them with Corporation judgment and taste, why, really, if its members were not all so very wealthy and so very worshipful in their own persons, the machine itself would be little better than a nuisance. It may be said, and I am sure I have no objection to the saying of it, that the facilities given to the great Corporation and the small Companies of the Babylon, for procuring the most expensive, and at the same time the ugliest barges, and coaches, and statues—their power and their will of feasting themselves and their wives and daughters in the great Hall of Gog—and their freedom to hold forth in the Council Chamber, and to prevent those who have not undergone a certain bondage, or have not money, to purchase the power of earning their bread by the labour of their own hands, are chartered and vested rights—rights which are immutable and imprescriptable. To those who enjoy them, they no doubt are so, and so are all exclusive privileges and possessions; but there are cases in which other men call them by another name.

But the morale of the Babylonian Senate is a matter which scarcely any one, even of its own

end, upon the middle of which sits the metropolitan King, of giant dimensions, and having at his side his conscience-keeper, that tip-top of all wisdom, the Recorder, to whom belongs the glorious privilege of seeing that justice is done to fetters, to exile, and to the gallows, within the Great Babylon and the liberties thereof. You look at the odd physiognomy of this dignitary, and you are perfectly at a loss to ascertain by what strange whirl of the Epicurean atoms—I beg their pardon, for though they are Epicurean, they are any thing but atoms—such an article should have been brought to such an use. The most probable theory is a desire to take advantage of the contrast which the legal adviser makes with the substantial breadth of that personage whom it is his province legally to advise,—just as a giant used to borrow altitude from the manikin that dangled at his side, as a Spanish beauty shines in consequence of the ugliness of her Duenna, as Gog and Magog look formidable among the wool-pack chiselings of Bubb, or, better and more appropriate still, as Alderman Wood seems a very wise man, and Alderman Waithman a very elegant and eloquent one, when they stand overtopping the Common Councils like a brace of Sauls—albeit no wise intent upon seeking their fathers' asses. “Wisdom,” saith the wise man, “is justified in her children;” and it were a hard

matter indeed, if the more soft and safe personage were not to be equally justified in her adopted, especially when the act of adoption can be clearly established as belonging to her.

Upon the right hand of the Civic Speaker sit those substantial Aldermen, whose very look tells you that they are satisfied with things as they are, and upon his left the solemn W and the sonorous W, [the Babylonians hold the W at so great value, in consequence, no doubt, of the Walworths, the Whittingtons, the Woods, and the Waithmans, that the half of it passes current in the City, for as much as the whole does any where else,] the one looking wisdom of unfathomable depth, and the other acuteness of incomprehensible point. The men of the City crowd the middle space, and the idle and industrious apprentices squeeze themselves into the pews below the bar,—the former, doubtless, to be warned from wickedness by the scare-crow, and the latter to be roused to emulation by the most worthy and most worshipful successor of Whittington.

You are now in a very wonderful place, and if you have ears you must prepare to lend them; for the tongues of Babel are about to be let loose,—Bow-bell is to ring, not in the steeple at Cheapside, but upon the apex of the wise men of the East. It comes not with calm preparation, as you observe

it in the Lords, nor in grim anxiety, as it was watched for in the Commons : it appears to creep along the ground like the odour of the *Grotto del Cane*, for it seizes upon the pillars which support the supporters of the City's independence, and the floor of the Hall rocks and rattles to the nether eloquence of the Livery ; and the Chaldeans upon the one hand, and the Soothsayers on the other, are enveloped in a cloud of most appropriate though somewhat portentous dust. This is as it should be : your animals of smaller lineaments and less effective bite are continually on the alert, but your lion roars not—rouses not himself, unless you stir him up ; and from this rousing call, by which life and metal are not put into the civic assembly, but made to come out of it, is one of the happiest devices that I ever witnessed in operation, and, like the fair dealings and feasts of the City, deserves to be brought into general use. While the gouty ankle of some ancient representative of Portsoken is treading out the last flourish of the reveille, and the attendants drawing up the ventilator to give passage to the dust, the broad, bright, and bland head of Mr. Favell rises above the clouds, with much of the majesty, but none of the coldness, of a political Chimboräço—or rather like the moon over the substantial vapour of the Thames, in those stilly and substantial nights when London is

shrouded in the mantle of her own smoke. The figure is so perfect that words cannot add to it, and so the speech, which is neither short nor straight, leaves upon your mind an impression that Mr. Favell is as good a man and as good an orator as any of the London particular—that he could transport the former quality to any place whatever; but that the latter is so heavy, and of such home use, that it could not be removed without the bills of mortality. Mr. James replies to the worthy orator. Of him you know nothing, saving that he is “James the less;” and so the less you hear or speak of his rhetorical powers the better.

The bland Favell and the gentle James form but the beginning of the display; and in the Galloways, the Welshes, and the Crockers, the fabric of the King’s English is in constant danger of breaking down, while the call to “order” is answered only by greater confusion both of tongue and of feet, which crowds, and thickens, and hurries, till both sides of the Hall and both ends of the members mingle into one mighty din,—a din which no powers can stop, and no ear of common dimensions can receive; and so you grasp your head between your hands, and wait till the settling dust lets you know that it has exhausted itself.

This confusion, by which the question originally propounded to the meeting is entirely lost and you

are utterly confounded, appears to have no effect upon certain Members of the Corporation. The Lord Mayor looks as dignified and the Recorder as wise as ever ; and Mr. Alderman Waithman and Mr. Samuel Dixon make a contemporaneous effort to rise, but the Common Councilman of his own accord gives place to the *quondam* Mayor, who advances toward the brass railing in a very formidable manner, and looks down upon the Commoners toward the left with a look which seems to say, “ I have made this assembly a school of eloquence with which there is nothing comparable.” Waithman is a shrewd man ; and, though somewhat egotistical even in that capacity, he is a very effective police magistrate ; but what should have induced him to take upon himself the burden of general politics, and the profession of an orator, it will take you a long while, or it will take you no time at all, to discover. He may be well-skilled in the routine of his Wardmote, and his may be the logic and the elocution which are efficient therenay, in the Common Council he is second to none of them in what seem to be their most appropriate gifts and exercises ; but among orators of any other school, and statesmen of any school at all, the Alderman would not be able to work his way. No doubt, he looks a man of the most terrible powers : there is an argument at every angle of his most angular

visage, a curious solecism in the twist of his mouth; and, when he darts his eye towards Dixon, his regular opponent, the head of the latter would be fairly transfix'd, were it not that the angry fire glides off from his smooth face, or is reflected back from the discs of his spectacles. Waithman's speech is full of assertion and full of figures, and he hurls them this way and that way with a vast deal of action and animation; but every javelin that he throws has a string to it, by which it is drawn back, and the whole are made in the end to conduce to the self-importance, and minister to the self-complacency, of him by whom they are hurled. He is by no means the most portly personage in the assembly, and few will think that he is the most comely to look upon; but I have seldom seen a man who made himself more constantly the idol of his own adoration. So true a worshipper has he been in this way, that his better sense has been a martyr to his faith, and Alderman Waithman, by sitting under his own shadow, has prevented himself from seeing and being warned by many things, which, however they might have affected his opinion of himself, would not have lessened his wisdom in that of the world. Standing there like the iron, and grasping the brass, he affects to reverse the image, and look down upon the gold and silver as if they were the miry clay. One would

excuse him for dealing somewhat of a hard measure to Mr. Dixon, because the spectacles of that gentleman are shield enough against the eloquence of the iron orator; but though he were fifty Lord Mayors, a hundred head of Aldermen, and ten thousand of the most eloquent in the Common Council, one cannot, unless upon the oblique theory of that being an acknowledgment of their merits and a compliment to them, or upon the direct theory of the lean wolf's privilege to bay the luminaries, allow him to school the foremost statesmen of the time. They may be wrong—sometimes they are wrong—but Mr. Alderman Waithman is not exactly the person to set them right. Still, however, he may have his use,—just as the barking of a little dog, though it could not of itself save the property, may arouse the watchmen.

Mr. Waithman carries the oratory upon his side as far as it will go,—so far indeed, that nobody upon the other cares for replying to it, unless it be him, who during the violence of it was ensconced behind the spectacles—the aforesigned Mr. Samuel Dixon, who may be considered as the smooth whetstone by which edge is given to the scissars, the knife, or whatever else one may choose to call the grand cutting-tool of London particular eloquence. Mr. Dixon rises without any of the arrogance of a regular orator, and he displays none of the qualities which frighten you

in the Alderman. His speech puts you very much in mind of a house-wife's reticule, containing gold, and gloves, and memorandums, and handkerchiefs, and an endless variety of things,—all of which appear to have been put into it with such house-wife hurry, that you can never discover what shall come next ; and the gold, which, just as is the case with a reticule, is neither the most abundant nor the most bulky article, comes rattling out when it is certainly not expected, and probably not intended. No words, however, can do justice to this London particular. The knowledge which is known in the Common Council, is so peculiar and so intuitive—the wit is so different from that which bears the same name among mankind generally—the logic is strung together after so curious a fashion, and conclusions so sprout out of premises, which appear not only to belong to other genera of things, but to be utterly addle and barren,—that the eloquence which is so effective there, cannot be poured into, or carried away by, the common clay pitcher of the human understanding ; and therefore he who would either understand it, or demonstrate that it cannot be understood—for between these the balance of justice wavest—must repair to Guildhall himself.

One who has examined for himself “the London particular oratory,” and who has thence been

enabled to judge in how far the counsellings of that Corporation, or rather of the two or three by whom that Corporation are “drawn up and drilled,” are useful to the world, will next, in order to complete his analysis of the spoken part of that which is supposed to constitute the Babylonian public mind, wish to examine that eloquence which is not so very particular,—those substances which float upon the surface of the crowd when its waves are up and its mass in motion; and for this purpose a meeting in Covent Garden Market will serve just as well as a meeting any where else.

Those meetings constitute the feet and toes of the Babylonian eloquence, and, like them of the image, they are truly of iron mixed with miry clay,—those who plan and who hold forth having all the stubborn qualities of the one, and those who are led and who listen having the yielding and plastic nature of the other. I say, those who plan and those who hold forth; because, in the management of so very fluctuating a thing as a miscellaneous mass of people, brought together without union either of principle or of purpose, and who come to do that which they cannot understand, who from curiosity come to do nothing, or who from another principle come to do that which they understand but will not confess, it would not do, if the secret arrangements and the open speech-making were

vested in the same person. In all places, such as Westminster, where that which is called the voice of the majority of the householders is supposed to be worth any thing, there always is a set of persons by whom that voice is appropriated, and who manage it for the avowed glory of certain automata that they set up—and haply for their own real aggrandisement and emolument. The persons who have the cupidity, or the vanity, or the ambition, or the cunning, or the meanness, or the virtue, or the vice, or whatever else it may be, to make this appropriation, and procure and manage the tools necessary for its preservation, must have a character for wisdom which no man of their dimensions could keep, if he were to make speeches before the crowd, and subject himself to accidents from the reason or the raillery of opponents. The curious way in which those who, among men of information and orderly conduct, are always effective, are foiled by the fluctuating turns of chance at public meetings, shows that the chain by which the populace can be held together, and drawn in any particular direction for any great length of time, must be both a strong and a secret one; and if it were worth while to trace the curious machinery by which the free suffrages of even the Westminster electors are enslaved,—how they begin at two or three masters of oracular monosyllables—spread

end, upon the middle of which sits the metropolitan King, of giant dimensions, and having at his side his conscience-keeper, that tip-top of all wisdom, the Recorder, to whom belongs the glorious privilege of seeing that justice is done to fetters, to exile, and to the gallows, within the Great Babylon and the liberties thereof. You look at the odd physiognomy of this dignitary, and you are perfectly at a loss to ascertain by what strange whirl of the Epicurean atoms—I beg their pardon, for though they are Epicurean, they are any thing but atoms—such an article should have been brought to such an use. The most probable theory is a desire to take advantage of the contrast which the legal adviser makes with the substantial breadth of that personage whom it is his province legally to advise,—just as a giant used to borrow altitude from the manikin that dangled at his side, as a Spanish beauty shines in consequence of the ugliness of her Duenna, as Gog and Magog look formidable among the wool-pack chiselings of Bubb, or, better and more appropriate still, as Alderman Wood seems a very wise man, and Alderman Waithman a very elegant and eloquent one, when they stand overtopping the Common Councils like a brace of Sauls—albeit no wise intent upon seeking their fathers' asses. “Wisdom,” saith the wise man, “is justified in her children;” and it were a hard

matter indeed, if the more soft and safe personage were not to be equally justified in her adopted, especially when the act of adoption can be clearly established as belonging to her.

Upon the right hand of the Civic Speaker sit those substantial Aldermen, whose very look tells you that they are satisfied with things as they are, and upon his left the solemn W and the sonorous W, [the Babylonians hold the W at so great value, in consequence, no doubt, of the Walworths, the Whittingtons, the Woods, and the Waithmans, that the half of it passes current in the City, for as much as the whole does any where else,] the one looking wisdom of unfathomable depth, and the other acuteness of incomprehensible point. The men of the City crowd the middle space, and the idle and industrious apprentices squeeze themselves into the pews below the bar,—the former, doubtless, to be warned from wickedness by the scare-crow, and the latter to be roused to emulation by the most worthy and most worshipful successor of Whittington.

You are now in a very wonderful place, and if you have ears you must prepare to lend them; for the tongues of Babel are about to be let loose,—Bow-bell is to ring, not in the steeple at Cheapside, but upon the apex of the wise men of the East. It comes not with calm preparation, as you observe

mean time, as I may have occasion to do it afterwards, if time and olfactory apparatus will bear me out, in the analysis of a certain school, which, though it have disciples in all countries, has its chief seat, and perhaps had its first (British) origin, in the Babylon.

Leaving the machinery by which the meetings for the eloquence which is not particular are organized, I may sum up in a single page the aspect and the utility of that eloquence itself. For this purpose, imagine that leave has been asked and granted of the High Bailiff of Westminster—that hustings have been erected in front of St. Paul's, Covent Garden—that the cabbages have been removed, except a sweet garnish of leaves in front of the stage of display—that the waggons have been drawn up in treble line, each crammed with shilling and eighteen-penny spectators—that the tops of the adjoining booths have received their complement—and that all the interstices are wedged with those whose object it is to see and to hear, and also with not a few who hope to exercise their fingers in the more profitable exercise of touching,—imagine this; and furthermore imagine that it is Parliament-time, and that the portable Henry Grey Bennet, and those fail-me-nevers, Messrs. George Byng and Peter Moore, have come in the hope of disburthening themselves of that eloquence whereof

they are unable to give to the wind within the walls of St. Stephen's. Burdett and Hobhouse, ushered in by the chief men of the committee, take their station in front of the hustings; and all goes bravely on until the opening speech has been spoken by some privileged person, and the resolutions are read, and about to be carried by general acclamation. Here a disturbing force drives its way; and as much agitation and heat is produced among the multitude, as by a bullock in a china-shop, a fire-brand among the dry stubble, or any other restless and resistless thing in a situation where it is not expected. Henry Hunt, the Katerfelto of the crowd, the Emperor of all rabble conjurors in matters political, shows his broad face and waves his broad hat amid the astonished regulars, and over the aroused populace. The confusion is tremendous,—the cries "Off! off!" "Hunt for ever!" "Shame! shame!" and "Bravo Hunt!" frighten the rats to the lowest cellar in the hundreds of Drury. No matter which cry may be predominant: against those tides of popular opposition Henry Hunt is a rock of adamant. He stands in the imperturbable confidence of his own face, and the experience that, by one or two drops of that oil which ever stills the swell of such a meeting's indignation, he will have it all his own way. He drops it on with wonderful adroitness; so

that in one minute, they who were clamouring against him toss up their caps in his behalf. Even you must allow that there is in this man that talent which can command, not the understanding or the conviction, but the momentary passions and the momentary impulse, of a crowd. Of the principles of politics you can see that he knows nothing, and he does not look as if he cared much for the results; but he seems to have sounded the waters of such places, and to know their depth and their current. His language is broad, and even vulgar, and it is delivered without order or concatenation; but Hunt uses his eyes, and moves with the movements of those to whom he is addressing himself with astonishing nicety and truth. There is a certain degree of boldness in assertion—an apparent daring of being contradicted—which gives to vague assertion much of the effect of well established truth, and stands a speaker, whose audience is any thing but select, in better stead than the most comprehensive knowledge and the most tasteful and felicitous expression. He only is a happy orator, who is happy to time and circumstances; and under many disadvantages, both in report and reality, Henry Hunt shows that he possesses this happiness. Even upon public and political grounds—the only grounds which you think of when a man stands upon the hustings and addresses a public

meeting, you cannot bring yourself to love or even to respect this Mr. Hunt ; but still, such is the impressible nature of the clay upon which he is playing the potter, that he is able to confound all the deep doings of the organizers, and turns the business of the day into an absolute farce.

In the doing of this, he is indeed merely momentary ; for when the orators, for whose benefit apparently the meeting has been collected, come to return thanks for the thanks that are given them, they take vengeance upon Hunt ; the unstable tide returns to that channel which has been delved out for it by the laborious spademen of the committee, and he, who so shortly before succeeded with applause, is hissed, and it may be pelted, off the hustings and away from the meeting.

Orators of this description, who thrust themselves forward as the champions of that liberty which they do not understand, and of that people whom they cannot serve, not only subject themselves to many vicissitudes, but do more harm to the cause of rational freedom, and indeed of every thing worthy of being called freedom, than its direct and avowed enemies. Even though they were as well informed and sincere, as their conduct in general proves them to be ignorant and oblique, the way in which they go to work would prevent their doing even the smallest good ; and it is utterly

impossible to conceive a state of society which could be benefited by the harangues of mob-orators. If, indeed, times were desperate—if the fabric of society were so far broken down, as that momentary impulses of crowds were the governing principle, then mob-orators, if not very useful, would become very influential personages; but when society is still so entire, as that sufficient power is in the hands of the acknowledged and constitutional Government, then the mob-orator, by directly stirring up the people against that, and thereby tempting or forcing it to a re-action against the people, invariably makes matters worse. The time of the populace is wasted, their minds are inflamed, their attention is withdrawn from their proper pursuits, the heedless and the mischievous among them are apt to say foolish things and do wicked ones, and the consequence invariably has been, a loss of liberty very nearly proportional to the clamour that was made for an accession of it—or rather, in exact proportion to the quantity of time and industry and good sense lost by the people.

If a change for the better, either in the dynasty or general constitution of a country, or of the practical details, is to be made, it must be made among the people—in their general information and habits, and not by tumultuous assemblies, and exhibitions of declamation and invective. When the system

is such, as that it allows the people to acquire knowledge and act in private life upon the acquisition, the real government will always be vested in the people; and if men generally be taught to know what freedom is, and to respect it as such, there is no power on earth that can enslave them. Whoever, therefore, has an honest desire to keep the practice of the English Government, or of any other Government, up to the intelligence of the age, will be careful not to encourage any of those meetings, which show how irresolute and unstable a thing an unreflecting populace is: and whoever wishes to see the darknesses of a Government cleared up, the decays repaired, and a vigorous and improving condition brought about, will aim, not at stirring up the passions of mankind—no, nor at propagating any favourite theory of his own, but at the general diffusion of education—the putting of men in such a state of mental activity and vigilance, as that they shall not be misled by politicians of any sort. If the people are to have active political strength' it must be the strength of mind, so informed and so expanded, as that no designing man shall be able to turn it against themselves. "Knowledge is power," and therefore, if it is wished that there should be power in the people, give them knowledge, or rather let them be so placed as to acquire it for themselves; for, after all, knowledge conned by

rote, whether it be political or of any other kind, does not deserve the name. The human intellect resembles the diamond : you may polish it ; but if you attempt to paint it, you dim its lustre and destroy or conceal its value.

But if promiscuous meetings for the purpose of listening to the language of those that are more noisy than wise be, under any circumstances, of a very doubtful character, there is surely no redeeming trait in those of Babylon the Great, for collected as her crowds are from all parts of the country and of the world, lost to the salutary ties of relationship and connexion and the necessity of keeping up a character as many of them necessarily are, and disposed to mischief as are a good many others, their assembling together cannot conduce to edification, and therefore it can have no beneficial result. Indeed, its influence must tend the other way ; because the opinion which is held up to the world as being their opinion, is but too often the mere dictum of the most impudent, and therefore the most worthless person, who thrusts himself forward upon the hustings ; and did they, who attend such places more for amusement than for any thing else, take time to reflect that they are giving importance by making themselves mentally slaves, to a man of whose acquaintance they would be

ashamed in private life, it is probable that they would take a course somewhat different.

In times of peace and prosperity, when every man finds employment and maintenance, and when the majority entertain the cheering hope that their own condition shall be bettered, and form plans to carry into execution that most patriotic and reformatory of all wishes—that their children shall be wiser and better men than themselves, your public meetings become few, and your mob-orators fall into ridicule or sink into neglect. A healthy state of the body politic throws them off; and it is only when public prosperity is languid and diseased, that they make their appearance. The vicissitudes of Henry Hunt, and of others who, like Henry Hunt, attempted, or pretended to attempt, the repairing of that of the nature of which they were wholly ignorant, is no bad illustration of the truth of these remarks—remarks which I have been induced to make, not because I either need or desire to crouch to persons girt with authority—not because I prefer any thing, even leaning toward unnecessary restraint, to that freedom of opinion and of action without which a nation, govern them as you will, would be a mean and grovelling herd; but because I love liberty, and regret to see her fair form stained by bungling and dirty hands, and her sacred name

profaned by lips which are not pure. No man enjoying that inheritance, which the very fact of being born a Briton—of having moral and intellectual room in which his faculties, of whatsoever kind they may be, may develope themselves, and in which his talents, whatever may be their nature, may have free scope for exercise—confers,—can, unless corruption has mildewed him to the very core, sit down in calmness and retirement, and write that he wishes to see that freedom, that room for action, that privilege of thought, which, whatever he is, have made him what he is—ay, and which in most, if not in all cases, might have made him somewhat better—diminished by the smallest tittle. No; so far from it, he must not only wish, but do all that in him lies, to purify its nature and enlarge its magnitude. But, in setting about this honestly and aright, he will inquire of himself what is the original cause of one man being either physically or mentally the slave of another; and, as he cannot fail to discover that, in England at least, the progress of civilization has put an end to the slavery of mere brute or brutal force, he will learn that the only remaining shackle is that which enchains the mind in ignorance, and against this his efforts will be directed,—so that himself and his countrymen may acquire more of the forms of freedom, by possessing in their own minds more of the reality.

Far be it from me to desire that the voice of the people should be stifled !—It is a glorious voice ; it is a voice which not only keeps things right at home, but speaks in the soft words of peace to the friends of freedom, and in the loudest thunder to her enemies, from one end of the earth to another ; and statesmen at home, and kings and lords far distant, learn to be vigilant, because the people of England have an eye which never sleeps, a tongue which not the earth itself could bribe to silence, and an arm which no combination, that made darkness its covering or despotism its shield, could resist. But such meetings as those that are planned and conducted by the persons, and in the manner, that I have mentioned—of which any one who chooses to waste time upon it may judge for himself, are not the people of England ; and the voice which rends the idle air, while the brazen puppet demolishes alike the structure of reason and of language, is not the voice of the people of England. That voice is too magnificent in its dimensions, and too refined in its essence, for being uttered even by the most learned, the most patriotic, and the most eloquent of her ornaments ; and therefore it would be madness to exact it from those who are in themselves mere hurts and stains.

CHAPTER II.

BABYLONIAN LITERATURE.

I suppose that even the world itself could not contain the books that should be written.

THE BIBLE.

IF he by whom those words were written, expressed his apprehension of the inability of the whole world to contain the books which the slow processes of original thought and manual penmanship could have produced upon the single subject to which he alludes, how much more would he have wondered to find the world not only containing but purchasing, and probably reading, the thousands and ten thousands—ay, millions, of sheets, and pamphlets, and volumes, which the Babylonian apparatus sends forth year after year ! In all that it displays, and in all that it produces, London is a wonderful place ; but in no display, and in no production, is it more wonderful than in that of printed paper,—every branch of the art of producing

which has been so improved, or at least so changed, that literature, which used to be one of the most slow and laborious operations, has become one of the most light and rapid. In as far as the impressing of the words upon paper is concerned, the operation seems one of magic. A small steam-engine clicks and hisses in the corner of a printer's cellar ; the roof is full of trundling wheels and revolving chains ; a few machines are scattered over the floor, which a boy feeds at the one end with sheets of white paper ; and it is but a revolution of the wheels,—an operation very brief and apparently very simple, and the same sheets of paper are discharged at the other end, printed on both sides, and ready to be folded and stitched into books.—Thus Swift's idea of a machine for the manufacture of literature has been realised to a certain extent ; and many will suspect, when they see the nature of the things produced, and would be fully convinced, if they saw the manner in which they are prepared, that the engine-work begins somewhat earlier than the stamping of the types upon the paper ; for there is many a large showy and pretending volume that comes forth with all the airs of originality, which, when you come to examine it, proves to be in substance so very like one of the old ones, that you cannot imagine that any sort of apparatus more intellectual than a printing-

machine, or any genius superior to a peck of coals and a pitcher of water, has been expended in the composition of that which is so very fair and fascinating to look upon.

I am far from saying that this is wrong ; for it proves, that great as the facilities in the production of books have become, they have not kept pace with the desire of information on the part of the people : and though at first sight one might imagine that there is a little dishonesty in appropriating the labours of other men, more especially of men who are dead and so cannot protect either their names or their property, yet it is some consolation to know that the matter so appropriated is much more valuable—much more useful to society, than any thing which the appropriator could invent or make. It is of small consequence that that legacy, which an author of choice imagination or profound research may have left to the world, is served up under a dozen different forms, and for a dozen different booksellers. The food of the mind has this superiority over the food of the body,—that it cannot be wasted, neither can it, if of a wholesome nature at first, be rendered either unpalatable or unsafe by any degree of bungling in those by whom it is cooked ; and, just as Sir Roger de Coverly's parson was the most eloquent preacher in the neighbourhood because he never delivered a sermon of

his own composition, so, perchance, are they to be held as the most useful of the Babylonian book-manufacturers, who never compose a line of that which they procure to be printed, but who, through fear of staining alike their fingers and their paper if they should write, perform every operation, save that which belongs to the printer, in the same way that a gardener trims a hedge or a tailor shapes an article of apparel. I am aware that fastidious persons object to this substitution of scissors for sense in the craft of book-making: they would have nothing published but that which is original, and reckon this transmutation of books nowise better than the practising of a fraud upon the public. But those captious persons will please to observe, if, indeed, they will please to observe any thing, that all the practical advantages are upon the side of this modern method—if modern method indeed it be; and those advantages may be easily shown.

In the first place, it is perfectly axiomatic, that the less of original genius and talent that are occupied in the production of books, there will be the more left to help forward every thing else connected with the progress of society, in the arts and the business of life. Secondly, it can be no disadvantage to those by whom books are sold, or for whose pecuniary profit they are understood to be printed; because a dull plodding personage is not

only a much more manageable but a more laborious article, than a man of superior intellect,—so that a very comfortable dunce may, in his way, fabricate more volumes in a year than a man of genius could invent and compose in a century. Besides, as it is not the makers, but the buyers and readers of books, from whom the vender derives his profits, and as the quantity of books bought and read must always increase in proportion as intellect and genius increase among the purchasing and reading public, it is clearly for the interest of the bookseller, that as much talent as possible should be withdrawn from the class of persons who produce and prepare books, and transferred to those who read them. Thirdly, if the quantity of new publications were to be limited to the number of new ideas, inventions, and discoveries, they would be few indeed; and I know not how great a proportion of those who contrive, under the present system, to get their half-dozen of names, which suit their half-dozen of departments in the vocation of letters, plastered upon the advertising posts, would have to return to those shuttles, and anvils, and hammers, to which they had been found incompetent before they attempted this more manageable trade.

There was a time when original thinking and original writing sufficed, and more than sufficed, for all the purposes of publication; for, in those days,

every work that appeared was full of novelty and fresh with spirit ; and besides these, many works were laid on the shelf in manuscript, which are now received and read with pleasure and admiration. In those days, however, the profession itself was a novelty ; the surface of darkness had just begun to be broken, and the whole world had the richness of a newly discovered and virgin soil. Every step that a man took, in which way soever he took it, was a step toward information ; and one had only to look around him for a little while, in order to find materials for a book. But now, discovery has so completely explored the surface, and labourer after labourer has so often turned up the soil, that there is nothing, or next to nothing, remaining to be known in it ; and unless it be in the description of modern inventions and modern modes of life, which lie within a corner of the field of literature, and not even in that corner which is most interesting to general readers, there is absolutely nothing new about which a man can write. While those who feel fondly but think superficially upon the subject, complain that there are no Shakspeares, and Miltos, and Bacons, and Hookers, and Taylors, in these latter times, they do not consider that the world of intellect, which lay all fresh and tempting before those intellectual Alexanders, has now been not only conquered by their intre-

pidity and strength, but trodden under the feet of their more feeble successors, till its surface has become a passage for every wayfaring man, and its history a tale which has been thrice told. Nature, like Nature's choicest productions, is ever most charming when a part of it is concealed ; and they who withdraw the veil, however they may be admired for their hardihood, or however they may delight the world by the disclosures which they make, leave but sorry work for those who come after, and are reckoned inferior, chiefly because mankind are already acquainted with all that they can do.

Among all the varied themes upon which literary ingenuity or literary drudgery can be occupied, the choicest and the most permanent are the analysis of the human heart, the portraiture of the human passions, and glimpses of the habits and the habitations of those mysterious beings with whom Imagination, until her wings have been clipt by the cold and relentless hand of a desolating philosophy, has, in all ages and in all countries, peopled the world. But so thoroughly did the first adventurers gather in the vintage of delineation, in as far as the passions of men are concerned, that scarcely a single grape is left ; while the axe has been laid to the root of those mysterious trees upon which the blossoms of fancy opened so fresh, and her fruit hung so luscious and so inviting.

We complain that the silly ones, who at the present time hang their harps upon the willow-trees by the river of Babylon, will not make them ring with those sublime and celestial strains, which come to us so refreshing through the long vista of years. But while we do this, we forget that, all feeble as are the personages, and all broken, all stringless, and all tuneless, as are the instruments upon which the passing wind of the day just wakens a wretched note as it goes by, those singers are in a land where those songs, which of old gave delight, are impossible, and where they would not be heeded even could they be procured. Sublimity in poetry, and in that prose which more than any poetry is sublime, must flow unbought and unbidden from the rock of Nature herself; and he whose chief object it is to measure labour, which is called intellectual, against the perishable subsistence of the day, cannot be expected to produce any thing that is not equally perishable. If we would have back again those intellectual giants who hailed the day-spring of English literature, we must put both knowledge and society in the position in which they then were,—we must have authors who write from other motives than those which induce men to follow common mechanical trades, and we must have a sufficient quantity of new knowledge to stimulate them to the work. There has now been

too much research for leaving enough of novelty, and too much division and dissection, for leaving much that is beautiful or sublime. Mankind have become too wise for wondering ; and the common avocations of society have waxed too numerous, and occupy too much of men's time, for permitting them to rival in purely intellectual delights. What they demand is something which shall either help them forward in the acquirement of that money which the state of things has rendered necessary, or something over which they may while an idle hour, but which shall not so rack the judgment or so take a hold of the imagination, as to withdraw them from, or incapacitate them for, those personal pursuits and those personal enjoyments, the number, the desire, and the necessity of which increase with increasing knowledge, and advance with advancing civilization.

Sublimity and grandeur in imagination and in intellect, as well as in the material world, can exist only where there are great masses with dark spaces between, objects at which astonishment may be aroused, and gloomy obscurities, by which curiosity may be excited. This was the state of things in the olden time ; but now it is as if the hammer had levelled down those sublime heights, and the whole of society, in its manners, and more particularly in its mind, is undergoing the process of

Macadamization ; and though the smoothness which is thereby introduced be extremely favourable for the fetching and carrying of all sorts of marketable commodities, it answers not half so well for being said or sung.

In all this it is not meant to be said, that the present state of the world is, in as far as that foremost of all objects, human happiness, is concerned, worse than that which has produced, and which, were it to return, would again produce, literature of a higher caste: my object is to take off the smart, if I cannot altogether secure the sting, of what is said, and what upon an abstract theory, and without adverting to the circumstances, deserves to be said, of the Babylonian literature of the present day ; and having, as I trust, accounted for the cause, I see not why I should be quarrelled with, though I now pay some little attention to the effect which that cause, and nothing of course but that cause, has produced.

One of the most gentle, though not one of the most clear-sighted, of those seers, who fell into dreams and fancies as to what the world might ultimately become, some thirty years ago, when the usual progress or management of it was a little unsettled, and when cautious men had given over saying very much about what might happen, predicted the speedy arrival of a sort of literary

millenium, during which every man should become his own author, and read nothing but what himself had written. The wits of the time gave rather a wicked interpretation of this prediction,—they alleged that writing was in the progress of so spreading out into shallowness, or so fuming away in visionary clouds, as that nobody but the author was likely to read any thing that might be likely to be produced ; and they very insidiously alleged that the practice of the prophet himself rendered this the most legitimate interpretation of his words. But the event has proved that the seers and the scoffers were equally in the wrong ; for though the more general diffusion of the mechanical arts of reading and writing, the increased stock of old and serviceable materials, and the smaller quantity both of skill and of industry requisite for putting those materials together, have made authorship a much more accessible and simple matter than it was even thirty years ago, yet there are, perhaps, fewer authors now who produce books either with a view of improving their own intellectual capacity, or from a conviction that they have any intellectual capacity at all, than there were at the period when this prophecy was made.

When, indeed, one looks at the most numerous, by no means the least successful, and probably, nay certainly, not the least useful of the modern

schools of Babylonian literature, one finds that instead of every author being the sole reader of his own books—I mean of those books which he procures to be printed, and for which he is paid—he is not only not the sole writer of them, but is guiltless of every line, from the title-page to the colophon.

If, therefore, the prophecy had been, that “no author should write his own books,” then, in as far as this school, which may be styled the “Translation,” or rather, inasmuch as it is pouring the same metal out of one mould into another, which should be called the “Transfusion” school of Babylonian literature, and which, by the way, is the most Babylonian, in the confusion which it occasions, both as to who are the real producers and what is the thing produced, of any other of the incomprehensible if not endless variety,—nobody would have doubted its accuracy. Notwithstanding that, under proper management, the labours of this school may be made to adapt the old literature to the taste of the day ; yet it is so contrary to what one would naturally expect, that one does not need to be told that the founder of it must have combined in his own person the functions of book-maker and bookseller ; and so well did this founder appreciate his own capability when he took to it, that the single and solitary work in which he attempted original authorship, is almost

the only one out of the catalogue of his publications, as numerous as the nights of the Arabian story-teller, which nobody will or can read. He has been enabled to make many a choice dinner of herbs out of the *Encyclopædia*; the *Spelling-book* has been cooked into a hundred dishes; and he has supped and made others wish to sup upon the dry fragments of the newspapers; but he has never been able to make any man, who understood any one branch of philosophy, bolt his *Theory of the Universe*. Nor is this at all to be wondered at; for the man who could not understand, or at least would not understand, how one volume was to be made in any other way than by making it out of a pre-existent volume, could not be expected to understand a single movement of that which was at first made out of nothing.

Pitiful as the practice of this school is, and hurtful as it is to the little portion of original intellect which such practice has not scared away from the fields of Babylonian literature, it is astonishing into what extensive use it has come; and though he by whom the school was founded cannot boast much of what he has added to the abstract value of literature, he may boast that he has played more tricks with fewer ideas than all the rest of the trade put together. Nor has he stopped here; for as he has invented, or at least brought to maturity and

into general use, a novel species of authorship, so he has been unprecedently liberal in the dispensation of literary honours ; and upon his title-pages, and those of them who have copied after him, there are to be found more Doctors, and Reverends, and F R Ses, and especially A S Ses, than can be traced in all the muster-rolls of all the public institutions. Of the *modus operandi* of this school, it is enough to say, that a title, an author, and a basket full of books, are collected together ; a drove of the most raw or needy of the writing tribe are assembled, and the wares are auctioned downwards, till some one agrees to take charge of them for less money than would pay for pens and ink to write a book of the same dimensions. If the lot happen to fall upon some fresh importation, whom the hope of literary glory has tempted from the quietude of the country, then he toils and curses away, and, ten to one, cures himself of his folly ; but if, as is often the case, it falls upon one who has already sunk through all hopes, then the author plays the employer at his own game, and the books have to be rescued more than once from the limbo of the three balls, before the work be ready for being blown by distended vesicles of words all over the country. As this is the very lowest school of Babylonian literature, it may be regarded as the foundation ; and as the foundations

even of the fairest structures are usually hidden in the mud, not a great deal respecting it is known, and the little that is, is not worth being recorded. Those by whom I found it mainly supported were B.—E.—S. G.—M.—R.—and W. W.

Another genuine Babylonian school, of modern foundation is that which may be called the “Hyæna,” or Body-snatcher” school. This has more lofty pretensions than the Transfusion school ; but its labours, though they have sometimes made much more noise for a month or two, are neither so useful nor so durable. This school, of which the most famous member, though not absolutely the original founder, either is now or was very lately in the trade, originated in, and is supported by a sort of clubbing of wits—or, as some will have it, of want of wits, between the authors and the publishers. Their practice is, whenever any great man either literally dies, or is so removed from the scene of their practices as to be unable to detect them, to manufacture Lives of him, invent Memoirs, and collect and concoct Anecdotes, taking care to season such productions with as much of slanderous application to the living as shall give them raciness and interest, and yet taking considerable care to avoid both the statute and the whip. To the members of this school, such a character as Napoleon Bonaparte or Lord Byron forms a perfect

treasure ; and though they can have nothing new to say about such characters, yet they contrive to repeat the old stories in so new a fashion, that they excite a considerable though momentary curiosity. The “Body-snatchers” are not over delicate in their taste ; for when they cannot find a great warrior or a noble poet, they do not hesitate to grub up the remains of a decayed ballad-singer or departed old woman. Those persons contribute to that which is technically styled “ Pure reading,”—not because that which is read is remarkable for its literary or its moral purity, but because the reading of it is reading, and nothing else—inasmuch as it does not convey, and probably is not intended to convey, the least particle of information. Like other resurrection-men, the labourers in this vocation keep themselves as much concealed as possible : however, I have understood that D.— F.— J.— L.— M.— and P.— and W.— had been most successful in bringing the practice to maturity.

A third school, and one which, though it has been attempted at different periods of Babylonian history, never was so industrious as of late years, is that which properly should be denominated the “Mephitic school” of Babylonian literature. The founders and the pupils of this school are equally obscure ; but I have heard that the principal qualification for admission to it is, that the candidate

shall be so nasty in his habits, so totally without information, and so gross and vulgar in his language, as that he could not be received any where else. In consequence of this they are said to boast that no member of their school has ever deserted them to connect himself with any other. Their object seems to be to render every thing upon which they can lay their hands so offensive, as that nobody else could touch it, and thus appropriate the whole of it to themselves. I have never met, at least so far as I know, with any of the members of this school ; but I have heard that B.— and C.— and G.— and J. J.— and P.— (all of course different from the same letters which belong to the other schools) are among the deepest in its practices.

It would be endless, however, to attempt a particular description of all the schools into which the ambition, or some other propensity of book-makers and book-venders, have broken the literature of Babylon the Great. Of venders I might, for instance, mention “The Kite-flyers,” who endeavour to push their books into notice by pretending that they are written by some man of character, when they know quite well that they have been patched up by some of their own underlings, and at their own request, for a stipulated fee of so many farthings a page ; and among makers I could enumerate the “Ruminators” or “Cud-chewers,” who,

having concocted or collected a few scraps upon some subject, put them together, and endeavour to palm them upon the world in every form which a permutation of chapters or paragraphs, or even sentences, will admit: but those who are reduced to this extreme of literary poverty, and who yet have too much pride for entering the poor's house, and being supported out of the literary labours of other men, if they deserve no praise for their conduct, ought, at least, to be excused from paying taxes to criticism; and, besides, when a man's house or his head is extremely ill-furnished, and yet the man contrives to content himself with it, without being in any way burdensome to his neighbours, that man unquestionably has more merit than he who makes a greater display with that which is not his own. But these, and many other matters of an analogous nature, as they form no part of the philosophy, or of the avowed, and, therefore, of the legitimate history of Babylonian literature, could conduce to nobody's edification; and therefore they need not be brought out of that obscurity, in which their own nature and the wishes of those who practise them conspire to render it proper that they should remain.

That which has been stated in these paragraphs, though it be much more abundant in the Babylonian literature than in that of any other place, may

still be regarded as circumstantial rather than essential,—as being that which it is found expedient to do, rather than that which the parties are capable of doing; for as long as the Babylon continues to be the focus to which the talent, not only of the three nations which compose the British people, but of a very large number of foreigners, constantly is attracted, and the centre whence the productions of that talent emanate, so long must its literature continue to rank high; and though there may be some who prefer dulness, because it is cheap, there will always be others—and they will necessarily be the best, and probably the most numerous—who shall prefer that of which the merits are genuine and original. Nor can it fairly be denied, that where there is nothing to interpose between literary men, and those who always must be in the first instance the encouragers of literature, these, though like all men of business they wish to drive as advantageous bargains as ever they can, yet possess and practise that candour and liberality which are so characteristic of the more respectable part of the Babylonian merchants generally, and which have contributed so much to the enriching both of themselves and of their country.

The same disposition to be slow and wary in forming a new connexion, and equally slow and

wary in breaking off an old one, which forms part of the general character of John Bull, very often renders a first introduction to those Babylonian publishers, who are really the substantial patrons of talent, not a little difficult; and there are several instances in which the difficulty is increased, by the way in which the publisher is circumstanced with that portion of the literary corps who form, as it were, his privy council upon all points of judgment and criticism. Those authors who lounge most about booksellers' shops are never the most able or the most industrious; but they are generally persons who can stoop to little arts of flattery, which men of a superior description would either despise or be unable to manage: they therefore make it their business to find out the vulnerable points in him upon whom they dance attendance; and as he has in general no time, and sometimes though he had, not much capacity, for judging of the intrinsic merits of a work, those persons contrive to get the power of judging into their own hands: and when they have done so, it can hardly be expected that they shall not exercise it more with a view to the securing of the power which they have got, and making it a profit to themselves, than of forwarding the interests of him whom they have persuaded to trust them. Most of those who have been for a considerable time in the trade, are beset

by a host of these interested judges, who conspire together how they may best keep the bookseller to themselves and their friends ; and one of the most obvious methods of doing this is to prejudice him against every stranger, especially if they be apprehensive that that stranger is possessed of talents or independence superior to their own. I could mention more than one instance of publishers, who flatter themselves that they are very knowing, and completely masters of their own decisions, and who yet are so beset by those who govern them, while they flatter and live upon them, that they dare not enter into any arrangement with a literary man. This is a state of things very much to be regretted, both for the interests of literature and for the credit of the literati themselves : but it is a state of things which, when it has once taken place, is very difficult to be corrected ; and perhaps the only effectual way of putting an end to it would be, to import into the trade a sufficient number of well-educated and high-spirited young men, who would leave the preparing of the bills of parcels and the posting of the ledger, and become their own judges. Within the last few years this begins to be the case far more than it was formerly ; and as literary men have been enabled to shake off the lumber of titled patrons, one or two more additions to the last and most improved description of publishers

would free the trade from that mass of dulness and selfishness, by which it still is in but too many instances fettered.

'The great demand for books which the increased ability and desire to read have produced, whatever effect it may have had upon their quality, has prodigiously augmented their quantity ; and as novelty (at least in title and appearance) is a greater recommendation to many than abstract worth, the demand for writers and makers of books goes on increasing : but the increase of the demand bears no proportion at all to that of the number of candidates for literary honours, or, perhaps, I should rather say, literary emoluments. The termination of the late war crowded the Babylon with discharged soldiers, who have very laudably endeavoured to swell the scanty allowance of their half-pay by an occasional volume of tales or travels ; and the great importation of students of law from the Sister Kingdom, who resort to the Inns of Court, in order to eat their way to the privilege of addressing the judges when they get home, make a vast addition to the number. Though both these classes be very respectable in their way, and though their zeal to become literati be far from discommendable ; yet whether they have been the means of improving or deteriorating the general staple of Babylonian literature, is a question much too

abstruse for my philosophy. One thing is certain: that as neither the profession which the one class have left, nor that which the other class are preparing themselves for, requires the kind of discipline which is demanded from him who would be a profound writer, neither of them can add much to the solidity of that which is published; while, as each of them has or should have a sort of provision apart from this exercise of their talents, their importation must tend to diminish the price of literary labour, and therefore they must to that extent deteriorate the quality of the talent which that labour attracts.

It would be a curious speculation as to the difference of national character, to determine why almost all the dramatic poetry, and indeed the greater part of the poetry of every kind, which is at present offered for representation or for sale, is of Irish manufacture. That it is, no one who knows what is received, and especially what is rejected, will deny; and I doubt not, that if the managers of the theatres and the publishers of books were to be questioned on the subject, they could say with truth, that as much verse from this quarter is offered to them, as would keep them going.

Upon the investigation of this singular fact I shall not enter, but shall leave it to those who have leisure, inclination, and capacity for such sub-

jects. I may however remark, in passing, that numerous as the inhabitants of Babylon are, and great and varied as must be the talents of so vast and so active a multitude, she must import her poetry as well as her paving-stones; for as there exist not within her artificial circumference any of the means of study which are essential to the formation of a true poet, true poet of her own growth she can have none. Her sons and her daughters may try to make rhymes, and they do try to make them; and those rhymes may be accurate in their syllables, musical in their sound, correct in their mythology, or have any of the other artificial attributes which belong to the external structure of poetry: but they have not, and they cannot have, that animating spirit which constitutes the real power and the universal charm of song. That which people see or enjoy, always makes a more powerful impression upon them than that which they merely think; and as all that a Babylonian sees or enjoys is artificial, art must always give the principal tone to whatever he does or writes. What the poet of the world understands the worst, is by them understood the best, and *vice versa*; so that what he uses as the illustration, they use as the subject, and what he uses as the subject, they use as the illustration. A true poet—one whose beauties all mankind would feel—illustrates and amplifies the

works of art and the practices of life by figures drawn from nature, and as the illustration has more grandeur in it than that which it is employed to illustrate, the effect is heightened by the operation: but one, who has been constantly among things and occurrences which are artificial, naturally employs the subjects of art for the purpose of explaining and amplifying nature; and as the illustration is less dignified than that which it is used to illustrate, the effect of the whole is diminished. Thus the poet would compare a modest female covered with a veil, to the moon half obscured by clouds; but the chance, nay the certainty would be, that your Babylonian versifier would compare the shrouded moon to the veiled lady. If this instance be not decisive enough, try another one. To say that the gas-lamp at the corner of the square shines like the sun in his meridian splendour, though a little hyperbolical, gives an air of grandeur to the lamp; but if you go about to heighten one's impression of the majesty of the sun, by saying that his splendour is equal to, or even that it exceeds, that of the gas-lamp at the corner of the square, the accession made is any thing but that of sublimity. Just in the same manner, if you were to call a perfumer's shop fragrant as Arabian groves, you would enoble the shop; but if you were to say that the groves of

Arabia were fragrant as the shop, even though you stated that it belonged to his most Gracious Majesty's perfumer, the groves would not gain much by the compliment.

Of the ludicrous, as connected with human nature, the Babylonian bards, from the opportunities which they have of observing it in all its whims and peculiarities, have necessarily a very lively perception; and therefore such of them as have humour, can be very droll: though even their drolery, except when they imitate the writings of others, as in the case of "Rejected Addresses," cannot be properly appreciated without the bills of mortality. When, however, they attempt to be tender or sentimental, they fail most egregiously; for, besides their ignorance of nature, they have no means of being acquainted with that which constitutes the moral charm of poetry. Business and amusement so completely occupy the minds of people in a great city, and in Babylon the Great in an especial manner—people are so intent upon the gratification of their appetites, and have time to think so little beyond that and the means of attaining it, that though they may be very honest, they never can be absolutely pure in heart or very elevated in sentiment. Hence, when they attempt to become tender, they succeed merely in being silly; when they aim at being natural, they become only non-

sensical ; and when they labour to be sublime, that which they produce is mere fustian.

Upon this department of Babylonian literature—if indeed there be not injustice upon the one side or the other, in the use of such a term, there is no need of citing instances,—of driving one of the feeble and fleeting herd into the pinfold ; because there is not one that could be selected without a manifest injustice to all the rest : and were any one to single out a sweet lady or gentleman, and say that she or he were the silliest songster of the day, one might be in danger of offending another—or rather a host of others, each of whom could put in and substantiate an equal or a better claim.

Nor does it appear that there are any hopes of amendment,—any visible promises of the birth or the return of a more wholesome and vigorous system. Indeed, matters are becoming worse, and the pointless prettyisms are giving place to puns equally pointless ; and a person deeply versed in the science of poetical prognostication might probably be able to predict the precise period—the very date—when tale and madrigal shall be dismissed after epic and ode, and the whole poetical talent of the mighty Babylon, in all the variety of its sounds, be swallowed up in one gigantic conun-

drum. It was the fate of the antient Babylon to become a heap,—a place where satyrs might dance, where bitterns might scream, and owls screech; and truly, if some unforeseen, and at present, unforeseeable cause do not interpose, it is by no means unlikely—or rather it is by all means very likely—that, in her poetry at least, the modern Babylon will become as much a heap, and be as much given up to desolation and loathsome-ness as the antient.

Upon whose shoulders shall I lay the blame? Would that I could lay it upon my own; and for the sake of a nation, whose elder names stand highest and holiest upon the most brilliant tablet in the temple of Fame, carry it into the wilderness of my own oblivion. That may not be, however; and, therefore, I must look round for some other scape-goat. It cannot be in the parties themselves, because the present Babylonians have just as many *feet* as they had when they possessed Milton; and therefore, were it possible to set those feet rightly a-going, there is no reason why they should not produce the same quantity and quality of *verses*, if they were thereto incited by causes equally stimulating.

It is true, that the times in which that giant spirit reared his eternal structure, were times of

great excitement,—that there was a contest whether England should be England; and it is also true, that though the number of readers bore then a much smaller proportion to the sum total of the population than they do now, yet they were of a superior class in point of learning, and also in point of leisure. Another thing, poetry is no part of the merchandize of the world; and I am not aware of one instance in which a really good poem was written to the chink of the purse. But in these our times, unless it be when some love-sick youth writes “lines to Laura,” or some aged spinster limps out “A dirge for a darling dog,” I know of very few (myself of course always excepted) who write for the phantom Glory. Gain, substantial gain, is the operating principle; and that being, as I said, prosaic in itself, is always best obtained by prose, however prosy.

This impossibility of bringing verse to the market has tempted the ladies and gentlemen who write in uneven lines, to go to old *Æsop*, and study the fable of the bundle of rods. They have begun to club their—what shall I call it?—their wits—no—their muses—or rather, I believe, I should say, their musings—or, if you will, their *mousings*, (for a considerable portion is always capture in the small way;) and these they mix

together with a due portion of prose, and float the thing upon the thick atmosphere of November, through the buoyancy of “a lovely cover and delightful plates.” I shall not allude to any of the individual bundles that are gathered together in this way. They are all very pretty in the binding, reflect great merit upon painters and engravers, are withal excellent to be given to those ladies, who are far too learned for reading any thing; and as they are never read, why, the quality of the verse is a matter of no moment whatever.

Still, I cannot help admiring this—conglomeration—shall I call it? of slender intellects. It is the principle upon which all societies are founded, the basis that holds together the mightiest communities of men, of beavers or of bees; and stealing a simile of the latter from one who can well spare it, I must say of these honey-gatherers of the year, that they

“Hang to their goddess, and cohere around.”

Since the first edition of these remarks was offered to the public, the literature of the Babylon has undergone a very remarkable change, or rather a very remarkable addition has been made to it—

an addition of so novel and peculiar, and withal so influential a nature, that, if it be persevered in, it may change not the taste and character of the Babylon only, but of the whole fabric of which the Babylon is the heart.

The “March of Quackery,” led on by belted knights and heroes and adventurers, had nearly blotted out science from the shelves of the Babylonian bibliopoles. The Encyclopædias and popular systems of some thirty years’ standing, had been hacked up by “Doctor this” and “Master that”—*Doctor indocilis, servilisque Magister*—into many forms (not a good one), and so administered *ad nauseam*, that any one who had the least science in him fled from a new work purporting to be on science, as from a vampyre that would suck his blood. The materials of these books were put together for little more than what they were worth—nothing; and thus they who obliged the public with them could afford to sell them for less money than a book of which the mere transcription for the press had to be paid. Consequently, as a book on any science cannot be attended without a great deal of labour and no small expense, that part of the trade which might still have had the disposition, could not afford to pay for a work on science. In the first place, it had to combat with the trash

which was puffed in all directions, and for the sale of which the unprincipled got more than for that of real books; and in the second place, the genuine book would hardly have arrived at the end of the Island before it too had been converted into trash and fallowing. So great was the dread of scientific books occasioned by this means, that even that mathematical Mæcenas the Baron Maseres, could hardly prevail upon people to accept the last volumes of the “*Scriptores Logarithmici*” gratis; and it was only when a daring Cantab. got horsed on the top of his college, that he could be seen through the fog. The state of things was singular: science was creeping fast over the country; and yet, if any body wanted a good book, they were obliged to get one at least fifty years old.

The tide turned, however, and the luminary that raised the waters was none other than Henry Brougham; the greatest annoyance that ever a plain describer met with: you find him every where; and wherever you find him, you are forced to praise him. One does not like a character of this sort; it humbles one's vanity. The best man for an author is one who affords a breathing time of indifference, or even a loop-hole for censure. We can then “set out the burnt side of our shin,” as Allen Ramsay says; but in the case of one like

Brougham, even a poet—hardly a Babylonian rhymster—could think of himself.

Every body knows that one of the very greatest of Brougham's public efforts was made for the purpose of rooting out corruption in those sinks of waste, misrule, and misapplied patronage, the foundation schools. There however he was foiled, partly because the time was a little early, and partly because the draining of the marshes there, would tend to run off sluices, higher and more productive still.

But though he failed in that his principal object at the time, he perhaps did better in the end than if he had accomplished it: his mind was drawn to the grand subject of national education; and he was left to contemplate it without any corrupt body constantly floating before him and clouding his vision.

In the end, this brought about the establishment of a “Society for the propagation of useful knowledge,” that is, a society for the publishing of cheap and at the same time good books on the sciences, by the help of which the people may educate themselves. This society, comprising the names of a considerable number of the most able and independent men throughout the country, has been in operation for some time. Its books, though

not perfect, are certainly better, infinitely better, than those which they are driving out of circulation, and they are certainly the cheapest that ever were published.

That the books published by this Society should, at the first, have been perfect, or even what one could, without flattery, call very good, could not be expected. Conceding to the Society every wish to do good,—and without this wish it could never have come into existence,—it must have had about it that inexperience which is inseparable from every thing new. Nor is this all; for the very circumstances which rendered the existence of the Society necessary and desirable, raised a difficulty in the way of its procuring the proper materials, all at once. The men really possessed of science were not, from the want of encouragement, in the habit of writing; and even though they had, they are not themselves in a situation for judging of the best form of a book whereby a man is to instruct himself, in those snatches of time that he can save from a laborious occupation. With them, science is the business of life; and they of course would write about it, as for the schools, and not as for the people.

Accordingly, though the treatises that have hitherto appeared are very good as compared with

any thing set forth by the manufactured and manufacturing doctors, they are not precisely what is wanted,—they are a sort of *intermediate* books, not profound and technical enough for those who are already informed on the subjects of them, and rather too much so for those who are not. Still they are as good as could have been expected under the circumstances; and as the Society gets experience, and as practice qualifies men for this new species of writing, they will of course be improved.

One department of literature to which this Society has turned its attention, is well calculated to win for it the thanks of the public, and that is the Almanack which has been put forth under its auspices. These books began in days of the darkest ignorance and the most debasing quackery; and the foolery and filth of them were not changed by the worshipful Company of Stationers, who purchased the copy-right, and continued to insult and offend the age with the abominations of judicial astrology, and the unseemly allusions with which that folly abounds. The almanack of the Society is free from this pollution, and cannot fail in driving the unclean things out of the market.

The Society promise a “Library of Entertainment,” in which pleasure is to be blended with

profit ; and the attention of those who read merely for amusement, is to be drawn toward that which is to have some other recommending quality than that of being nonsense. As no part of this division of their labours has yet made its appearance, I can express no opinion of the execution ; but the design is admirable ; and if the execution correspond, the projectors will gain greener and more permanent laurels than if they had conquered armies all over the globe.

There is one class of books to which this Society cannot too soon turn its attention, and that is school-books,—books for the education of youth. There are plenty of those in the market already ; but almost the whole of them are the production of persons destitute alike of talents and sense, and therefore they are a disgrace to the age. If the Society would set about this and do it properly, the good that would result to the country, and the consequent honour and satisfaction to them would be more than it is easy to calculate. In any way it is pleasant to see a combination of able and influential men, labouring for the very best interest of Society,—the interest which, in most places, and in no place more than the Babylon, has been shamefully neglected.

Enough, however, of the peculiarities of Babylo-

nian song ; and enough of the analysis of Babylonian literature. The portion of it which is intended for the generality of the world is, like every thing else of Babylonian manufacture, well calculated for fetching its price, and keeping up its character in the market ; and when this has been said, the measure of justice to it is full.

CHAPTER III.

THE PRESS *a.*

“ The press, in this, is like the eye,—
It sees all else, but cannot spy
Its own complexion, till you show it,
By holding up the mirror to it :
‘Tis, as times go, the best—the worst
Of things,—most wholesome, most accurst.”

OF all the instruments of power—of all the engines or contrivances of man, whether physical, moral, or political, there is none which is by any means to be compared for rapidity, for extent, and for strength of effect, with that, which, having the throne of its dominion and the centre of its ramifications in Babylon the Great, extends its control over a wider and more willing empire than any over which a mere monarch swayed the sceptre, under the plain and unpretending name of THE PRESS. In every contrivance, and in every art, by which man can hold communion with man, or in virtue of which one man can profit by being

profitable to his fellows, the march of modern times has been grand and rapid beyond all precedent; but in no one of these cases, all of which have demonstrated the truth of the Baconian axiom, that "knowledge is power," has there been any thing contrived like that mightiest of intellectual engines, which sees every thing of good or of ill in the very moment that it is happening, and which, ere the sun has thrice girdled the world, tells the tale of it—fearlessly proclaiming the glory or the shame, to all the millions of the British public; and from them diffusing it, ere many weeks and months have run their course, to every part of the habitable globe.

Babylon the Great may boast much and most worthily of the thickening crowd of her improvements, of the constantly accumulating masses of her wealth, of the every-day advances of her artizans, in the perfection of every thing mechanical; and in the accessions which every season, every week, and every day, makes to the enjoyments and the luxury of her population. She may bid the astonished nations come and see what the human mind, undebased by bigotry and superstition, can invent; and what the human hand, unchained by slavery, can execute: but if she would point to the most stupendous invention to which even she has given birth—if she would make known the most

invincible auxiliary of improvement and liberty, which she has nurtured to perfection,—then she would bid them look at the thousands and ten thousands of channels by which she pours upon the world that most wholesome and necessary of all knowledge—the knowledge of itself. I do not say that they to whom the management of this mighty engine is committed, are all of them, or even any of them, the very foremost men of their age, either in point of moral or of intellectual superiority. I have said that of all gigantic erections, the foundations are laid deep in the dust; and I need not conceal that many of those things which the press brings to light, are such as men of the very highest order could not be supposed to occupy themselves about: but still the very fact, that the press lets in daylight, not only upon all the machinery of public life, but of that of private, when it goes out of order—that no machination against the liberty, the safety, or the prosperity of society, can be carried on without the press knowing of it and telling of it,—is evidence that, while it remains in vigour, the people who enjoy it can neither be enslaved nor corrupted.

It would be both out of my way and out of my philosophy, to sit down and determine whether the press—that is, those periodical journals which are called the press, be the parents or the children of

that which is called public opinion. In their most valuable and most legitimate exercise they are; strictly speaking, the collectors of the facts from which that opinion, whatever it is, has to be formed; and in this capacity they are infinitely more valuable than if the whole of those who have the management of them were Solons and Aristotles. The great object to be arrived at, in making a people wise and temperate, is to arm them with the knowledge of every truth that can in any way bear upon their opinions or on their conduct; and so long as they are thus armed, there need be no great apprehension that their opinions shall not be just, and their conduct orderly. It is in this way that the press, even amid a great deal of erroneous opinion and angry practice on the part of those by whom it has been conducted, has contributed, more perhaps than any thing else, to raise England to her present condition. Whatever of noble and praiseworthy is delivered in Senate or in Court, receives the approbation of the whole people, before the air which the first uttering of it put in motion has ceased to vibrate; and when any thing amiss is uttered there, the condemnation of an intelligent public follows with equal rapidity and equal effect. In consequence of the press, the public men of modern England stand upon a wider arena and address themselves to a more extended audience,

than they of any other age or country. Whether they speak for the weal of man, or for his woe—whether they seek to build higher and polish brighter the glory of their country and of mankind—or whether their aim be to cast down and to tarnish,—the pen of the recorder is at their side, and every word which they utter is instantly sent to the judgment of the whole people. This is the grand restraint which keeps the bad and selfish motives and passions within due bounds, and which draws out, encourages, and procures to be rewarded, those of the opposite and more estimable description.

The labour by which all this is brought about is great; and though the labourers be by no means few, their office is no sinecure. One who has not visited all the public places in the British metropolis, who has not followed the march of justice, and watched the slow but silent progress of invention and discovery, together with the ebbings and flowings of public spirit throughout the whole land—one who has not done all this, and collated all that he has heard and seen with the record and the resemblance of it furnished by the public press, can have no idea either of the extent or of the accuracy of its labours. You have, for instance, witnessed and listened to a great debate in each House of Parliament, upon which a mass of fact, a volume

of eloquence, and a range of subjects, has been displayed, at which you were utterly confounded, and the hundredth part of which, amid the agitation, the changes, and the peals of cheering, you were unable to remember, or even to understand ; but if you have so been, and if on the succeeding morning, after the lapse of about two or three brief hours from the close of the display, you have examined one of the more able, respectable, and trustworthy of the morning papers, you must have found that all that you had forgotten was recorded, and all that you had been unable to understand was made plain and orderly there—that leaving out, with admirable tact, such speeches and such portions of speeches as added nothing but length to the debate, though you would have wanted the excitement of the oral delivery, you might, in the remotest province, or at the most distant period of time, have had a far more informed judgment of the merits of the question, than though you had listened to all the arguments yourself. Yes—in less time than you could have imagined it was possible to copy it out by the swiftest penman, the longest debate in which the Senators of England can be engaged, or the most intricate case with which any of the English Courts of law can be occupied, is not only written accurately and at length, but printed, and in the hands of every man in the capital who can read,

and spreading over the whole country like wild-fire. An organization which can perform so mighty an effort as this, even granting that it is more of a mechanical than an intellectual nature, is worthy not only of being encouraged for its utility, but of being known and studied for its curiosity.

When you farther think upon the number of other subjects about which the press interests itself, and the early and accurate information which it procures upon them all, you cease to wonder why an Englishman feels so very uncomfortable when deprived of his newspaper ; and begin to find out that that invariable question of "What news?" with which one Englishman salutes another upon meeting, has a wider meaning than the mere gossip of the morning, and that he who puts it has, in fact, asked what all the world has been doing, in all the multiplicity of its employments, since the last chapter of its history came abroad.

It has been said that the avidity with which men now always read newspapers, and the disposition that many have to content themselves with that species of reading, has been injurious to the profundity of thought, and for that reason to the diffusion of more substantial and permanent literature. But the majority of men every where, and more especially in a place of so much wealth and activity, and so little calmness and reflection, as the

Great Babylon, have no disposition—and though they had, no leisure, either for studying minutely the past history of the world, or going far or deeply into the arcana of science; and hence, if they did not read newspapers, they would read nothing at all; and thus they would not only be ignorant of the past and the theoretical, but ignorant of the practice of the day. For active men—men whose business it is to conduct either their own affairs or the affairs of nations, contemporary knowledge is by far the most useful; and in order to qualify a man for the amusements, the business, or even the government, of the world, the periodical productions of the Babylonian press form a much more valuable library than all that ever poet sung, or philosopher speculated.

It has again been said, that the productions of the press are produced so hastily, and with so very anxious a desire to include every thing, that they are apt to mislead by their crudeness and offend by their vulgarity; that there are many things in them which are unsafe, and many others which it is absolutely sickening, to read; and that it would be better if they were manufactured by a more slow and careful process, so that every thing in them might be made both true and tasteful, by leaving out all which had not these qualities. It seems, however, to be a general law of man himself, and

of every thing that man produces, that great advantages cannot be had, unless great faults be taken along with them ; and that, if you be over fastidious, you must lose the good along with the evil. So long as human nature, or that which human nature produces, retains sufficient vigour for being energetic, the one and the other must occasionally break into deformities and bend into errors.

It has been especially objected to the press, that it has given currency to opinions and disclosed practices, the one of which were hostile to the stability and the other hurtful to the purity of the existing state of society ; but granting that the press has occasionally been a little too free in its opinions and a little too vulgar in its details, both the one and the other have been productive of good—of far more good than they have ever produced evil. In the first of these respects, it is far better that a doubtful or even a dangerous doctrine be stated publicly, than that it be bandied about from individual to individual, as though it were of too mysterious a nature for meeting the light. They who are afraid that the attachment of society to what is good can be shaken by any thing that can, in an age of intelligence and discussion, be printed, know so little of the nature of man and the organization of society, that they may be rated merely as children, of a longer stand-

ing indeed, but of a smaller growth. It is in the dark only that children are afraid ; for, as they know not what things the hidden space may contain, their consciousness of their own weakness ever peoples it with those which are alarming ; but the moment that light comes, the darkness vanishes, and all the subjects of terror which it enabled the fearful mind to create out of nothing vanish along with it. It is just the same in philosophy, in politics, and in religion ; and, whatever may be the state of the rest of the world, one may be always sure that they who are alarmed at any thing that can be written, are yet in the dark—in doubt as to the real value of that for the stability of which they are alarmed. The real friends of those matters may be very thankful for what the press has done, even in those respects ; for if it has been the cause of discussing some points, upon which those who wished one way are yet apprehensive that truth might lie on the other, it has, in all the more important cases, shown that those apprehensions were groundless ; and if it has sometimes misled foolish men to the saying or the doing of foolish things, it has, in instances a hundred to one, pointed out the right, and confirmed mankind in their attachment to it.

The world, at least the British world, is now somewhat too well informed, for being either school-

ed or governed by dogmas which it does not understand ; and therefore it is not possible to conceive a surer means of bringing British institutions into suspicion and ultimate contempt, than to imagine, or give cause for imagining, that it is any body's interest to conceal any thing about them.

The last three or four years have done more, and it is the press mainly which has been the instrument in the doing of it, toward the cleaning away of this pernicious darkness, than could well have been imagined in so short a time ; and no one can tell how closely the bounds of relationship between the rulers and the ruled have been drawn in consequence. Each party has seen that its interests are not only most secure in existence, but most certain of being advanced, when they are shown to be in accordance with the interests of the others. The press, which has been, as it were, the herald between the parties, has brought this about, and its having done so has merit and magnitude enough to cover a multitude of small political sins.

With regard to the indecencies with which the press stands charged, there can be no doubt that they have had a wholesome effect upon society, even although they have not originated from pure motives, or been directed against the proper persons ; for if men find that even a small offence will come to the notice of the whole country, they can

have no hope that a greater one shall escape. Even personal matters—matters, which, in a less informed and rational state of society, would, if published, have set people together by the ears, have not been without their advantages ; and in this way the scorn which has been brought down upon one individual, has spared to hundreds both the shame and the remorse of similar offences.

That with all its imperfections (and that it has not many imperfections, I am far from supposing) the press has become, as it were, a new civil and criminal code—a law which keeps people on the alert, and compels them to be circumspect without the prowling of informers, the wrangling of barristers, the solemnity of judges, and the disgrace of punishments,—is a truth which cannot be denied ; and since it came into universal and vigorous action, it has not only been more efficacious in bringing actual offenders to justice, but more wholesome in preventing the commission of crime, and consequently securing the practice of virtue, than all the old legal machinery put together. Indeed, the laws of no country can be properly efficient without such an auxiliary ; and those Governments which either prohibit this engine altogether, or fetter its exercise in any other way than by making those who use it for improper purposes amenable to justice after they have done wrong, are, to that

extent, the encouragers alike of public corruption and of private vice.

It is through the medium of the press only, that that greatest of all power—public opinion, can exist in so prompt and effective a manner as to be of any great practical use ; and where this is not the case, however religion may be propagated and law executed, there is something wanting to keep mankind right, and more to stimulate them to improvement. The one of those powers has no influence upon men, unless when they are very good ; and the fact, that in England infrequency of crime does not accompany severity of punishment, shows that the law, at least in certain cases, has no very strong preventive effect. Religion is supposed to operate in making a man stand well in the estimation of his own conscience, and of Him of whom that conscience is supposed to be the vicegerent ; and law, again, comes in with its punishment when the conventional statutes of society have been broken. But between those extremes there lies a wide space—a space in which a man has no rule to guide him, and no statute upon which he can be tried, but the opinions of his fellow-men ; and hence it is, that the dissemination of those opinions freely and rapidly, or rather the circulation of the facts, for every man to give his judgment upon them, tends so much to preserve a wholesome state of society.

One may, without much fear of contradiction, pronounce that while the same assiduity, the same intelligence, and the same boldness, which at present send all public and many private transactions in England instantly before a jury of the whole country, without any sophist to darken them or any special pleader to bend them to a side, the intelligence, the freedom, and the consequent prosperity and security of the country rest upon a far firmer basis, than if a constitution of the most Utopian purity were established and placed beyond the possibility of theoretical corruption. That which is well constructed at first is good, but that which has in itself a principle of repair and reproduction is better. The stream which is clear at the fountain, is worthy of being prized there ; but that which works itself clear as it runs, is the most wholesome throughout its course.

In these remarks, I have not alluded to the political differences, the practical details, or the peculiar differences of those forms under which the periodical literature of England appears. I have adverted merely to the fact of its existence, taking it for granted that it could exist without any control from parties or individuals, or else with the bias of one publication toward one side so balancing that of another publication toward another, as that the whole taken together might be accounted

as standing tolerably straight. I shall now mention the different classes into which the vehicles of this periodical literature may be arranged ; and having done so, I shall then state at least my opinion of what struck me as the most remarkable ones in each class.

The Babylonian journals may with propriety be divided into four great classes, in respect both of their nature and of the periods at the end of which they appear. There are first, the daily papers,—those in which the information is presumed to be all fresh and original—or at least not taken at second hand from any other metropolitan journal. Those daily papers consist of nothing but news, politics, critical disquisitions of the events of the day, and commercial, literary, and fashionable notices. The second class are those which are published weekly. Of them a considerable number are manufactured out of the daily papers, and served up, with a few articles of original matter as Sunday reading, chiefly to those who are either unable to procure the daily papers or have not time to read them. But in addition to these there are others, consisting partly of gleanings from books and partly of original disquisitions, of which, as might be supposed, the merits are very various. The third class, like the new moon, make their appearance once a month ; and as their period of

preparation is longer, the materials of which they are composed are understood to be more profound, or at all events more laboured and heavy. The fourth class make their appearance quarterly: they are few in number, and confined chiefly to scientific and literary subjects. Besides these four regular and permanent classes, there are a few that are annual, and a few others which are irregular in their appearance; but these are not very numerous, neither is their value much to be boasted of.

CHAPTER IV.

THE PRESS 6.

“ Diurnals writ for regulation
Of lying, to inform the nation.”

THE times when one lie was as acceptable to the Editor of a daily paper as two truths—inasmuch as it furnished him with one paragraph in the assertion and another in the contradiction, have, in as far at least as the more respectable of the modern Newspapers are concerned, passed away ; and not only this, but it has become in a great measure unnecessary, that each of those personages should have his political preserve—his “balance of power,” his standing army and militia *versus* each other, or some such interminable theme of schoolboy logic, with which he could sport away when matters were scanty. The difficulty now is, not how, with large letters and wide spaces, the events of the day, as found or as fabricated, can be so spaced out as to cover the paper, but how they

shall be so condensed and shortened as to find room in it.

I do not mean to say that this improvement has been owing to any voluntary increase of editorial talents and virtue ; for there is no need of defending so doubtful a position as this, while so satisfactory a reason is at hand in the greater number of occurrences, and the greater readiness with which, in consequence of the superior facility of conveyance, accounts of those occurrences can be obtained. Reporters for the daily newspapers are now reckoned as necessary adjuncts as the woolsack in the Lords, the sounding-box in the Commons, wigs in a Court of Law, or worship among a quorum of magistrates ; and accordingly we find that, with the exception of their Lordships, who allow nobody to sit in their presence lest they should fall asleep, seats for those who shall tell the world what is said and done are as carefully provided, and as fully and as profitably occupied, as for and by those by whom the things reported are said and done. In addition to this, men with pens in their hands and inkhorns at their sides are prowling about in every public, and in almost every private place of the Babylon, so that not a mouse can stir, of which somebody shall not write down the history. Farther, every mail-coach and every packet, in their daily and almost hourly arrivals, come with a certain quan-

tity of information. Indeed the materials are thus rendered so abundant, that in this department there is no temptation, and hardly any room, for inserting that which is not true.

But although, in consequence of this great increase of correct information, the manufacturer of a newspaper be spared the trouble of lying for himself, and in his own person, he has still room and temptation enough for giving currency to the lies of others ; and therefore, though the editors of the Babylonian diurnals be as persons exempted from the satire of the poet, the diurnals themselves, as things, are as much within the lash of that satire as ever. There are so many visitors in the Babylon possessing more money than wits, and so many of the Babylonians themselves constructed exactly after the same fashion, that all that an adventurer requires, in order to possess himself of their money upon easy terms, is to procure circulation to the praises of some article which, though it may be as common as fog and as useless as dust in the Babylonian winter and summer, he pretends to have discovered or invented for the sole benefit of that public, to whose health, whose beauty, whose comfort, or whose wealth, he pretends that he comes in all the disinterestedness and in all the power of a ministering angel. Medicines, which not even the vender could have courage to give to

a cur that had bit him in the streets, if he were forced to avow himself, to stand by and to abide the issue—cosmetics, which would stain a shoe or disfigure a lamp-post—lotteries, in which gaining the whole would be ruin to a very ample fortune—teachers, who have never themselves been instructed, and who therefore cannot instruct others—projectors, who try to gain that living by something new, which they have failed in by every thing existent—authors, like myself, of whose lumbering lucubrations nobody would ever think of reading a single line, if its merits were not previously recorded in the diurnal oracle,—these, and a thousand others, all different in degree and in mode, but all aiming at the same object, are adequate to the supply of as ample a commodity of lying as a man of the most giant conscience could desire.

But the grand source to which the Babylonian journals look for their emoluments, is the crowded advertisements of the redundancies and wants of the public. The immense mass of these which appears every day, produces a very considerable revenue to the State, besides affording such a profit to the journalist, as enables him to carry on the more laborious but less lucrative departments of his singular profession. Nor do they, by any means, furnish either an inaccurate or an uninteresting picture of what is going on ; and perhaps nothing enables

a stranger to form a more correct idea of the great wealth of the Babylon, the rapidity with which it circulates from hand to hand, and the readiness with which every species of property and of labour finds both its value and its price, than a glance over the Morning papers ; and when it is considered that a considerable number of persons take an interest in each of the thousand or two thousand advertisements which make their appearance every day, one can form some estimate of the great hold which the daily papers take upon the commercial world, and the great services which they render it.

Reports of public transactions, and of such private ones as it is supposed will furnish interest or amusement to the public, giving publicity to every desire of the man of business which can be shaped into words and printed as an advertisement, and lending the bellows to all descriptions of quacks to blow the fire withal, form the three staple elements or avocations of the daily journalist ; and the merit and consequent circulation of his journal depend very much upon the skill and honesty that he displays in the first of these, while his reward is very much in proportion to his encouragement in the second of them. Independently of these, however, almost every journalist has his underplot—his part which he plays, his favourites whom he patronises, and his enemies whom he pelts and per-

secutes, either for his own interest, or sometimes his whim, directly ; or for his own interest, through the medium of a party by which he is praised and patronised, and which he, as in duty bound, praises and patronises in return. In proportion as he is absolved from the trammels of party, he becomes, *ceteris paribus*, a safer guide in all matters of argument from the facts ; but even in the most independent of the journals, and in those which are conducted by persons of the greatest talents, the argumentative part is seldom the most valuable. One who writes of the day and for the day, and who both knows and wishes that the topic about which he to-day concerns himself so much shall be forgotten, in order to leave the field clear for the topic—very different, perchance, both in its nature and in the spirit in which it is discussed—of the morrow, has no time and no temptation to go to the bottom of any subject. Both interest and necessity demand that what he says, while it sparkles to the eye of the reader, should not take much study in the comprehension ; because those who read this part of a newspaper with any view to utility, read it not as the basis of profound thought, or indeed of any thought at all, but merely adopt and use it as a species of ready-made conversation, which shall enable them to carry on the external formalities of speech at the same time that the in-

ternal machinery is drudging away at the intricacies of their own business. Hundreds of men whom you meet on the Exchange, the pavé, or the lounges, speak the *Times*, the *Chronicle*, the *Herald*, or the *Post*, at the same time that the inward thought is just as unconscious of the outward words, as though they were at the opposite extremities of the Island.

Of the better established and more effective daily journals, the power has become so great, that they may be regarded as patronizing even the most lofty and important political parties, rather than as being patronized by them ; and of this description of newspapers, though all have their favourites—men whom they support through good report and through bad, and the objects of their vengeance—men whom they traduce through bad report and through good ; yet it is not because they hope for advantage at the hands of the one, or dread harm at the hands of the other. I by no means say that they are too pure for being bribed ; but many of them are so rich that the thing would be expensive, others so wretched that even the smallest bribe would be thrown away, and all watch over each with such lynx-eyed jealousy, that a matter of this kind could not be carried on to any extent without being known, and if known would so sink the party in the estimation of the public, as that no bribe

could compensate even for the pecuniary loss. When it is considered that at least twenty, or perhaps thirty thousand pounds a year, must be expended upon a properly conducted Morning paper, without allowing a single shilling of profit to the proprietors, a judgment may be formed of the nature of the bribe (even supposing them to be bribeable) that could compensate them for the loss of the public patronage, or even tempt them to bring it into jeopardy. In times of peace, and with men in the House of Commons so much masters of Dilworth as Mr. Hume, it would be difficult even for the Chancellor of the Exchequer himself—supposing England to have a Chancellor that needed to resort to such a measure—to hedge into a corner as much money as would suffice for buying the control of a well-established Morning paper, and were he to attempt a measure of the kind, he would have, ere he could scatter it through his estimates, to confide it to so many persons, that one or other of them would be almost sure to reveal it, and then the disgrace of the discovery would more than outweigh any advantage that could be procured from the bribe.

In times of war indeed, when the hope of lucrative employment on the one hand, and the dislike of affording the lucre without any tangible compensation on the other, stir up the passions of men,

till upon both sides they be subject to momentary privations of reason—when they hope every thing, fear every thing, and of course believe any thing, one could conceive the possibility of such arrangements, as daily newspapers being under the control and in the pay of political parties; and it is also possible that, after the direct connexion has been dissolved through fear of the disclosure which the return of peace and reason would enable people to make, there may exist a species of flirtation between the divorced spouses, just in the same manner as we—very rarely—hear of such flirtations following divorces in private life: but I think I have had considerable opportunities of inquiring into this matter, I am sure I did not neglect those opportunities, and the impression left upon my mind is, at least when I was studying the Babylonian press, that, though a few underlings, both in office and clamorous because not in office, affected to take the Elephant under their little bat wings, not one of them succeeded; and from all that I could observe or hear, I feel convinced, that those which are the most free from all attempts of this kind, are at once the most successful and the most lucrative.

The daily newspapers vary in the labour, the expense, and the value of their contents, with the periods at which they appear; the Morning papers

being those which collect, at great expense and with varied shades of taste, the transactions of a whole day, while the Evening ones, in the more costly departments, content themselves with borrowing from these, and adding such matters of the morning as can be collected and put in types before two or three o'clock—the hour at which they usually go to press. The Morning paper is therefore to be regarded as the true Babylonian diurnal, while the Evening one is little better than a second edition, abridged in the main, but with some few things added, got up against the departure of the post for the information of the provinces—Thus the Morning paper has not only the more extensive and the more important duty to perform, but it has to perform it in a much shorter and much more inconvenient time; while the addition that is thereby made to the expense, cannot be less, in the case of a paper which is properly conducted, than from twelve to fifteen thousand pounds a-year. The Morning paper must procure for itself accounts of almost every thing that happens after mid day; and when the debates in Parliament are stormy and prolonged, it must contrive to get as much matter corrected and printed between eight o'clock in the evening—the earliest hour at which important debates usually begin, and eight o'clock on the following morning—the time at which, and

upon an average, previous to which, the papers are usually published, as would make a tolerably-sized and by no means uninteresting volume.

Those slow-going personages who call themselves literary men, because, after cudgelling their brains for one six months, they are enabled to produce a little book upon a less subject during the next six, would be astonished if they were to behold with what amazing rapidity, and yet with what perfect accuracy, this semi-intellectual and semi-mechanical engine—which, after all, has more intellect and more mechanism in it than any other engine with which I am acquainted—does its work ; and yet the method is so systematic, and, to those who have been for some time habituated to it, so simple, that it is not accounted the most honourable, neither is it the best rewarded, department of Babylonian literature. Still, however, it is one of the most arduous ; and as a temporary employment perhaps it is, to those by whom it is now, in the minor papers at least, in a great measure monopolized—the Irish students of law attending the Inns of Court, the most useful, inasmuch as it gives them great facility in understanding, remembering, and therefore replying to, what is said.

The way in which it is managed is this : there are connected with the paper some fifteen or twenty reporters, who are all retained, and occasionally

occupied in attending and writing accounts of public meetings, and dinners, and trials, and sporting-matches, and all sorts of matters in which people are supposed to take any interest, throughout the whole year. At the commencement of the Session of Parliament these are mustered in London : one or two of them are delegated to take charge of the more important cases in the Courts of law ; one or two more, who are often either superannuated or supernumerary, are appointed to look after the Peers, report when it pleases their Lordships that there shall be no debate, and take a part, and ask assistance for the remainder, when their said Lordships are visited by the spirit of eloquence : and these two detachments being separated, the remaining and more effective men are set to note down the words of the wisdom of St. Stephen's. This they do, by attending the House in rotation for a longer or a shorter time, according to the effective strength of the corps, taking notes in any way that they may find most convenient while there, and then hurrying away to their respective offices, to write at length that of which they have taken notes. The reporters of a morning paper, of any Parliamentary character, never remain longer than an hour at a time ; and unless it be when a debate lasts very long, is in one House only, and is of the utmost importance, they seldom remain a shorter

time than three quarters of an hour. If the speech be an eloquent one, and delivered with even a moderate degree of rapidity, the quantity of notes which may be taken during three quarters of an hour, will extend from a column to two columns of the smallest print in one of the largest-sized newspapers: and as it frequently happens that the same individual has to attend the House twice during a debate, it is possible that one reporter may have to write as much in the course of one night, as would form a pamphlet of three or four sheets octavo.—The mere mechanical performance of this would be a task of no very easy accomplishment; and the difficulty is increased by the necessity of understanding not only all the bearings of the subject under discussion, but all the extraneous matters that are employed in the illustration of it, and being able to quote correctly all the “ends of verse, and sayings of philosophers,” wherewith the orators season it.—It is thus that the matter is acquired.

But after the acquisition of it, it is still to be composed into types, the proofs have to be corrected, the whole made up into columns and pages, and so sent to press, whence it is expected to issue, and come in to every Babylonian breakfast table, as regularly as the rolls and butter. This again would appear to be a task of difficult and even impossi-

ble accomplishment ; but yet it is done, and done with such apparent ease and regularity, that they who are habituated to it consider it nothing more than an every-day business—so every day indeed, that though failure in it would be attended with blame, success is not accounted worthy of any of the praise of merit.

In the apartment—and it is sometimes neither a very large nor a very wholesome apartment—where the reports are written out, it may happen that there are ten individuals all writing at the same instant ; and so mingling their voices in jokes, tales, inquiries after quotations, and so breaking the eloquence with pauses for tankards of ale, and basins of tea, and mutton chops, and German sausages, and all other materials for supporting and strengthening the carnal man, that it would puzzle all the conjurors in the world, except those conjurors at the waving of whose wands the printed eloquence makes its appearance, to find out how any work of any kind could be done amid a confusion of sound and of circumstances so perfectly Babylonian. But it seems that if there be a stamina in the mind, and if the spur of necessity be applied to it with sufficient smartness, it can not only work, but work as orderly as a mathematician and as strongly as a giant, even when circumstances seem the least favourable for its exercise. Notwithstanding all the

wit, all the ribaldry, and all the replenishing which the exhaustion of such steam-engine-like labour requires, each of them contrives, at the end of every minute or two, to toss from him a slip of paper, so carefully written, that it requires no future correction ; and so close to the subject, that he of whose speech it forms a part has no disposition to quarrel with it. In consequence of this promptitude and division of labour, it very often happens, that before a Parliamentary orator has got half-way to his peroration, the editor, or other director, is reading in print the opening part of his speech, and cudgelling his editorial intellect as to how he may give it effect or answer, according as it happens to fall in or not fall in with the view which it pleases or suits his editorial ardour or his editorial policy to take of the matter at issue.

When any provincial matter of sufficient importance to the energy and the emulation of the Babylonian press is going on, the efforts which it can make are, if possible, still more wonderful. There have been instances in which long, laborious, and learned pleadings of counsel have been delivered, at not a very early hour of the day, sent up to town from a distance of forty, fifty, or sixty miles, printed, published, returned back again, read by the counsel who delivered them, and by him pronounced to be a faithful copy, not only of his

meaning, but of his words, before the opening of the Court called him to a renewal of his labours on the following morning. There are instances too, in which lengthened reports have been copied out upon the top of a mail-coach; and when an important trial takes place within some twenty or thirty miles, it is printed without much more loss of time than if it took place at the Babylonian Guildhall, or in the Courts or Chapel at Westminster.

It is this wonderful celerity and this unquestionable accuracy in the Babylonian press, that makes it an engine which cannot be imitated, or indeed understood, in any other place,—which will at least make it a long time before the same merits can belong to the press in any other place. They can exist only where there is general information and a reasonable share of liberty; and even where these are, they cannot exist without a dense population, and a population as wealthy as it is dense. As the nations upon the Continent were lately situated and governed, they can have nothing that is worthy of being called a press, while the American States have their population too widely scattered, and are too much a people by themselves, for producing any thing of the kind that can dévelope the history of the rest of the world. Indeed, we have no evidence that an engine of this kind can exist

out of London,—at least that it can so exist upon the great scale; for though in various parts of provincial England there be papers which are very much upon the alert in all provincial matters, and which both select from the metropolitan journals with great skill, and comment upon public measures with considerable ability, yet if these were deprived of all that they borrow from the Babylonian press, they would not be much better feathered than the daw after his creditors had forced him to make restitution.

Among the whole diurnal publications of the British metropolis, “*THE TIMES*” obviously deserves the first place,—a place so far before any of the others, that there is hardly any room, and therefore hardly any necessity, for a rival to it. In point of strength and of sagacity it is *Ganesa*, the Elephant; and, like the Elephant, its rapidity, in a straight-forward course, is just as great as its determination to stand still when it so pleases itself, and its power to trample upon those by whom itself or its friends, and more especially its cause, is injured or insulted.

Various other Journals, both daily and weekly, are established either for the avowed or the desired purpose of defending the principles and conduct, and combating the enemies, of certain parties or classes of men in the country; but the foundation

upon which “The Times” rests is far more extensive and therefore far more secure. It is purely an English paper—a *real* John Bull; and it possesses all the good qualities and all the faults of John’s character. Like John, its first care is that its business shall be better done than any body’s else; like John, it is honest and blunt; like John, it is apt to treat with indifference, and even with scorn, every thing of which it does not perceive the use; like John, it speaks its mind freely; and though it be, like John, a great stickler for the principles and even the forms of the constitution, like him it is very apt to growl at the details.

The very circumstance of “The Times” having originated and being conducted without party connexion, and solely as a mercantile speculation—a speculation of the same kind which has raised so many men of England, and especially of Babylon, to the summit of opulence and usefulness, renders it, if not a better guide to the formation of public opinion, yet a more express image of that opinion as it is formed, than any other journal of the day. This is the most valuable quality that a newspaper can possess; and the example of “The Times” has proved that it is the one which conduces most to the profit of the proprietors. Some papers give themselves airs in consequence of their supposed connexion with the fashionable world, and presume

to be silly in one department, and careless in another, because they happen to chronicle the routs at the west end of the town, and give pictures of the new faces—I beg their pardon, the new feet, which waltz at Almack's. Other papers set the owl of Minerva upon the very top of their foreheads, and become so deeply learned upon all subjects, that nobody is able, or indeed disposed, to look to the bottom of them upon any. A third class, even when peace is declared among the leading men of political factions, and they are beating the spears of their angry warfare into pruning-hooks, for the purpose of lopping off the rotten branches of the tree of the commonwealth and trimming and invigorating the green ones, still keep thumping at the drum, and squeaking at the fife, as if they expected hostilities forthwith to recommence. Others lay hold of a particular question, or rather a particular view or side of some question, and keep working at that, from blunder to blunder, and from nonsense to nonsense, throughout all the days in the Calendar. But none of these takes the same hold upon the British people, and especially upon the people of the British metropolis, that is taken by "The Times." To be convinced of this, one may, without comparing the spirit and manner of the papers, merely compare the number and the variety of real business adver-

tisements which “The Times” contains with those contained in any other paper. These cannot be obtained by private influence with any person or with any class, for they come alike from all classes, in proportion as they have to make their wants or their wishes known through the medium of a newspaper. The object of every one who advertises is that his advertisement should be seen by as many persons as possible ; and the fact that “The Times” contains, upon an average, more than twice as many advertisements as any other paper, is a proof that it has at least twice as many readers. It is, indeed, the only paper in which this very useful department is reduced to any thing like a regular system. In most journals you find them jumbled together in the same confusion in which they are delivered at the office ; and notices of “A Charity Sermon by the Bishop of Chester,” “Important Advice to both Sexes,” by an advertising quack, “The Book of the Church,” by his Majesty’s Poet Laureate, and “A Child’s Caul to be sold for Twenty Guineas,” by some old clothesman about Houndsditch,—may all be found hugging each other, as though, notwithstanding the impossibility of any thing like relationship, there were still some mystical principle of affinity which had drawn them together. In “The Times,” on the other hand,

if you wish for any particular kind of vendable commodity, or if you have any thing of which you wish to dispose, you know not merely the page, but the column, and the place of the column, in which to look for that which you want. Perhaps this arrangement—this making so many miscellaneous things to serve as their own index, may be one of the reasons why so many persons prefer “The Times” as an advertising paper; and though it be but a trifle in itself, it is exceedingly convenient, and saves a great deal of time.

Another great superiority of “The Times” is the earliness, and in general the accuracy, of its information. To insure this it must have a very extensive agency in every part of the world; and indeed so conscious are the proprietors that saving in this respect would not be wise, that (as I have heard) they have been known to employ fast-sailing vessels of their own, and thereby out-run the Government packets by several hours. This earliness of information is not only highly gratifying to general readers as matters of curiosity, but it is essential to men in many departments of business—especially to those who deal in foreign exchanges and foreign securities; and this, joined to its being firmly established as the great vehicle of advertising,

secures for “The Times,” if not an exclusive, at least an overwhelming circulation, among the merchants and money-changers of the City.

A third advantage of “The Times” is the watchfulness that it exercises in excluding every thing which would be violently shocking either to decency or to humanity. The police reports in this paper have, in the metropolis and its vicinity at least, done more toward the detection, and therefore toward the prevention of crime, than has, perhaps, been done by any other means; but in those reports, “The Times” has never made crime itself a matter of amusement, or attempted, by clothing ruffians in the garb of ribaldry, to pass them off as stage buffoons rather than serious enemies of society. In disclosing the errors and frailties of mankind too, although “The Times” never attempts to conceal or to palliate their faults, it is not so merciless toward the erring and the offending as some other papers.

A fourth superiority of “The Times” is the selection which it makes in those tedious things—reports of legal cases. Perhaps this may be, in part, attributed to its not having the same space to devote to them; but certain it is, that, though every important new fact and new decision in law, especially in mercantile law, be carefully recorded in “The Times,” yet one has not to wade to these through

if you wish for any particular kind of vendable commodity, or if you have any thing of which you wish to dispose, you know not merely the page, but the column, and the place of the column, in which to look for that which you want. Perhaps this arrangement—this making so many miscellaneous things to serve as their own index, may be one of the reasons why so many persons prefer “The Times” as an advertising paper; and though it be but a trifle in itself, it is exceedingly convenient, and saves a great deal of time.

Another great superiority of “The Times” is the earliness, and in general the accuracy, of its information. To insure this it must have a very extensive agency in every part of the world; and indeed so conscious are the proprietors that saving in this respect would not be wise, that (as I have heard) they have been known to employ fast-sailing vessels of their own, and thereby out-run the Government packets by several hours. This earliness of information is not only highly gratifying to general readers as matters of curiosity, but it is essential to men in many departments of business—especially to those who deal in foreign exchanges and foreign securities; and this, joined to its being firmly established as the great vehicle of advertising,

it becomes an elephant with his tusks broken, his trunk paralysed, and his joints stiffened—a shorn Sampson, over whom even the feeblest of the Philistines may gain at least a momentary advantage.

Another sin of “The Times” is its utter want both of taste and of time in the matter of *Balaam*. I do not mean the false prophet who caused Jacob to sin and suffer womanward,—indeed, I have no allusion at all either to falsehood or to prophecy: but there is a certain ingredient entering into the composition of every newspaper, and almost every periodical journal—and not of these only, for it forms the principal part of many and many a book, without the learned author’s being aware of its use, or even of its existence—which, for what reason I know not, is named, after the ass-admonished seer, *Balaam*. In books, this it generally an original commodity, and in many books it is the only thing that is original; but with the editors of periodical journals, and more especially with the editors of daily newspapers, it is the name of certain gleanings with which the paper is to be eked out, in case the news and advertisements of the day shall be too scanty for filling it completely. Those who are not overburdened with advertisements acquire considerable tact in the use of this *balaam*, and not only

keep it in very nice correspondence with the topics of the day, but sometimes, by concealing the sources whence it is derived, and altering a few words at the beginning and end of each paragraph, manage to give it so much the air of originality, that it very often passes current as their own. "The Times," however, does not need to beg, and scorns to appropriate ; and as its conductors, from the press of advertisements and other matters, have not much experience in the use of balaam, they contrive, when they are obliged to resort to it, to select their articles so perfectly out of date, as that no man can help seeing that they are stuck in merely because nothing more important has occurred to fill the space which they occupy.—Another sin which occasionally besets "The Times" is strong prejudice upon sundry points both of politics and of taste. Those prejudices indeed have in general this in their favour—that they lean toward that sturdiness, if not perfect clearness of principle, which is found in every tendency and propensity that is wholly and absolutely English ; and, for the sake of their better qualities, one may easily forgive them these. If, for instance, the people of any other nation give themselves airs, and lay claim to any thing superior to that of the same description which is found in England, "The Times" is not only apt to take

offence, but to betray that ignorance and indifference in respect of other nations which John Bull not only possesses, but boasts of. If, however, they be not interfered with, they have a good deal of the same blunt generosity which runs through the character of John Bull ; and though it be altogether impossible to reason them out of their prejudices, and indeed useless to attempt it, they contrive, if you let them alone, to get rid of them themselves.

But the grand quality of “ *The Times* ” is its independence—the utter inability of any quack or impostor to make it appear as though the conductors of “ *The Times* ”, from their own conviction, and in their own words, say what he wishes to be said of his nostrum, his project, or his production. It is true that their columns are open for advertisements, in any form that the advertiser sees meet, excepting, as I have heard, some of the more offensive and immoral species of quackery ; but still the people of “ *The Times* ” take especial care that no opinion, right or wrong, excepting their own voluntary opinion, shall be made to appear as theirs. The advertiser may construct his puff as summarily as he pleases,—he may begin it with the words, “ *We have seen with pleasure*,” “ *We have heard with delight*,” or, “ *We have great satisfaction in being able to state* ;” and he may go on in the same

keep it in very nice correspondence with the topics of the day, but sometimes, by concealing the sources whence it is derived, and altering a few words at the beginning and end of each paragraph, manage to give it so much the air of originality, that it very often passes current as their own. "The Times," however, does not need to beg, and scorns to appropriate ; and as its conductors, from the press of advertisements and other matters, have not much experience in the use of balaam, they contrive, when they are obliged to resort to it, to select their articles so perfectly out of date, as that no man can help seeing that they are stuck in merely because nothing more important has occurred to fill the space which they occupy.—Another sin which occasionally besets "The Times" is strong prejudice upon sundry points both of politics and of taste. Those prejudices indeed have in general this in their favour—that they lean toward that sturdiness, if not perfect clearness of principle, which is found in every tendency and propensity that is wholly and absolutely English ; and, for the sake of their better qualities, one may easily forgive them these. If, for instance, the people of any other nation give themselves airs, and lay claim to any thing superior to that of the same description which is found in England, "The Times" is not only apt to take

upon which “The Times” rests is far more extensive and therefore far more secure. It is purely an English paper—a *real* John Bull; and it possesses all the good qualities and all the faults of John’s character. Like John, its first care is that its business shall be better done than any body’s else; like John, it is honest and blunt; like John, it is apt to treat with indifference, and even with scorn, every thing of which it does not perceive the use; like John, it speaks its mind freely; and though it be, like John, a great stickler for the principles and even the forms of the constitution, like him it is very apt to growl at the details.

The very circumstance of “The Times” having originated and being conducted without party connexion, and solely as a mercantile speculation—a speculation of the same kind which has raised so many men of England, and especially of Babylon, to the summit of opulence and usefulness, renders it, if not a better guide to the formation of public opinion, yet a more express image of that opinion as it is formed, than any other journal of the day. This is the most valuable quality that a newspaper can possess; and the example of “The Times” has proved that it is the one which conduces most to the profit of the proprietors. Some papers give themselves airs in consequence of their supposed connexion with the fashionable world, and presume

to be silly in one department, and careless in another, because they happen to chronicle the routs at the west end of the town, and give pictures of the new faces—I beg their pardon, the new feet, which waltz at Almack's. Other papers set the owl of Minerva upon the very top of their foreheads, and become so deeply learned upon all subjects, that nobody is able, or indeed disposed, to look to the bottom of them upon any. A third class, even when peace is declared among the leading men of political factions, and they are beating the spears of their angry warfare into pruning-hooks, for the purpose of lopping off the rotten branches of the tree of the commonwealth and trimming and invigorating the green ones, still keep thumping at the drum, and squeaking at the fife, as if they expected hostilities forthwith to recommence. Others lay hold of a particular question, or rather a particular view or side of some question, and keep working at that, from blunder to blunder, and from nonsense to nonsense, throughout all the days in the Calendar. But none of these takes the same hold upon the British people, and especially upon the people of the British metropolis, that is taken by "The Times." To be convinced of this, one may, without comparing the spirit and manner of the papers, merely compare the number and the variety of real business adver-

tisements which “The Times” contains with those contained in any other paper. These cannot be obtained by private influence with any person or with any class, for they come alike from all classes, in proportion as they have to make their wants or their wishes known through the medium of a newspaper. The object of every one who advertises is that his advertisement should be seen by as many persons as possible ; and the fact that “The Times” contains, upon an average, more than twice as many advertisements as any other paper, is a proof that it has at least twice as many readers. It is, indeed, the only paper in which this very useful department is reduced to any thing like a regular system. In most journals you find them jumbled together in the same confusion in which they are delivered at the office ; and notices of “A Charity Sermon by the Bishop of Chester,” “Important Advice to both Sexes,” by an advertising quack, “The Book of the Church,” by his Majesty’s Poet Laureate, and “A Child’s Caul to be sold for Twenty Guineas,” by some old clothesman about Houndsditch,—may all be found hugging each other, as though, notwithstanding the impossibility of any thing like relationship, there were still some mystical principle of affinity which had drawn them together. In “The Times,” on the other hand,

possession of something to which the thing censured is a rival.

In many other papers, when a criticism on a book, for instance, is given, you never know whether that criticism comes from the proprietor of the book as a paid advertisement, or from the editor of the paper as a piece of honest-intentioned criticism ; but when such a thing appears in “ *The Times*,” without the mark as aforesaid, you can always be sure that they have examined the matter and question, and that what they say is the result of that examination. I am far from saying that they are infallible oracles,—that they may not sometimes praise a thing which is really bad, and at other times censure a thing which is really good ; but still it is a great matter to have a court in which, though there must be human frailty, and it may be a little private favouritism, there can be no direct bribery and corruption.

In consequence of their greater supply of matter which yields them money, and their attention to the events of the time, the conductors of “ *The Times*” have not much room for miscellaneous criticism : and this being the case, it may naturally be supposed, that there must be something which strikes them, at least, in that which they select ; and this gives an additional value to their praise, as well as an additional severity to their censure.

secures for “*The Times*,” if not an exclusive, at least an overwhelming circulation, among the merchants and money-changers of the City.

A third advantage of “*The Times*” is the watchfulness that it exercises in excluding every thing which would be violently shocking either to decency or to humanity. The police reports in this paper have, in the metropolis and its vicinity at least, done more toward the detection, and therefore toward the prevention of crime, than has, perhaps, been done by any other means; but in those reports, “*The Times*” has never made crime itself a matter of amusement, or attempted, by clothing ruffians in the garb of ribaldry, to pass them off as stage buffoons rather than serious enemies of society. In disclosing the errors and frailties of mankind too, although “*The Times*” never attempts to conceal or to palliate their faults, it is not so merciless toward the erring and the offending as some other papers.

A fourth superiority of “*The Times*” is the selection which it makes in those tedious things—reports of legal cases. Perhaps this may be, in part, attributed to its not having the same space to devote to them; but certain it is, that, though every important new fact and new decision in law, especially in mercantile law, be carefully recorded in “*The Times*,” yet one has not to wade to these through

CHAPTER V.

THE PRESS γ.

“ Now look through Fate! behold the scene she draws !
What aids, what armies, to assert her cause !
See all her progeny—illustrious sight !
Behold, and count them, as they rise to light.
As Berecynthia, while her offspring vie
In homage to the mother of the sky,
Surveys around her in the blest abode
A hundred sons, and every son a god :
Not with less glory mighty Dulness crown’d
Shall take, through *Babel*, her triumphant round,
And her Parnassus glancing o’er at once,
Behold a hundred sons, and each a dunce.”

IT is not only a singular fact, but a fact from which conclusions highly favourable to the good taste, discernment, and independence of the public may be drawn, that “ *The Times*,” the paper which obtains the largest and most lucrative share of public support, is almost the only one which is neither

supported by any political party or single class of persons, nor rests its claims upon the superiority with which any of its single departments is conducted, or the merits of any one individual engaged in the conducting of it.

The *Morning Herald* is, after “The Times,” an independent, or perhaps I should rather say, a mercantile speculation of the proprietors; and therefore, like every other mercantile speculation, it naturally looks for its support to the whole public, rather than to any one class. But it entirely wants the general taste and tact of “The Times;” and it owed the commencement, and owes the continuation of its success, more to the attention which it pays to the errors and crimes of society, and the turn which it has of converting those naturally repulsive subjects into matters of amusement, than to the earliness or the accuracy of its general information, or the soundness and depth of its political or critical opinions. Nobody, at least nobody with whom I ever spoke, either quoted his information from “The Herald,” or appealed to it as a standard of opinion. One never finds it introduced by political men, as a matter of caution, like “The Times,” or as a matter of praise or censure, like the party papers. One seldom hears its opinion appealed to as the test by which the professors or productions of the fine arts are to be judged,—

and one very seldom finds its words quoted in booksellers' advertisements. One cause of its not being referred to upon these latter subjects, may be, that by imitating "The Times" in putting the word "Advertisement" at the top of those paragraphs which are manufactured for the purpose of quotation, it prevents the possibility of quoting those expressions which it would be most agreeable for the parties to quote: but there is a more substantial reason; when "The Herald" does venture to give an opinion upon a literary subject, or a subject connected with the finer principles and more skilful practice of the arts, it contrives to show, that that is so much a matter without the pale of its ordinary occupations and congenial feelings, that it is unable to say much that is worthy of being quoted. It, in short, betrays a want of knowledge and a want of love of such subjects, which take away the merits of its praise, and blunt the sting of its censure; so that a man who aims at any thing higher than the broad amusement of the public for the passing hour, is apt to be indifferent as to what may be written about him in "The Herald."

Still, however, though not an instructing, "The Herald" is, as times go, and the tastes of men (unfortunately perhaps) lean, a very amusing publication withal; and there are, both in the Babylon

and elsewhere, thousands of persons who, I doubt not, would be very willing to sacrifice all the philosophy, all the patriotism, all the learning, and all the taste, provided that thereby they could secure a continuation of that broad humour, that making merry at faults and failings—that dressing up of ignorance and vice, as motley harlequins and facetious clowns, which is afforded to them by “The Herald.” And it must be admitted, that if one could bring oneself to believe that nightly brawls in the streets, and squabbles in the watchhouses, and scenes in the police-offices, were the fittest subjects about which to make merry, then “The Herald” would be a very clever, and deserve to be a very popular, journal. That the thoughtless—those who are bent upon what they call fun, and who care not what degradation or misery that fun may produce to others, should like the court colloquies, the ludicrous groups, and the singular actions, which “The Herald” sets before them, can easily be imagined; nor will it be denied, that, amid the mass of that which fastidious persons would call offensive matter, there are often delineations so droll, so graphic, so perfectly Hogarthian both in the outlines and the filling up, that they overcome the powers of the most grave and philosophic face, and even bespeak a patient, if not a favourable reading, for other delineations, which,

without the others, would be scorned and scouted, because of their unmixed offensiveness.

Although I am far from being of opinion (though to be sure my opinion is not worth much, and so I am asking nothing for it,) that the subject upon which “The Herald” avowedly rests its principal hopes of success, is either the most honourable in its nature, or the most beneficial in its effects upon the morals or the taste of society, I am very ready to admit, not only that its execution is admirable, but that it may occasionally do good. When, indeed, one observes the power of fancy, the tact in observation, and the ready hand at delineating, which appear in the police reports of “The Herald,” one cannot help regretting, that the labours of so very eminent a workman as they must employ in this department, must perish through the perishableness of his materials. Were he, to take a range somewhat wider, dip his figures when made in the philosophic waters, to give them long, if not immortal duration, and so group them together as to represent the general habits of classes, instead of the insulated acts of individuals, such a man might become in effect, what, candidly speaking, he seems to be in power—a sort of literary Hogarth, and do for the lower and lost classes of society, what many, with powers far inferior, attempt to do for the upper and aspiring.

reason to regard as fools ; and repeated disappointment in this way has induced me, before I placed confidence in any little leading paragraph that appeared in another paper, to look into " *The Times*," in order to see whether the same thing, or something analogous, was to be found there stamped a counterfeit, by having the same word " *Advertisement* " branded on its front.

This practice may, in the eyes of some, appear somewhat harsh toward the poor wight who concocts the paragraph and pays his money for its insertion ; but it is a matter of great justice toward the public, and a most effectual means of keeping up the character of the paper, and giving weight to its decisions when it happens to decide upon the merits of any thing, in its own language. If you find any praise or censure in the " *The Times*," which is not marked as an advertisement, you may always be sure that, right or wrong, that is the unbought opinion of the conductors of the paper, or of those to whom they delegate the management of the particular department to which the article judged of belongs. In this way, though the people of " *The Times* " may err, and no doubt do err, in their own judgment, they can never be supposed to mislead from those interested motives which necessarily influence those persons of whom the thing praised is the property, or who are in

possession of something to which the thing censured is a rival.

In many other papers, when a criticism on a book, for instance, is given, you never know whether that criticism comes from the proprietor of the book as a paid advertisement, or from the editor of the paper as a piece of honest-intentioned criticism ; but when such a thing appears in “ *The Times*,” without the mark as aforesaid, you can always be sure that they have examined the matter and question, and that what they say is the result of that examination. I am far from saying that they are infallible oracles,—that they may not sometimes praise a thing which is really bad, and at other times censure a thing which is really good ; but still it is a great matter to have a court in which, though there must be human frailty, and it may be a little private favouritism, there can be no direct bribery and corruption.

In consequence of their greater supply of matter which yields them money, and their attention to the events of the time, the conductors of “ *The Times*” have not much room for miscellaneous criticism : and this being the case, it may naturally be supposed, that there must be something which strikes them, at least, in that which they select ; and this gives an additional value to their praise, as well as an additional severity to their censure.

I know not, and I do not care very much, how others may feel upon such subjects: but if I were any way anxious of that ephemeral praise which is to be gleaned from the columns of a worshipper, “The Times” would be the one from which I would most anxiously glean it; but if I were reduced to the necessity of applying for such a thing, “The Times” would be the very last paper to which I would apply,—and I would do this from a conviction, that if I said or did any thing that they saw meet to notice, they would notice it without any hint from me; but that if I attempted to give them such a hint, then I should be apprehensive, that “the more I asked them, the more they would not do it.”

CHAPTER V.

THE PRESS γ.

“ Now look through Fate! behold the scene she draws!
What aids, what armies, to assert her cause!
See all her progeny—illustrious sight!
Behold, and count them, as they rise to light.
As Berecynthia, while her offspring vie
In homage to the mother of the sky,
Surveys around her in the blest abode
A hundred sons, and every son a god:
Not with less glory mighty Dulness crown’d
Shall take, through *Babel*, her triumphant round,
And her Parnassus glancing o’er at once,
Behold a hundred sons, and each a dunce.”

IT is not only a singular fact, but a fact from which conclusions highly favourable to the good taste, discernment, and independence of the public may be drawn, that “ *The Times*,” the paper which obtains the largest and most lucrative share of public support, is almost the only one which is neither

of iniquity. There are pieces of information to be obtained from individuals with whom the police cannot have any intercourse, but which may be, and often have been, brought to light by the mere publication of other crimes committed by the same parties in the newspapers ; and if the police magistrates were to be examined upon the point, I have no doubt that they would admit, that since the press paid so much attention to the matter, their labours have been more efficient.

There is yet one farther advantage of this species of publication, and that is, the effect which it has upon the police themselves. This has some happy effects, as it regards their Honours upon the benches ; but it has many more as it regards those whom they must employ in the inferior department. A magistrate, who knows that a million of persons will read every word he says, and decide upon every judgment he gives, within a few hours after it is delivered, must be upon the alert ; and when the officers employed know that so vigilant a watchman has his eye upon them, they will pause before they enter into those collusions, which, in former times, were so common as to be the foundation of proverbs. The general improvements of the age may have done a good deal towards bringing the police of London to its present state of efficiency and comparative purity ; but I am disposed

to think, that the rendering of police reports an object of general interest and curiosity has done a good deal more.

That by this means wounds may be given, and very often are actually given, to the feelings of individuals who ought not to have their feelings so wounded, cannot be denied; and that there have been cases in which "The Herald" has been very reprehensible in this way, is equally true; but there is no need at this time of day for mentioning that the casual abuses which may take place in the exercise of any function, are no argument against its general utility. That which is calculated to effect great good, must always be of an active nature, and for that reason dangerous when it gets into the hands of the ignorant or the heedless; and just as is the case with those who pervert religion, and medicine, and liberty, and wealth, and all other great and valuable things to mischievous purposes, the ignorant or injudicious uses to which police reporting has been prostituted, are no argument against the thing applied—they merely affect the mode of its application, and perhaps in it they have done far less injury to the feelings of individuals, compared with the great good that has resulted to society generally, than has occurred in the exercise of any power of the same extent, and the same activity.

The Morning Chronicle once stood at the head of what may be considered as party journals, and in some respects it then stood at the head of the whole daily press. The late Mr. Perry, to whom it owed its rise, and whose death brought about its sinking, if not its fall, belonged to a class of persons who cannot be looked for among the every-day proprietors and editors of newspapers; and, therefore, the celebrity of “*The Morning Chronicle*” being all along more dependant upon him, as an individual, than upon any superiority in the other departments of its management, naturally became weak when his strength was withdrawn from it. Mr. Perry possessed, in no inferior degree, the attributes of politician, man of the world, and man of taste; and if he was not just so profound as a philosopher, or as a critic, as the world was disposed to give him credit for being, they gave him the credit, and that answered the purpose equally well. During his lifetime, “*The Chronicle*” was not only by common report the Whig paper, but the editor and proprietor himself was admitted a party in the concocting of most of the Whig plans, and bore his share in every effort that was made for the carrying of those plans into execution. In those days, the Whigs were not only a more popular, but a more powerful, united, and gifted party than they are

in these latter times ; and, from those circumstances, their accredited organ, which always played in dignified strains, commanded much more respect than any similar organ could now command.

In addition to his political superiority, Mr. Perry had, and possibly deserved, the character of a kind of *arbiter elegantiarum* ; and in virtue of this character, his account of the motives and movements of the fashionable world were counted so superior to those of any other editor, that his paper was sought after, for its fashionable intelligence, by many who were hostile to its politics. Besides, he had the skill to select the best artists, both as servants and as amateurs ; and in consequence of this “ The Morning Chronicle,” in its better days, was certainly the most brilliant paper of that time, and perhaps superior to any thing that has been since introduced. There was a spirit in its poetry, a smartness in its anecdotes, and a gentlemanly air even in the severest of its strictures, together with a careful avoiding of all details and circumstances by which delicacy could be materially offended, that rendered it better adapted for being the newspaper of the polite world, than any of its contemporaries.

But the death of Mr. Perry, the decline of the Whig party, the total dissolution of all connexion

—at least of all avowed connexion between the remains of that party and the paper, its passing into other and very different hands, and the attempts that appear to have been made to bring it into competition with the common mercantile and hot-house journals, have completely destroyed the former character of “The Chronicle,” without giving it any thing that can be called a character in return. At present it is probably the most laborious of all the Babylonian journals; and yet it, perhaps, labours to less purpose than any of them. In its reports, it grasps at every thing, good, indifferent, and bad; and it seems to be watchful enough after news; but there is such a total want of discrimination and taste, and, above all, of life and spirit about it, that its labours do not turn to half the account of those of others who are not half so laborious.

In addition to those wants of “The Chronicle,” it has some qualities which it would be a great deal better without:—Upon many points of politics and political economy, it is by far too much the doating and dogmatical disciple of theories and principles which no sensible man can hold, and no man of very delicate mind would wish to be brought into practice. I shall not mention all its erroneous, or rather offensive frailties upon such points, but I may mention that “The Chronicle” either is labour-

ing, or was not very long ago labouring, at two of the most hopeless, and to all men of good taste and right feeling, two of the most revolting projects that ever accidentally took possession of the human head when they found it “ To be let unfurnished,” —the elevation of profligate infidelity into the place which religion occupies, and the substitution of the dregs of French vice for the good old purity of English domestic society. I know not why I should conceal the parties for whom “ The Chronicle,” at least at one time laboured—they were Richard Carlile, and a *soi-disant* philosopher, whose place is some where westward of Temple Bar ; the one of whom laboured (and perhaps did it through terror of starvation, which was at least some extenuation of his labour) to set men altogether free from the restraints of religion, and the other laboured (and if he did it without any necessity of pecuniary reward, that was no extenuation of his labour) to introduce among the most heedless and most helpless class of his fellow-subjects, notions which would have gone far to subvert not merely the moral principles, but the natural feelings, of a large proportion of the poorer classes.

There was no possibility for any journals wading through such sloughs as these, without being both soiled and encumbered by the stains ; and though I am far from supposing that “ The Chronicle” was

not thinking that it was in good earnest promoting the weal of mankind when it was working at these matters, yet even “The Chronicle” itself does not need to be told, that, in a public journalist, ignorance of this kind is just as mischievous in its effects as if the same conduct sprung from known and perverse wickedness.

In addition to those circumstances, “The Chronicle” is beset by a species of poking and perverse obscurity,—a faculty, in consequence of which it is continually labouring to render dark subjects which are naturally plain enough, and to persuade people that there is great depth in the shallowest of all possible matters. There is still a good deal both of learning and of power about it; but the learning is of that kind which the age either never has learned, or has been at some pains to forget; and the power is so perfectly unmanageable, or rather so unskilfully managed, that it turns to very little account. It often presents the most singular combinations: The beginning of a paragraph shall be religious, even to downright puritanism, whilst the end shall be an innuendo, and not a very dark one, that it would just be as well for the world if there were no religion at all;—it shall begin with a panegyric upon the glorious Revolution of 1688, and of the great blessings that have resulted to these kingdoms from the overthrow of the Romish

hierarchy, and it shall end by a piece of special pleading, neither very temperate nor very logical, in favour of the restoration of that same hierarchy to a large portion of its temporal power, and an admission of the genuine followers of Loyola to the management of state affairs in England. It contains, in short, a great deal of the empty husks of knowledge, and the dry stubble of theoretic philosophy; but it seems not to understand, and, indeed, it is not easy to see how it should understand, how to turn these matters to any effective practical account.

But, independently of any inferiority on the part of those by whom "The Chronicle" has been new modelled, and changed from a party paper of the first class and most decided spirit, to a general paper, certainly not the first of its class; and, whatever may be its industry, the very fact of changing it so completely, was in itself an act of a somewhat hazardous nature. It is true that they, into whose hands it is come, were not calculated to occupy the same relation in which Mr. Perry stood with regard to the Whigs, and the Whigs themselves do not appear so solicitous to have the direct co-operation of a single paper as they once were; but all things considered, it might have been just as well to preserve not only the semblance of a connexion with the Whigs, but the

whole of that tone of the paper, which would have prevented folks from seeing that men of high rank and lofty pretensions had ceased to be intimately connected with it. This, indeed, was the only unoccupied ground—the only ground upon which it had not to contend against a well-established competitor ; unless, indeed, it had plunged head and ears into high Church and high Tory politics,—a change which would have been a great deal too violent, and which, judging from the only Morning paper which takes that course violently, would not have been very advantageous. For early and general information, and as a vehicle for advertisements, “The Chronicle” had to contend, and to contend hopelessly, with “The Times,” while each of the other Morning papers barred it out from some department or other ; and thus, whatever might have been its strength, and the skill with which that strength was directed, its success must have been a matter both of difficulty and of time. Even its own friends appear to have given it at least tacit hints of the course which it ought to pursue: for, though it be not now a literary paper, the booksellers still continue to advertise very extensively in it ; and though it does not pay more frequent or more skilful attention to works of art and subjects of elegant amusement than the other papers of the day, yet they who deal in those

things continue to show either a partiality to it from habit, or a gratitude for its past services.

I have often heard “The Chronicle” complained of, upon the ground that folks are unable to determine whether the opinions which it does state upon commercial subjects, and literature, and the arts, be its own opinions or disguised advertisements ; and I must confess, that if some things which I have observed in it do not belong to the category of puffs, and if money has not been paid for their insertion, there is somebody connected with the paper, who will never discover the philosopher’s stone either in a mercantile or a metaphysical sense. One who remembers what “The Chronicle” was in former times, cannot help regretting being obliged to speak of it after this fashion ; but when one sits down honestly and conscientiously to describe things, one must describe things just as one finds them, and sacrifice even private feelings to regard for truth.

The Morning Post may be regarded as being partly a party paper and partly not. It has all along been upon the ministerial side, and it has been so violently or mildly in proportion to the temperature of its editors. It is not to be accounted as a strong paper any way. It affects to be fashionable ; and, like the majority of well-behaved people of fashion, it is too polite for doing

either much good or much harm. It is, however, very genteel in its manners ; and never gets out of temper either with itself or with any body else. It is respectable in its information, but it is never first-rate ; and though its opinions be always candid, and often kind, they are seldom expressed with sufficient strength. There is not a great deal either of depth or of substance in its political speculations ; and though in literature and in life it has some knowledge, and some love of what is soft and pretty, it does not appear to understand or to trouble itself about what is sublime or energetic. “The Post” has that listless kind of eye which belongs to an elegant lounger, or a lady who is quite contented to rest the whole of her fame upon being fashionable ; it cannot see things that are very large, neither can it see those of which the point is very small and keen. But the same qualities which render “The Post” comparatively a feeble paper, render it safe to all and agreeable to many : it never tears and destroys private character, like some of its more daring brethren ; and I, at least, have never heard of its exerting itself to stifle the fame or ruin the prospects of any candidate for public notice. “The Post” appears to be quite at home and happy, in its own fine writing—which is not always very fine, and in its own poetry—which is not always very poetical. In consequence of this

unassuming mildness of character, “The Post” differs from most of the other papers; for while the real value of their opinions is never above par, and frequently at a discount, that of “The Post” usually circulates at a premium.

The New Times is a journal which takes the same side in politics that “The Post” takes, when its gentleness enables it to take a side at all: but “The New Times” is a paper of a very different description; it is decidedly, and one would almost say furiously, a party paper. Its original name was “The Day;” and since, in consequence of the secession or expulsion of its present, or at any rate its late, editor from “The Times,” it has been called “The time-o’-day,”—a word of most emphatic import in the Babylonian slang vocabulary. Setting aside the politics of “The New Times”—and while the government of the country is upon a peace and prosperity establishment, the violent part of the politics, not only of “The New Times,” but of every other paper, will be set aside, whether they who drudge therein be so disposed or not—the said “New Times” is what one would call a fair and civil journal; but it is in the habit of being very slovenly withal, and neither selects information, nor states proceedings, with that discrimination and tact which characterise the journal of which it professes to be a new edition. Sometimes,

too, and the times at which it has attempted this are rather recent than remote, it has opened a vein of what it has been pleased to call original humour ; but that humour has proved to be either so *peccant*, or so perfectly insipid, that they have allowed the vein to close again. Indeed, no time could be worse chosen for the commencement of a species of writing which had nothing to recommend it but its ill-nature, and not enough of that to make an effective recommendation, than that at which “ The New Times” began to deal in this sort of commodity. Come from whichever side of a question it will, there is nothing in which the right of primogeniture is more strong than in the calling of names ; and had “ The New Times” been the first to do this, there is little doubt that, if it had not become celebrated, it would have become abundantly notorious. But while there was room left for such a thing, and patience in the public to hear it, “ The New Times” was demonstrating its indignation, and denouncing its vengeance, against those whom it did not like, in very solemn though somewhat sickly strains ; and the change from these to ribaldry, even though that ribaldry had been fresh and new, would, in all probability, have been too much for its constitution. It used to be a sort of lecturing and lamenting paper ; and even where it did not find conviction, (for it never was remarkable for carrying conviction with it,) it moved pity ; and

that which is at one time successful in exciting the compassion of mankind, is not the most promising for exciting their laughter at another. When it took to its solemn lamentations, nobody was probably very much alarmed for the actual coming of the evils which it foresaw ; but there were many who would have been very sorry had those evils actually come, and there were not a few who regretted that a paper laying claim to so much kindness of heart, and purity of principle, should be afflicted with the anticipation of them. Those dangers, or rather those phantasma of danger, have, however, passed away ; and the country and “ The New Times” have great reason to be thankful that they have preserved their existence—or rather, perhaps, that their safety has been preserved in a way which, perhaps, neither of them exactly understands. In matters of taste and literature, “ The New Times” has all along been accounted more honest than intelligent ; and those who have withheld from its decisions on these points the praise of absolute wisdom, have generally conceded to them that of very gentlemanlike impartiality. But this has not been uniform ; for there have been occasions upon which, without displaying one jot more either of depth or power than usual, it has run quite wild : yet as it generally remained but a short time in those fits, and as nobody seemed to be seriously hurt by its

conduct while in them, it would not be fair to put them upon record against it.

There are only other two Morning papers set forth in the Great Babylon ; and the one of these is the property of rather a numerous class of persons, while the other is devoted to the interests of another class, as numerous, and, in a national point of view, far more important : *The Morning Advertiser* is the property of the publicans, or, as they term themselves, “ The Society of Licensed Victuallers ;” and *The Public Ledger* is devoted to the shipping and commercial interests. Both of these papers being certain of a considerable circulation—a circulation as wide as the originating or patronizing class will admit of, without the exertion of any very great degree of talent or the putting of themselves to any very great expense, have learned to take matters in so snug and quiet a way, that in their quantity of matter, and also in the quality of it, they are considerably different from the other Morning papers. “ The Advertiser” is seldom seen or heard of out of London ; it is not met with in London, except in the houses of those from whose fraternity it emanates ; and though all or most of them take it by way of encouraging a project belonging to their craft, there are few of them who do not find it expedient to order one or more of the other Morning papers. When Parliament is not sitting, and when no other matter of great public

interest is in progress, “ the other papers sink down to a sort of resemblance to “ *The Advertiser*;” but during the sitting of Parliament, and indeed during the occurrence of any thing, an account of which can be acquired only through great labour and at great expense, it would be in vain to look, at least, for an early or a full account of it, in “ *The Advertiser*.” In its Parliamentary reports, indeed, it has a very summary way of going to work ; for however long or however loudly the members may debate at a certain hour, and that by no means a late one, “ *The Advertiser*” condenses the whole of the eloquence into the single line, “ An interesting debate ensued, in which (such and such members) took part ;” and thereupon it adjourns the House. Latterly it has been stirring ; but still it smells of the Justices’ juice.

The Ledger, instead of being, like “ *The Advertiser*,” confined in its circulation to the metropolis, and in a great measure restricted to a class who have not only the opportunity, but the necessity, of seeing the other journals, circulates among those connected with shipping at the out-ports, as well as in the capital ; and therefore it demands a little more variety in its materials, and a little more care in the selection of them. Besides, there are subjects, and occasionally not the most obvious or manageable subjects, upon which it must state opinions ; and thus, though the task of “ *The Ledger*”

be not so severe as that of the more general Morning papers, it is one of the labours of Hercules, compared with what devolves upon "The Advertiser."

Each of those papers seems, however, to answer the purpose for which it is intended, and to work away in a quiet and peaceable manner; but as neither of them has a first-rate influence upon public opinion, or is a first-rate vehicle of public opinion, either in London or any where else, I may close my remarks on them, and with them conclude my notice of the Morning papers.

I believe I mentioned already that an Evening newspaper is by no means so arduous, so original, or so expensive as a Morning one; because it can add to the news contained in the latter only a few occurrences that take place during the early part of the day. But though upon this account, as well as on account of the Babylonians being chiefly engaged in business or in pleasure at the time of their appearance, the Evening papers have a comparatively limited circulation in town, they have a corresponding greater one in the country.—Country readers receive them by the same conveyance that brings the Morning ones; and as they have not only time to carry the news of town down to about two or three o'clock, but also leisure to comment at greater length upon the subjects contained in the Morning papers, they suit better for

those who depend upon the journals of the day for their reasonings as well as for their facts. On this account, the Evening papers are perhaps more violent and decided in their politics than the Morning ones ; and it is somewhat curious, that those of them which are the best supported, both in circulation and in advertisements, are of different politics from the best-supported Morning papers.

I have heard this explained upon the supposition that the people in the country are a great deal more loyal—a great deal more devoted to the Ministry for the time being, than they of the Babylon ; and I am disposed to admit that official men in the provinces have exalted notions, both of their own importance in the State, and of the sense that Ministers have of that importance, which could not exist in London. He who is the chief man in some little corner, who looks down upon a score of villagers, or a few scores of burgesses, as the subjects of his demi-kingship, very naturally feels towards the King as a humble relative feels toward one who is high ; whereas in London, the most lofty and assuming man in office soon finds out that his chief value in the estimation of the Minister consists in his living peaceably, and duly paying his taxes. Instead, therefore, of there being more of the reality of attachment to the Government in the

country than in London, the quantity that is there merely looks larger in consequence of the smallness of other things.

But there is another cause why provincial men should give a preference to Ministerial journals: a very considerable number of the provincials have got it into their heads that newspapers are grand instruments in the hands of political parties; that those papers which defend the measures of Government and attack with violence other papers which do not defend the measures of Government, are not only in the confidence and pay of the Administration, but that articles are regularly written for them by its most eminent members. When, therefore, the loyal parson, or headborough, or deputy lieutenant, or member of the quorum, in a remote place or district, gets hold of a paper which puts itself into a fury against something which has appeared in a journal that he imagines to be in the keeping of the Whigs or Radicals, he instantly sets down the vapouring of the newspaper, not only as the opinion, but as the production, of the Lord Chancellor, the First Lord of the Treasury, or one or other of the principal Secretaries of State; and doing this, he not only looks upon the paper as being an oracle of truth, but an oracle of the gods of his political worship, and he seeks after and reverences it accordingly. Those who are on the

spot and know how different the occupations of Ministers are from the writing of newspaper paragraphs, and how different the majority, if not the whole, of those by whom these paragraphs are written, are from the confidential associates of Ministers, need not to be told that this is a delusion: but a delusion, while it lasts, seems to take a stronger hold upon the minds of men, than the truth does; and as this is rather a flattering delusion, they who are under it have no desire that it should be removed, while the newspaper-men, feeling that it gives them importance, and brings them profits, are at some pains to keep it up.

In consequence of its supposed connexion with Ministers, and its consequent extensive circulation, *The Courier* may be placed at the head of the Evening papers. In political matters “*The Courier*” is more noisy than wise; and therefore I should suppose that Ministers, instead of giving it assistance in its labours, or payment for them, do not, in many instances, deem it worthy of the small *honorarium* of their thanks,—if, indeed, which is by no means certain, they know of the great trouble to which it appears to put itself on their account. In the evenings, Ministers are generally far more usefully or far more agreeably employed than in reading newspapers, and when they do read those publications, I am inclined to think that they

sits down to breakfast—the meal which he is said least to relish, and when he is cudgelling his brains about the business of the day: it therefore comes upon him when he has neither time nor turn for argument, and when his importance in his own eyes, and consequently his disregard of all else, is a maximum. The Evening paper, on the other hand, pays its addresses to him when he has been fed and is at his ease—when he has retired to his club-room or his coffee-house, for the purpose of enjoying a portion, at least, of the fruits of that harvest which, during the long morning, it has been his business to reap. In such a state of mind—perhaps it would be more correct to say, in such a state of body—he seeks amusement rather than information; and as words are much more light and manageable than things, he probably gives the sayings of the Evening paper a preference to the recordings of the morning one; and as men are very apt to be loyal or not loyal, in proportion as they find themselves comfortable or not comfortable at the moment, it is extremely probable that John's politics may be somewhat different when John is full and lolling at his ease, than when John is empty and turmoiling himself at business. At all events, there must be some reason for John's preference for the Independent "Times" in the morning, and the courtly "Courier" in the even-

ing ; and they who feel disposed to dispute that my theory is not the right one, are very welcome to publish a better.

Setting aside its politics altogether, “The Courier” is perhaps the best of the Evening papers, in a general point of view ; and, except in details of some parts of the proceedings of the day, it perhaps adds more to the information contained in the Morning papers than any of the other. In copying from those papers, I have, however, heard a charge brought against it : I have heard it said, that, in order to make the tone of a parliamentary report accord better with the tone of its own politics, it occasionally not only cuts down the best speeches, and omits the best arguments of some of the Opposition members, but leaves out, on the part of its favourites, whatever it conceives to be too specific an admission or too candid an avowal. I have mentioned again and again, and I cannot mention it too often, that Mr. Canning was(!) one of the most candid ministers that ever assisted in the councils of England ; and I have some sort of recollection of an instance in which a dispute took place between “The Times” and “The Courier,” as to the meaning of his words, which was decided in favour of “The Times,” by an appeal to that gentleman himself. The report in “The Times” bore that ministers had abandoned a certain opinion, after having

for a short time entertained it; and the report in “The Courier” made it appear that ministers had never entertained such an opinion at all. The words in “The Times” were qualified by, “at least for any length of time,” or something to the same meaning; and this qualifying clause “The Courier” left out, and therefore gave to Mr. Canning’s statement a meaning altogether different,—and a meaning which, when appealed to, he at once declared not to be the right one. This fact is in itself of no great importance; but it shows how necessary it is to keep the commentaries of a newspaper from mutilating the facts: and I have heard, that since this little disclosure, not only “The Courier,” but some of the other papers, have learned to be much more circumspect than they were previously.

The Globe and Traveller is by many considered as the rival of “The Courier,” and certainly there is a great difference both between the politics and the practices of the two journals. Amid all its vehemence, and its decided leaning, “The Courier” is, out of the lines of its peculiar prejudices, a light, lively, and good-natured paper,—more remarkable for a wool-gathering sort of humour, than for any thing digging to the depth or glooming in the gravity of any thing philosophic. “The Globe and Traveller,” on the other hand, affects to be as solid as the one part of its title, and

as *recherche*, and as well-licensed, it may be, for vending the marvellous, as the other half of the same. In its details, “The Globe and Traveller” is pretty good; but it has the ponderosity, and the gravitation towards its own centre, which constitute a globe, and keep it in its form; and the traveller who inditeth its speculations, cometh from a far country—a country so remote from common men and common notions, that few can tell whether he sayeth sooth there anent or not. The streams of philosophy are like the streams of rivers: if they be too much exposed to the sun, men are apt to discover their shallowness at some seasons, and apprehend danger from their overflow at others; and if they run too deep, they may, like the rivers of Southern Africa, cease to have a fertilizing effect, and become lost both to sight and to utility. The philosophy of “The Globe and Traveller” is somewhat in this latter predicament. By the look of the paper you can perceive, or at least presume, that there is a world—a globe of wisdom in it; but in what that wisdom consists, where it lies, how it operates, or what purpose it serves, I, at least, have never been able to find out. I have seldom seen more massy and more sensible-looking paragraphs, or disquisitions which seemed to have more of that solid quality which enables those who use it to sink deep among things and their causes; but when I

began to seek for it, in order that I might know what it was about, and admire its operation, I always in the end found myself regretting that it had fallen sheer through the bottom, and was lost.

It is possible that my disappointment in this respect may be my own fault : but admitting that it is, I do not see that a sufficient case in favour of the use of this ultra-profoundity has been made out ; because those who affect to write for all the world, should not show themselves so much wiser than the rest of the world, as that plain men cannot understand their mode of proceeding in the enunciation of ordinary opinions and the elucidation of every-day events. Even in the least profound of political questions, there is enough for common men to grapple with, without compelling them to grapple through the mists of an occult philosophy.

“ *The Globe and Traveller* ” has the character of being a very liberal and independent paper—of not taking a very violent part either for or against any of the confessed and acknowledged sets of politicians ; and, in as far as I am able to judge of it, I have no great disposition to quarrel with this character : indeed, whenever I have attempted to analyse it, my attention has always been so much diverted from that part of its character which I knew, and drawn to that which I have found I

could not know, that I have never been able to make up my mind, as to whether those, who deal in that tremendously profound philosophy whereby I have been so often put to a stand, and that flail-like wit, which kept me at a distance because I never could be certain how or where it might hit, see clearly themselves, either what it is they use or how they are using it.

In literary and other criticism, “*The Globe and Traveller*” manifesteth the same mass, and driveth out the bottoms of things with nearly the same power and effect, as in politics. In literature and science it brings forward such a quantity of its own learning, that you can neither see its opinion of the thing under discussion, nor almost that thing itself; so that the only fact which it demonstrates to you on such subjects, is one which is not overflattering to your personal vanity—namely, that “*The Globe and Traveller*” is not only a great deal wiser than yourself, but a great deal wiser than it will condescend to tell you.

The British Traveller is a paper that has arisen since “*The Traveller*” took to general politics, and rambled over “*The Globe*.” It belongs to the Society of Mercantile Travellers, by whom, I believe, it is farmed out, upon an understanding that it shall not be very violent either way in politics, but shall rather direct itself to the accumulation of facts

connected with business, and the gathering together of such gossip as may enable the way-worn traveller, after he has made his day's journey among the tradesmen's shops, to while away the hour during which he takes his ease at his inn, and replenish him with fresh jokes wherewith to bring the provincials into a bargaining humour, and (harder task !) to a paying humour, upon the following day. In consequence of this its destination, "The British Traveller" is more learned in the matter of taverns, fly-waggons, stage-coach horses, and fares and rates, than any other which issues from the many tongues and pens of Babylon; while in its selections it takes especial care to furnish a sufficient quantity of things that can be repeated. But as it addresses itself to those who during the whole course of their lives are merely passengers, it does not pause to settle the why and the wherefore of any thing; and, with respect to a political or a critical opinion, you would as soon think of consulting the way-bill of a mail-coach, as of consulting "The British Traveller." Sometimes it contains writing that outruns all travellers, and shows as if the writer, tools and all, were fired at the public from a mortar.

Nothing can show more strikingly the congruity and keeping that exist between the physical and the intellectual (if, after all, it be the intellectual)

state of the Great Babylon, than that the matters which every where else are accounted the most brilliant, are least brilliant there. If you look into the writings of the genuine Babylonian bards, you will find, that, though they sometimes make use of diamonds, and gas-lamps, and eyes, and other matters, to set forth the ideas of brightness, they very seldom draw upon the sun or the stars, or even upon what might be considered more favourite and familiar—the moon, for the purposes of illumination. The Babylonian sun, dimmed as he is by the three opposing forces, which bear rule in rotation—fog, smoke, and dust—is not merely shorn of his beams, but tarnished in those that remain ; while the genuine Babylonian stars belong more to the terrestrial than to the celestial globe, and instead of garnishing the zones and zodiacs of the heavens garnish the pavements and parades of the earth. It is much the same with the Babylonian newspapers. There is supposed to be still continuing to be set forth within it an evening paper, which is called *The Sun* : but it is as rarely to be met with by Babel's streams, as the physical sun in a Babylonian November. It may shine, and probably does shine, in the country ; but as it does not appear in any way to increase the quantity of metropolitan light, an account of it would form

no part of metropolitan dissection ; and therefore the rustic world may be allowed to embrown their minds by exposure to it, just as they embrown their bodies by exposure to that after which it is named.

Still, however, just as is the case with the physical sun, "The Sun" continues to number its months and its years, to record how much older the world is getting, and to perform its apparent diurnal revolutions, whether men heed its movements and take warning by its admonitions, or not. I have heard that "The Sun" has a peculiar kind of circulation: the provincial Corporation Members of Parliament —whether from an instinctive or an experimental perception, that what their constituents stand most in need of is light, I will not take upon me to determine—are said, and in many instances I know it to be the fact, to send down "The Sun" daily, as a sort of gratuitous illumination; but how or to what extent it has operated, or is calculated to operate, in this way, I will also not take upon me to determine. It is but justice to say that, since these words were written, "The Sun" has *risen*, and shines well.

I know that, in this brief enumeration, I have not included all the number; and probably I may not have been true to all the characters of the daily journals; but I have had no desire to be

partial, and no motive either to be silent or to speak out.

It may not be improper to remark that the successful conducting of a daily newspaper, or, indeed, of a newspaper of any kind, does not depend wholly upon the literary ability or political and moral uprightness of those by whom it is conducted. There is a species of tact, a method of laying hold of the lion of the day and exhibiting him in the most inviting, or rather the most grotesque and extraordinary attitude. There must be a cautious feeling of the public pulse—an anticipation of how they are to wish and feel; and when that is ascertained, the journalist must shape his opinion accordingly. Hence, to those who are in the secret, the value of a news-editor's opinion is not very great; and thus, the most useful paper is the one which sets before the public the greatest number of facts in the most clear and faithful manner. I do not say that such a paper would find the greatest number of readers; for many of them like their mental (shall I call it?) as well as their physical food highly seasoned; but still, the matter of which the editor of a newspaper should be most sparing, is that which is spun by his own speculating apparatus.

Notwithstanding the number of the Babylonian journals, it is remarkable to see how few editors

there are of the first class. Among the minor ones, the editor is usually either a servant of all work, and thus, according to the proverb, entitled to "do nothing well," or he lives in constant fear of the breaking down of the concern, and thus cannot exercise in an independent, and therefore in a useful manner, the talents which he happens to possess. To make the efficacy perfect, talents, and experience, and character, should all be combined. But the press—the newspaper press, must be entered at the lower extremity, where men must drudge hard; and thus, though there be few, indeed, even of its most inglorious and worst-paid departments, which do not require a very considerable portion of talent, yet, in those departments, in an especial manner, it has more the character of a refuge of the destitute, than of any thing else. Still, it is only from the lower ranks of the press that the upper can be properly recruited; because experience has proved that the ablest scholars and the most clear-headed politicians, are unequal to the task of making a captivating newspaper, unless they also know something about the details and the drudgery.

CHAPTER VI.

THE SUNDAY PRESS.

“ This labour past, by Bridewell all descend,
(As morning-prayer and flagellation end,) To where Fleet-ditch, with disemboguing streams, Rolls the large tribute of dead dogs to Thames: Great King of dykes! than whom no sluice of mud With deeper sable blots the silver flood.
‘ Here strip, my children! here at once leap in; Here prove who best can dash through thick and thin, And who the most in love of dirt excel, Or dark dexterity of groping well. Who flings most filth, and wide pollutes around The stream, be his the *Weekly Journals* bound; A pig of lead to him who dives the best— A peck of coals a-piece shall glad the rest.’ ”

POPE.

WHETHER, when he endited these lines, Pope felt the double inspiration of the bard and the seer, and while he gave, perchance, an accurate estimate of the hebdomadal literature of his time, saw also in vision that the News-hall—the centre whence the Sunday Journals more especially, and

more numerously issue, it may be of little consequence to inquire ; but it is a singular fact in the history of modern literature—or, to speak more correctly, it is a curious and peculiar feature in the Babylonian practice of printing and publishing—that the day which the ordinance of every Christian church, and the laws of every Christian state, set apart for bodily repose and moral improvement, should be the day, of all the days in the week, upon which the newspaper press drudges incessantly, and sends forth by far the greatest number of gossiping sheets of paper. I leave it to the saints and sophists, to estimate how far the wisdom or the wealth of the people is improved or deteriorated by those copious doses of four-penny stamps, charged with “ News from all nations,” and purporting to give a faithful portraiture of men and of things, from the King and the throne whereon he deigns to sit, to the criminal and the treadmill at which he is compelled to drudge,—unfolding, as it were, the whole book of society, and leaving every man to pick out his virtue or his vice, as may best suit himself.

An engine which is so vast and so regular in its operation—which comes upon the greatest body of the labouring classes at the only time when they can afford leisure to read—and which, in fact, forms the principal, and with many the sole, source of reading and mental culture, seems worthy of more

attention, and of a larger share both of judgment and of intellect, than seem hitherto to have been bestowed upon it. When I state that fifty thousand newspapers—a very large number of which are purchased and read by the labouring classes—are distributed every Sunday morning, over a circle of forty miles diameter, of which London forms the centre, I certainly speak very considerably within the amount. Those papers form not only the Sunday instructors of the father and his comrades, but they become the *vade-mecum* of his family during the week; and much as I respect the organs of religious instruction, of all keys and all pitches, I am disposed to think that the Sunday press has a greater influence upon the minds and the morals of the working classes, and even upon those of society generally, in and near the Great Babylon, than the homilies of all the preachers, from the Lord Primate to the cobler, delivered in all sorts of places, from the splendid cathedral to the unenclosed common.

Whatever may be the nature of those Sunday journals, the great demand that there is for them, and the rapidity with which that demand has of late years increased, are unequivocal proofs of the improvement that has taken place among the poorer classes of the people; and whether it be asserted that this is the best or the worst species of read-

ing in which they could be engaged, it proves alike their desire of knowledge and their capacity for receiving it.

The Sunday papers are of course culled from the papers of the week ; and as the Sunday journalist has six times the materials, and six times as much leisure to work upon them, as he who has to make his appearance daily, a much smaller quantity both of taste and of talent should make a much better production. In most cases, however, it does not appear that these advantages are improved ; for among the Sunday journals, there are many which neither take the best parts of the daily ones, nor put them together in the best manner. They seem to know, either by intuition or from trial, that those for whom they write are more partial to the marvellous and the mischievous, than to that which is solid and pure ; and hence, the stores laid up for Sunday consist as frequently of the nonsense, and folly, and vice of the week, as of its better qualities. Nor is it in their selections alone that the manufacturers of Sunday papers evince a lower estimate of the taste of the readers than the daily journalist ; for, with perhaps a few permanent exceptions, and certainly a few occasional ones, there is more brawling politics, more false philosophy, more coarse invective, more unfounded assertion, and more

“Fruits of dull heat and sooterkins of wit,” in the Sunday papers, than in any other class even of Babylonian publications.

In the “mere file” of the Sunday press—those which are not of any party—do not rest their hopes of profit upon their politics, there is one general character—their criticisms on literature and the arts (more especially the drama) are never worth any thing. They are the veriest *Balaams* of the compost. The praise is given for the advertisement, the book, or the ticket of admission, and the censure whenever that is refused; and neither the one nor the other has the smallest reference to the value of the thing commented upon. If you wish to buy a book, never read what a minor Sunday paper says of it; and if you be in any doubt about which are the minors, avoid the whole.

The Sunday papers may be arranged into several divisions,—such as those which are violent in their politics, and season the otherwise senseless matter with a reasonable quantity, and in many instances with more than a reasonable quantity, of individual character and private scandal; secondly, those which appear to have no object beyond the profit which is earned by the insertion of advertisements and the sale of copies—which risk no character, and spend no talent in original writing of any kind, and which show pretty clearly that

they have not much to risk or to expend ; thirdly, there are Sunday papers which confine themselves more immediately to the sporting world, and which use and circulate a language much more Babylonish than that of their brethren ; fourthly, there are nondescripts, which are so continually altering their opinions and changing their manners, that nobody can determine either at what they are driving, or how they are driving at it, any farther than by the help of the general principle, that, in common with the rest of mankind, they are striving to do as little as ever they can, and to get as much as ever they can for the doing of it.

If I were to sum up in a few words my estimate of the Sunday press, I should say, that, for the purpose of news, there is not one of its productions worth reading by one who reads the daily papers, saving and excepting "The John Bull;" and John's claim most certainly does not rest upon his being either an oracle of wisdom, or a preacher of righteousness. Upon many points, the Sunday "John Bull" outdoes both in stubbornness of prejudice and vehemence of growling, his every-day namesake. His political opinions, abstracting those prejudices from them, are neither very liberal nor very profound ; and, indeed, he usually has the discretion to avoid those intricacies of principle and of argument, in which his horns would

be in danger of getting entangled. When, however, a matter is not too deep for him, or when his prejudice does not disturb his perception of it, no body knows the right better, or can state it with more hardihood, point, and effect, than this same “John Bull.” You cannot help feeling that the Bull has gored with his horns, trampled with his hoofs, and switched with his tail, sundry persons and things which you would have been just as well pleased if he let alone : but still, you like the strength and spirit of the beast ; and pardon him for occasionally setting his foot upon a flower, or wounding a sheep, in consequence of the glorious style in which he makes the yelping curs and useless puppies spin through the air. There is another thing which, unless he has given a drive either to yourself or to some great favourite, is very apt to occur to you as an excuse for a little ferocity in “The Bull,”—he has been so much baited, and baited by foes of such clamorous natures and such adverse dispositions, that it was enough to have put, not a Bull merely, but a whole drove of peaceable and laborious oxen, completely out of temper.

There is no paper which has been laid so often and so heavily at the door of the Treasury, as “The John Bull;” and though the mistake here be just as great as in any of the other cases, it has been admirably seconded upon the part of “The

Bull," itself; and thus has conducted perhaps more to its success, than even the very great but withal very wicked weapon which it has wielded.

The events of the time at which this paper started were most favourable to it; and though the side which it took in the matters which then agitated and divided the country was certainly not the popular side, the event has proved, that, in as far as the support of the paper extended, it was the permanent one. The Church of England is a most substantial fabric, and, along with a good deal of prejudice, it has, perhaps, more power either to hurt or to help, than any other institution in the country. Its members are numerous; they are scattered every where, they are all men of education, most of them are men of the world, and the whole bent of their education, their office, their character, and their ambition, both leads and enables them to take a very strong and a very constant hold upon society. The power of the people, as it is called,—the disposition of the popular voice, to council, to dictate, or to lead,—comes on with all the noise and all the foam, and discharges itself with all the splutter of a wave; but, like a wave, it ebbs off again, and is still, distant, and without effect, till some new impulse makes it return in the same turbulent and momentary manner as before: the power of such a body of men as the Church of

England, who are so well instructed, so well organized, and whose duty it is to make themselves masters of the entire machinery of society, resembles, on the other hand, a river, the springs of which are perennial, and which therefore rolls on silently but surely, without any violent overflowings, but with a volume and a current which augment and accelerate as they run. "The John Bull" contrived, at the very outset, to convince this powerful and united body that it could be serviceable to them; and their support and their countenance through good report and sometimes through report not wholly good, have been very serviceable to it in return. Farther, "The John Bull," though not, as has sometimes been alleged, the first paper which dealt in personalities, and visited upon the private man (sometimes, rather ungallantly, and, as itself must have afterwards regretted, upon the private woman,) the real or supposed sins of the public character, was yet the first that did these matters upon the same side, and perhaps the first upon any side, that did them in so unsparing and effective a manner.

I do not justify those proceedings; but I must say that, in some instances, they have been merited, in many more they have been provoked, and in the greater number they have, right or wrong, had about them that which is calculated to make any

writing both read and remembered—wicked or not wicked, they said the very thing that told, and they said it straight out, without any symptom either of weakness or of fear. It is not because “John” has written things more malignant in intention than any body else, that the outcry against him has been the loudest ; for if one were to take the trouble of performing the office of resurrection-man to sundry productions, full-sized as well as dwarfs, which are now quietly rotting away in the charnel-house of oblivion, one could find far more things intended to be bitter, than are to be found in all the caustic numbers of “The Bull”—only they are neither said of the same persons, nor said so well.

The parties, against members of which the horns of “The Bull” have been directed, are perhaps fully as much obliged to it, as the party whose cause and whose measures it has upon the whole advocated. If the opposition be a valuable part of the system of British politics,—and that it is a valuable part, nobody doubts,—then its errors are as much matters of public regret, and the sins and follies of its members are just as legitimate objects for satire, as those of the Cabinet : nor can it be doubted, that the fear of exposure by “John Bull” has cleared the patriotism of the age of something more than a good deal of its folly.

As a vehicle of news, “*The John Bull*,” if not the best furnished, is by far the lightest going.—He cuts down the wisdom of Parliament, till it be little else than a dry record of facts; and though he huddles the whole news of the week into a space not greater than that which some of his contemporaries devote to an alehouse brawl, or a vestry disputation, he still contrives to select his hints so well, as to let his readers know almost every thing of importance that is going on.

In matters where his prejudices do not operate, “*John*,” without any pretensions to the solemnity or the depth of philosophical criticism, is withal a very clever, and by no means an unfair critic: and there are many subjects, either foolish in themselves or injurious to society, which have been exposed by “*John*,” in a style highly creditable to himself and highly useful to others.

The Examiner is a journal which may also be considered as belonging to the ultra (I cannot say to the strong) party class; and perhaps the best account that could be given of “*The Examiner*,” is, that it is the very opposite of “*The John Bull*.” Its positive character it would be difficult to draw. It is conceited, and yet conceit is not its leading feature: it is sick with what it considers sentiment and wit, and yet sentimentality is not the malady under which it constitutionally labours. With great

pretensions to liberality, it is constantly showing the most overweening attachment to a certain set of principles, or rather dogmas, among which it has dwelt to absolute dotage, and displaying not merely a disregard, but an ignorance, of the whole of that splendid fabric of which it professes to worship a few of the minor points. It is a connoisseur, with a sort of very pretty vocabulary at its fingers' ends, and a very reasonable perception of the *art* of the Arts ; but, somehow or other, it cannot manage the knowledge—the foundation part, even of these. Its philosophy is a fond philosophy ; but, somehow or other, the truths which it would lead one to discover are either little in themselves or little in utility. It is clear, without point ; learned, without knowledge ; polished, without manners ; lofty, without elevation ; and moral, without any pre-eminent degree of clearness in its perception of right and wrong.

“ The Examiner” has no prototype : it is the alpha and the omega of its own school,—a school of but recent formation, and which seems rather fine and filmy for lasting very long. It sometimes says things which you cannot help admiring, and at other times it says things that you cannot help feeling to be wrong ; but in both cases your feeling is softened and subdued by a certain admixture of pity, which comes you know not exactly from

where, and is felt you know not exactly for what.

In propagating the system of its own school, "The Examiner" has, however, been so uniformly consistent, that no man can doubt its sincerity; and, upon the whole, it has conducted itself in a manner so gentle and lady-like, that you cannot be more offended at it, even when it loses its temper, than you are at a pretty damsel when she frowns.

Even upon the gravest of subjects, "The Examiner" has all the garrulity of a dotard mixed with all the flippancy of a hoyden, and prates about politics, of the principles of which it really appears to know nothing, in the same way that it would about pincushions, of which it must know a great deal.

"The Examiner" has acquired a considerable degree of character as a theatrical, a poetical, and literary critic, and as being well versed in matters of *virtu* and embellishment. I am no judge of these matters; but I may be allowed to say, that the taste of "The Examiner" upon them all is much too fine or *ipse-dixital* for me. Its standards of perfection in all those matters seem to be its own household goods; and they, being invented and made by a school of artists, whose merits are either not understood, or not duly appreciated, except among their own elect, the chief objec-

tion to “The Examiner” seems to lie in the same direction with that which I formerly hinted might be made against the whole of the Babylonian—“The London particular”—school of poetry and taste. It is very pretty as a shell work of art, but the kernel of nature is entirely wanting; they by whom the school has been founded, and who have devoted so many weary hours to it, have learned to manage with great neatness a few of the lighter ornaments and flourishes of the subject; but by attempting to magnify these, and pass them off for the subject itself, they are constantly exposing themselves to the ridicule of those whose perceptions are not so fine, but whose experience has been upon a scale somewhat broader than their own.

I have stated these matters, not with the smallest intention of undervaluing the real merits of “The Examiner,”—of concealing its perfect single-heartedness and honesty—one is sometimes tempted to think it doggedness—in the course which it has seen meet to pursue; and certainly not with the slightest expectation, that any thing which either I, or any one else, could write, would make “The Examiner” different from what it is; but the curiosity of the journal itself, and the singular contrast that it makes with that other journal, which, though I like worse read oftener, as well as a determination to set down the character of every thing Baby-

lonian fairly and honestly, as it appeared to me, has induced me to write as I have written.

If the reward offered by “Gentle Dulness,” in the lines which I have quoted at the beginning of this chapter, were to be distributed among the Sunday papers of the present time, “The Weekly Journals bound” would, of course, fall to that class from which I have selected these two specimens; and the “lead” would be a far more appropriate, though by no means a more necessary gift, to that other class, at the head of which stands *The Observer*. Never was there a production of which the title was so provokingly ironical as the title of this one; for waving the matter of its own interest, which even the blind can see, “The Observer” appears to see less of what is really going on in the world, of almost any of its brethren. I beg its pardon: it sees “The Morning Chronicle”—with which it is connected; and it also sees on the Sunday, all those long reports of law and police for Saturday, which are best adapted for Monday’s “Chronicle.” Furthermore, it sees “The Englishman,” and therein beholds, title excepted, the express image of itself. In rubric they are different; but they are

“Like in all else as one egg to another.”

When “The Observer” was unconnected with other papers, and thus obliged to cull and finish

the whole contents of its heavy columns for its own use, it was withal a laborious paper; and although there were about it no traces of spirit or elegance or wit, there was a mass of Saturday matter, especially reports of law cases, which gave it a sort of character of its own. Upon some occasions, too, it made great exertions as to quantity of report upon other matters, and as its solidity recommended it to the advertising world, it was well supported in that view; but since it entered into a holy alliance with "The Chronicle," and became not only as one brother, but as oneself, with "The Englishman," it has not been so much heard of. The establishment and the success of journals, of a more lively and active character, have also tended to draw the taste of the Sunday quidnuncs from the gloomy solidity of "The Observer." So long as intelligence from the continent, delivered a day earlier than the daily papers could deliver it, and a quantity of printing as great as that contained in the largest of them, were recommendations to public notice, "The Observer" occupied a conspicuous place among the Sunday journals; but as these matters began to lose their interest, as the persons by whom Sunday papers are read began to get a little more comfortable in their circumstances, and with that a little more lively in their tastes, and more given to mirth

than moroseness, it seems that they began to prefer a more light and spirited, if not a more valuable kind of Sunday reading ; and thus, while, perhaps, owing to the other circumstances that I have mentioned, “ The Observer” became, possibly, less observant itself, it certainly became less observed by the public. Independently, however, of positive falling off in the value of a Sunday journal, and of that negative falling off which arises from one journal standing still, or making less progress than the other journals, the taste of the readers of Sunday papers is an extremely capricious thing in itself. It belongs to the same class of tastes as that which displays itself in Covent Garden, and the other localities of public meeting ; and as it is without union of purpose, or very clear discernment in the individuals of that which is really best, it changes no one can tell how : and thus, though when it succeeds, and while it succeeds, a Sunday paper, from the small expenditure both of money and of talent for which it can be produced, is a very profitable speculation, it is a very precarious one ; and unless it be that a paper of this kind takes a decided hold on a permanent class of persons, as “ The John Bull” does on the church establishment, and the whip proprietors of the West, as others do on the sporting world, as two or three papers do upon the booksellers and other

advertisers, or as two or three minor papers do upon the quacks, its absolute value is never very great, because it may be out-ranted or out-puffed by a rival, and thus lose half of its casual supporters—and three-fourths of its supporters are always casual, in the course of one week.

Of sporting papers—papers which chronicle the feats of dogs, and horses, and men, in their attempts to show which deserve the superiority in animal prowess, there are two: “The Dispatch,” and some “Lives in London,” which have been gathered under the wings of “The Chronicle,” “The Observer,” and “The Englishman,” and which, in addition to the “life” that they contain, may be supposed to receive a considerable quantity of that which cannot exactly be called life, from those with which it cohabits.

Persons who are extremely fastidious, and who are, perchance, more watchful over the principles of the rest of the world than they are over their own practices, in any other way than by taking heed that the same shall not be made too public, lest the world should thereby be tempted into sin and themselves betrayed into shame, cry out against the whole of this class of papers, and the whole practice of that from the detail of which they take their character; and I will allow that it is not a little singular, that the only journals, “The

“Morning Herald” perhaps excepted, (and it attends more to the sins than to the sports of society,) which rest their merits upon this ground, should make their appearance on the Sunday,—more especially considering the number of very pretty and very pious little matters in the shape of tracts, level to the meanest capacity, which may be had gratuitously or otherwise. I am very sorry at this: but I cannot help it; and, indeed, it does not appear that it can be helped by those who have a very respectable opinion of their own capabilities in the matter of reformation-working.

It seems, however, that when men and dogs are collected into large masses, they will amuse themselves and each other by trials of strength; and if the things are done in open day, there is no harm in the world’s knowing all about them, the more especially as there is no means of preventing it. I am no admirer of “The Fancy;” but I should pause ere I decided that it is either a symptom or a cause of debasement and barbarity in the public mind or the public morals. People who have lived long in the world, become stationary, and lost to all relish for the enjoyment of the present time, are apt to look back at the “days when they were young,” and fancy that, because they do not feel with the warmth and enjoy with the zest that they did in that morning of life, warmth and zest have de-

parted from society : but this, instead of being demonstrative evidence, is really no evidence at all ; and notwithstanding the prodigious increase of the Babylon, the vast streams, not of the purest description, which the provinces are continually pouring into its immensity, and notwithstanding the cover and the countenance which so thick and so varied a crowd offers to every sort of transaction, I suspect the fact will be found to be, that even this mass have become, and are every day becoming, more and more mild in their dispositions and orderly in their conduct. "As iron sharpeneth iron," saith the wise man, "so doth the countenance of man sharpen his fellow ;" and I doubt whether this sharpening can take place, without at the same time giving a little polish. That prize-fights, and, if you will, all sorts of idle sporting—whether of a lord casting his father's acres into the *moulinet* of a *roulette* table in Pall Mall, a lady squandering her money and her bloom at *Rouge et noir*, myself wearing my pen, and you, courteous reader, wasting your eyes or your spectacles about such a book as this,—these and all such matters are, I will allow, not the very wisest, or the most exceedingly profitable of all occupations, about which they or we might be busying ourselves ; and therefore I cannot help thinking, that before we bring sweeping accusations against each other, we should all

take care that we do not expose ourselves to the same severity in return. If every man were to “cast the beam out of his own eye,” there would be no use for any one to attempt removing “the mote” from that of his brother.

Even upon the supposition that both the acting and the describing of those matters are mere outlets for the more turbulent and fiery humours of society, still it is better that they should discharge themselves thus openly, and receive the judgment, and feel the corrective influence of public opinion, than that they should be huddled up in corners, and breathed in whispers, as matters of mystery. Whatever is public must always have a share of fairness about it, and keep up a character, which that which is concealed does not need; and though persons whose minds are all rapt, and whose moods are all melting, cry out against the deeds and the language of “the Fancy,” there can be little doubt that the practice is rendered more fair, and, if humanity could be predicated of such a matter, more humane, than if nothing were written or read respecting it. Besides, if those annals of sporting serve no other purpose, they induce those to read who otherwise would probably not read; and thus not only prevent them, while they are reading, from doing worse, but may lead them to the reading of other matters. If a practice be bad,

and if it can be put an end to without causing the production of something worse, by all means put an end to it: but while it exists, it is always safe that it should be known; and though it would not tend much to increase the purity of the press, or to raise certain classes of persons in the public estimation, yet it would not materially lessen the morality of the age, though the deeds of other sportsmen were as fairly and as graphically reported as those of "the Fancy." Let the objectors consider whether the regular publication of their own obliquities would be likely to increase their number—let them think whether they would do many things which they now do, if a man with a pen and an inkhorn were at their side; and when they have settled that, they will have cleared the ground for a fair and candid solution of the other question. If all the cases in which the fortunes and the characters of men are "hit foul," without even the benefit of an umpire or a spectator, could be prevented—and the knowledge of them would go a good way toward the prevention, then more would be done for the real improvement of society, than if all the public sports were at an end, and the champions of England and their chroniclers converted into methodist parsons.

In point of circulation and notoriety, *The Sunday Times* may be placed at the head of those

Sunday papers which are of such a general description, that no one can find out the leading feature of their characters, but which, arriving at the general features of the day, attempt to hand it down to futurity in all its variety. Perhaps this is, after all, the best kind of newspaper. In that, one does not want a great deal, upon one subject, but a little of every thing; and the journal that succeeds best in giving this, is certain to be the most popular. But though this journal has now taken its steady place as one holding up the plain mirror to the week, it would be unfair to omit the curious manner in which it first obtained its notoriety;—when *that* was the proper name for the notice taken of it.

The first success of this journal, is, indeed, so curious a problem in newspaper history, that it may be worth while, if not absolutely to solve it, at least to collect a few data for the use of those who may either wish theoretically to make the solution, or practically to try a similar experiment. “The Sunday Times,” then, appears to have derived a little success from the substantive part of its name, and the whole of the remainder from its projector—or rather from him into whose hands it came when it was languishing in its childhood, and gave no promise of its future growth.

But this part of the case is neither the strongest nor the most curious : the management of the proprietor was so unique, that whatever had been his name, it is probable that the success would have been considerable ; and if it be no proof of the wisdom of that part of society by which Sunday papers are purchased and read, it is an explanation of the kind of wisdom that is best fitted for turning them to its own advantage. This proprietor, aware that nobody but himself could carry his schemes into execution, took upon him the management of the journal, down to the minutest details.

Though he had not been schooled after the fashion of learned men, he had been schooled in mysteries which the event proved to be fully more effective toward the success of a weekly journal,—he had studied society—especially that portion of society in which such a journal finds the majority of its readers ; and, if he did not know how to give them that which was good in itself, he knew full well both how to give them what would answer his own purpose, and when to give it.

I may here mention a pretty general feature of Babylonian speculation, and one which is well worthy of being borne in mind by those who, somewhat doubtful of the efficacy of their own talents and their own virtues, are still anxious to turn the Babylonians to profitable account.

It is this: a man who is known and connected, and esteemed and respected in consequence, succeeds and is rewarded by those who know him, in proportion as he does faithfully and well; whereas a man who is not known, and, it may be, not respected, has ever an ampler field before him in those who know him not; and success with them depends almost, if not altogether, upon the noise that he can make, and the boldness of his pretensions, without any regard whatever to the truth. Hence, in consequence of those two sources of success, and those two modes of working them, Babylon becomes the best place in the world, both for well-gifted and well-respected men of ability, and for those quacks who have no better metal to offer than the bronze of their own faces. But to those who stand in an intermediate degree, success is rather more doubtful; and without the union of talents and connexion, or that happy impudence which, in its own sphere, not only renders them both unnecessary, but answers better than them both, Babylon the Great may feel as desolate as her elder sister, who moulders in melancholy oblivion upon the deserted bank of the Euphrates—an admonition and, perchance, a type for other great cities. This, however, is a digression; though, I trust, neither an ill-timed nor an irrelevant one—for success in that particular case

which gave scope for the digression was the result of talent and study, though not exactly of the kind which are registered as such among those who account themselves the sages and saints of the world.

There is no need for naming, or even counting, the rearmost files of the Sunday newspapers; and indeed any register that could be made would be out of date before the most rapid machinery of the press could publish it. The accessions that are made to them by production, and the diminutions that are effected by dissolution, resemble those which during the summer months take place among the insect tribes. Sunday papers form a sort of lottery at all times of the year, and especially for a month or two about the commencement of the Session of Parliament; and though the prizes do not bear a higher proportion to the blanks than is the case in other lotteries, that does not dissuade persons, especially persons whose fortunes are in jeopardy of becoming desperate, from wagering against the probability in the one case as well as in the other. Notwithstanding, however, the number of those minor journals—minor at least in notoriety and in consequent duration; and notwithstanding the good points (and some of them are very good) in those which I have more particularly enumerated; there seems still to be room, and with

proper management there might be demand, for a Sunday paper, which might be better, if not than them all, at least than any one of them,—a paper which, by employing the same quantity of talent and of labour which is bestowed upon the superior daily journals, might exhibit as accurate a picture of the week as these do of the day—which would carefully leave out all rumours which had been contradicted, reduce every statement to what it were worth, by clearing away the verbiage and the nonsense; and thus presenting a record which should be valuable for its truth, desirable for its taste, and which could afterwards be referred to as a memorial of things which had actually taken place.

The poverty, however, both of the head and of the purse of those who usually speculate in this department of the press, seems to preclude any hope that such an accession to its value is likely soon to be made. Instead of this, the new journals which start up are generally worse than the old ones: they have no more talent, they seem to employ even less assistance, and they want the tact and dexterity which are derived from practice. At some times, and among some of them, a practice takes place analogous to the broth-making in the Scottish village. In those days, and in that land, the villagers could afford no beef; but one richer

than the rest contrived to get possession of a marrow-bone—of what animal it is not said—and that bone was, upon Sundays and holidays, hired to the other villagers at a penny Scots—being one-third of a farthing English, for every hour that it tumbled in the sea of barley and water. Now much in the same manner are some Sunday papers made. I omit the cases of those papers which borrow and lend the bone with each other; but I have heard of many cases where the matter of a newspaper, ready set and wanting nothing but the title, has been hawked round some two or three offices, and come forth upon the Sunday morning under two or three forms, all of them of course equally original—because all exactly the same.

CHAPTER VII.

THE HINDER-END OF THE PRESS.

Occupet extreum scabies. Hor.

“ Not ***** so : drawn endlong by his skull,
Furious he sinks, precipitately dull ;
Whirlpools and storms his circling arm invest,
With all the might of gravitation blest.
No crab more active in the dirty dance,
Downward to climb, or backward to advance ;
He brings up half the bottom on his head,
And claims at once the Journals and the lead.”

DUNCIAD.

FOR the sake of simple and easy distinction, rather than from the logical accuracy of its application, I have ventured to use the words “ The Press,” to denote those public Journals of daily or of weekly recurrence, whose object it is to record or to comment upon the political and social transactions of the day, with only such subsidiary notices of matters connected with the progress of mind,

and the permanent stores of science and literature, as serve ordinary readers for the purposes of ordinary conversation—or rather, perhaps, which give the proprietors and conductors of the different journals a claim upon the support of those who deal in those other matters ; and according to this application of the words I have given, or at least I meant to give, as clear and as candid a statement of that part of them which are properly called newspapers, as my abilities and my room would admit. In all of these, the most valuable part is certainly that which records facts ; and it may be assumed as a general truth, that the newspaper, whether daily or weekly, which is most industrious and most tasteful in the making up of this record, is the one which is of the greatest value.

But in supplement to this the regular army of the Press, there are certain guerillas, which, like the guerillas among men, are always most numerous and most active when the times are unsettled, and become comparatively few, feeble, and uninteresting, as peace stills the alarms and prosperity appeases the complaints of mankind. Those guerillas—this “ hinder-end of the Press,” as one may term it for the want of a better name, was far more extensive and far more noisy a few years before, than at the time when I took notes of the wonders of Babylon. It found the maximum

of its encouragement, the zenith of its power, in those times when event crowded so closely on event, and alarm followed alarm in such rapid succession, that the public were quite unable of themselves to keep the operations of commenting upon the present and prophesying about the future, up to the reality of the one and the anticipation of the other. This afforded scope for a set of journalists, who should supply arguments and opinions for those who had no leisure to make them for themselves, and who, with daring if not with skilful hand, essayed to lift the veil of futurity, and answer all the purposes of seership to those who were at their own wit's end as to what might happen : their object, in short, was not to communicate knowledge, but to vend speech ; not to furnish the public with materials for information and judgment, but to save it the labour of deliberation and reflection, by accommodating it with all sorts of judgments upon all sorts of subjects ready made and fitted for immediate use.

Foremost and fiercest in this class stood William Cobbett, a very colossus in his way—of so robust a construction and so perennial a constitution, that he alone remains, while the lapse of a few brief years has swept away the others, few ask and nobody answers where. The present times have been productive of marvels beyond all precedent,

and of these Babylon the Great has possessed a share numerous and wonderful in proportion as she exceeds all other cities; but of all modern marvels, at least in a political and literary sense, William Cobbett is, perhaps, the greatest—the one to whom Hohenlohe and Hunt, and every other person whose name can after the same fashion be named, must succumb; and in whose presence all the polemics and pamphleteers, upon all sides of all modern questions, and all views of all subjects, possible and impossible, must bow down in reverence, and own their superior. Cobbett is not a man who has had many of the advantages of what the world call education; and his vision seems to be too broad for discerning, not only the metaphysical, but the political and moral causes, of the qualities and discriminations of things and events: but Cobbett is, notwithstanding all this, a very wonderful person, and one who, had he husbanded the powers with which nature has endowed him with any thing like even a moderate share of discretion, would have had a good deal of influence in the world. Cobbett has, however, added another to the many instances upon record, that strong natural powers, especially when they incline to the rough and surly cast, and when they are not checked by good education and kept in order by good sense, may, in the end, produce only the exposure

of him by whom, if better trained and managed, they might have been turned to good account.

The violence with which Cobbett swung round from one line of political conduct to another which was completely the reverse, might have been taken as an augury of his ultimate fate ; and the vehemence of his assertions upon all manner of subjects, whether he happened to understand them or not, might have pointed conclusions the same way. But however ill Cobbett might be grounded in the principle of many subjects about which he wrote, there were others, and many others, who were worse ; and these yielded at once, not to the convincing power of his arguments, but to the tone of confidence in which that which served him in the stead of logical argument was put. There was, perhaps, never a political writer who shifted his positions so often as Cobbett, and there certainly never was a prophet who had at once upon his hands so many unsuccessful predictions : but Cobbett put so bold a face upon the matter, asserted so roundly in the teeth of experience, and built up the tumbling fallacies of his soothsaying so very often, and with such an air of confidence in their stability, that a larger portion of disciples shifted their ground with him, and doubted the evidence of their own senses, because he had prophesied the other way, than perhaps ever moved at the beck

But this part of the case is neither the strongest nor the most curious : the management of the proprietor was so unique, that whatever had been his name, it is probable that the success would have been considerable ; and if it be no proof of the wisdom of that part of society by which Sunday papers are purchased and read, it is an explanation of the kind of wisdom that is best fitted for turning them to its own advantage. This proprietor, aware that nobody but himself could carry his schemes into execution, took upon him the management of the journal, down to the minutest details.

Though he had not been schooled after the fashion of learned men, he had been schooled in mysteries which the event proved to be fully more effective toward the success of a weekly journal,—he had studied society—especially that portion of society in which such a journal finds the majority of its readers ; and, if he did not know how to give them that which was good in itself, he knew full well both how to give them what would answer his own purpose, and when to give it.

I may here mention a pretty general feature of Babylonian speculation, and one which is well worthy of being borne in mind by those who, somewhat doubtful of the efficacy of their own talents and their own virtues, are still anxious to turn the Babylonians to profitable account.

It is this: a man who is known and connected, and esteemed and respected in consequence, succeeds and is rewarded by those who know him, in proportion as he does faithfully and well; whereas a man who is not known, and, it may be, not respected, has ever an ampler field before him in those who know him not; and success with them depends almost, if not altogether, upon the noise that he can make, and the boldness of his pretensions, without any regard whatever to the truth. Hence, in consequence of those two sources of success, and those two modes of working them, Babylon becomes the best place in the world, both for well-gifted and well-respected men of ability, and for those quacks who have no better metal to offer than the bronze of their own faces. But to those who stand in an intermediate degree, success is rather more doubtful; and without the union of talents and connexion, or that happy impudence which, in its own sphere, not only renders them both unnecessary, but answers better than them both, Babylon the Great may feel as desolate as her elder sister, who moulders in melancholy oblivion upon the deserted bank of the Euphrates—an admonition and, perchance, a type for other great cities. This, however, is a digression; though, I trust, neither an ill-timed nor an irrelevant one—for success in that particular case

William Cobbett. By what strange operation—by what “airs from heaven and blasts from hell”—he had been rendered invincible and infallible, at a time when so many of those who had devoted their lives to single points over which he swept his flail were by the same parties pronounced to be all drivelling and in the wrong, they did not seem to give themselves the trouble of inquiring; and they never paused to think that the existence of such an intellectual giant as they made Cobbett, would have been in itself much more of a miracle than any of the things which they scouted and derided upon the ground that they were mere impositions.

This strong impression which was produced upon Cobbett’s worshippers—they could not be called disciples, for they did not study and imitate, they only believed and wondered—produced a considerable effect even upon those who neither wished nor could believe that all Cobbett’s sayings were truth, or that all his prophecies would be fulfilled. Upon this account they began to regard him as something more than common—as a being which at all events it would be just as well to let alone; and when he found this, it induced him to assume a more daring tone, both in his disquisitions upon the present and in his divinations as touching the future: and had Cobbett had the discretion to place the fulfilment of all his prophecies at the

distance of a hundred, or even fifty years, Cobbett might have gone down to his grave with the character of the greatest master of divination that modern times had produced.

But notwithstanding the magnitude of his real powers, which within a certain sphere is not small—notwithstanding the devotedness of his worshippers—notwithstanding the awe which he struck into those who thought differently—and notwithstanding that many of his predictions seemed for a time to be in fair progress toward fulfilment, Cobbett was all the time nothing but a mere man, and a man too not of the profoundest mind, or the clearest discernment—although he had that which went very far in supplying the place of both. The first symptom of Cobbett's intellectual mortality, was the great homage that he began to feel himself warranted in paying to himself. This is a danger to which public favourites are always exposed—a danger too which is almost certain, sooner or later, to tumble them down, if the base upon which they stand be not all the broader. When a man finds that other people worship him, he can hardly avoid worshipping himself; because one knows full well, that how high soever he may stand in the opinion of the multitude, he must stand still higher in his own opinion. Whatever is the constant object of a man's worship, is apt to be also the constant ob-

ject of his attention; and therefore, as even Cobbett was unable to devote that attention to self which he did devote, and at the same time to observe or understand how the rest of the world was going on; and as by this time he had been taught to believe, that of the two subjects of attention, himself was the most important, he very naturally became a little blunted both to external things and to external actions.

That a prophesying man like Cobbett should have made many foolish and fragile predictions one can easily understand; but that out of so many, so few should have been fulfilled, is rather a curious matter. It is, however, not a matter of very difficult solution. The probability that any man shall predict rightly with regard to the future, depends a good deal upon the portion of the past on which he grounds his predictions: a year will be a better datum than a day, an age than a year, and so on. Now I have mentioned, and I believe the whole tenor of Cobbett's writings will confirm it, that, however strong may be his perception, and however accurate his estimate of things which are immediately present, he has not paid much attention to the past: indeed, the intensity of his present perceptions, and his continual occupation with the events of the day, must have weakened both his power and his desire of so attending; and

hence, there is no need to bring against him a charge of voluntarily and violently asserting what he knew was not likely to happen. Possibly he was deceived himself, and took his opinions as much for gospel as the most dotard and dreaming of his followers. In so far, however, as the non-fulfilment of the prophecies affected the infallibility of the seer, the question as to whether he himself did or did not believe them, had, or could have, very little influence; and I have stated it merely in order to show, that in dissecting the character and pretensions of this notorious writer, I am actuated by no motive other than a desire to state the facts, and conjecture at the causes as fairly and with as much probability as possible.

The loss of Cobbett's invincibility has been as complete as that of the other element of his singular character; and in this he has been like some other great men,—he has done that for himself which he and his friends used to boast all the world could not do for him. His assertions upon all subjects have been bold, and upon most subjects have been held to be incontrovertible; but in the course of his multifarious productions, he has so contrived to state them with exactly the same boldness and dogmatism upon the very opposite sides, that, like the + and the — of Algebraists, they have so completely neutralized each

other, that there are very few points indeed upon which the final equation will not stand thus:

Cobbett's opinion — 0.

The means which he adopted to prevent the promulgation of this conclusion, when he ~~foreaw~~, as he must have foreseen, that it would be the one ultimately arrived at, hastened rather than hindered its arrival. Like Lord Peter, when he could not succeed in convincing his brothers by argument that the brown loaf was a shoulder of mutton, he tried the effect of swearing. Not being like Lord Peter, a religionist by office, he did not go about to inflict the pains of the anathema upon those who doubted, but he vowed to do, or to permit to be done, to himself, all sorts of things in the event of the prophecies' not being fulfilled. He was to roast himself upon gridirons, or allow others so to roast him ; and, if I remember rightly, he was to eat himself after being duly roasted.— This part of the prophecy has not been more literally fulfilled than the other parts, but still it has had some sort of fulfilment : others have roasted Cobbett, though not upon a gridiron ; and Cobbett has eaten, not himself indeed, but that which, considering him as a public writer, the only light—or darkness if you will—in which I am entitled to consider him, comes nearly to the same thing,—he has eaten his own words.

The most singular and the most recent of Cobbett's *tergiversations*, [I believe the proper word is *verbiversations*; for after all, it is a turning of the words and not of the man,—Cobbett being, as I should suppose, pretty much the same, both back and face, when he adores the Virgin in dreams and kisses the Holy Apostolic toe in vision, as when he is hurling his objurgations against all the saints in the calendar, and rating popes, and cardinals, and jesuits, as persons with whom he could hold no communion;] that there may be no dispute about a word however, I shall say that the most singular of all Cobbett's *versations*—would be his change from “No Popery!” to “O Popery!” were it not that there is here both a physical and a metaphysical cause. Every body knows that the adoration of bones is one of the points in which “O Popery” differs from “No Popery;” and after Cobbett fell to relic-hunting in America, the turn which his written faith would take became a very self-evident matter. I would not have mentioned the bones, had it not been that upon them may be grounded a very excellent plea in justification of the change of the words; and farther, that I may put those who are curious in these matters in possession of some rumours that I have heard, which bring the authenticity of the relics into some sort of suspicion. It seems it had been traditionally reported in America,

that the carcase inhumated where Cobbett performed his resurrection, could not, when in life, have written the "Rights of Man" at all, and would not have done so, although it could ; seeing that it was reported to have been nothing else than a great baboon which a ship-captain had brought from Madagascar as a curiosity, and which, after being chained and cudgelled for twenty years, had been deposited in the place aforesaid. Not having seen the skeleton myself, I am unable to say whether this be or be not the fact ; but I think it not at all unlikely, and moreover, I see no reason why the bones of a baboon, or even of a donkey, should not have answered the purpose just as well as any others. If, however, the relics be still in existence, it would be worth while to send the skull to the phrenologists, in order that the powers and the propensities might be ascertained ; and also to remit the bones of the feet, the *dentes canini*, and the *os coccygis*, to Mr. Lawrence, or any other person equally skilled in physiology and comparative anatomy, who would settle at once whether the said relics belong to a tailed or tailless organization—indicating whether the owner were a monkey or a man. At the same time that this were done, it would not be amiss to send a file of the Political Register to some syllable and sentence broker, in order that he might ascer-

tain, if possible, whether any, and if any, which of the various opinions set forth is the right one.

The great importance of this writer, as an article of public property, and the small probability there is that any thing will remain, after the lapse of a very few years, by which an estimate of his merits can be formed, have induced me, and indeed forced me, to devote so much of this chapter to him, that the remainder of it will not contain the other writers of this class—or rather the writers of a class that would like to call itself this—few and small as they are. I have heard that there are such things, printed and attempted to be sold weekly in the metropolis, as insults to decency and slanders against religion, by some ignorant and miserable creatures who have never had it in their power to practise the one or to feel the other; but many as are the faults and follies of the Great Babylon, and they are not few, it seems that even the most hopeless and helpless of the population have sense enough given them, and virtue enough left, to know that such matters are a disgrace.—They are not obtruded upon any body; they are so obscure, that I know not whether they belong to present or merely to recent times. Perhaps they are all in their graves, and if so, to dig them out would be a work as completely of supereroga-

tion, as it was in Cobbett to delve up the remains of Paine—or the baboon ; and to agitate any question which could arise concerning them, would be still more unprofitable than the agitation of that as to which of the two genera of animals to refer the bones.

Cobbett has, since the above was written, fallen sadly into the “pit of his own digging.” He has attempted to be a member of the House of Commons, and he has failed, not only in seating himself there, but in establishing a claim to the talents and manners requisite for an under-average member of that house. What is written above has now, therefore, more the nature of a monument to the dead than a character of the living ; and as such it is left for the benefit of those who may be disposed to emulate or avoid the Cobbettian virtues.

CHAPTER VIII.

THE JOURNALS *a.*

“ Hear you ! in whose grave heads, as equal scales,
I weigh what authors’ heaviness excels.”

THE portion of discharge from the Babylonian wisdom and the Babylonian wits, which falls to be considered under this title, is that which professes to attend more to science, to literature, and to the arts, than to the mere occurrences of the day ; and I may be permitted to remark, *in limine*, that though this be by far the elder born, it does not appear to have grown to such perfection as the other. At any rate, upon a comparison of the periodical literature of the present day with that which was in existence about an age ago, one can mark no such improvement as that which is visible in the productions of the newspaper press. About the one there is all the freshness and the vigour

other, that there are very few points indeed upon which the final equation will not stand thus:

Cobbett's opinion = 0.

The means which he adopted to prevent the promulgation of this conclusion, when he foresaw, as he must have foreseen, that it would be the one ultimately arrived at, hastened rather than hindered its arrival. Like Lord Peter, when he could not succeed in convincing his brothers by argument that the brown loaf was a shoulder of mutton, he tried the effect of swearing. Not being like Lord Peter, a religionist by office, he did not go about to inflict the pains of the anathema upon those who doubted, but he vowed to do, or to permit to be done, to himself, all sorts of things in the event of the prophecies' not being fulfilled. He was to roast himself upon gridirons, or allow others so to roast him ; and, if I remember rightly, he was to eat himself after being duly roasted.— This part of the prophecy has not been more literally fulfilled than the other parts, but still it has had some sort of fulfilment : others have roasted Cobbett, though not upon a gridiron ; and Cobbett has eaten, not himself indeed, but that which, considering him as a public writer, the only light—or darkness if you will—in which I am entitled to consider him, comes nearly to the same thing,—he has eaten his own words.

inferior, than was the case when publishing did not extend to perhaps one-tenth of its present breadth. This cannot arise from any deterioration of the powers of men ; because, considering the facilities that there now are for study, and the increased number of persons who have a capacity for it, there must be in England, and by necessary consequence in the Babylon, more scientific knowledge at the present time, than there was at those times when the printed crop of it was more abundant and rich. Neither can it arise from any desire on the part of the mercantile publishers of books to discourage one species of productions for the sake of another ; because their preference, like that of all other mercantile men, must ever be given to that which commands the readiest and the most extensive sale. It must, therefore, lie with the public themselves : and if one look abroad into society, one cannot help observing that the demand for the practical applications of science is so great, that men of real science are called upon to perform more active and important, and more lucrative duties, than the reparation of books ; and thus, though there be no want of capacity, and also no want of materials, the operation of writing is left to those who are neither well gifted in the one respect, nor well furnished in the other. Accordingly we find, that, whatever be the period of their

ject of his attention ; and therefore, as even Cobbett was unable to devote that attention to self which he did devote, and at the same time to observe or understand how the rest of the world was going on ; and as by this time he had been taught to believe, that of the two subjects of attention, himself was the most important, he very naturally became a little blunted both to external things and to external actions.

That a prophesying man like Cobbett should have made many foolish and fragile predictions one can easily understand ; but that out of so many, so few should have been fulfilled, is rather a curious matter. It is, however, not a matter of very difficult solution. The probability that any man shall predict rightly with regard to the future, depends a good deal upon the portion of the past on which he grounds his predictions : a year will be a better datum than a day, an age than a year, and so on. Now I have mentioned, and I believe the whole tenor of Cobbett's writings will confirm it, that, however strong may be his perception, and however accurate his estimate of things which are immediately present, he has not paid much attention to the past : indeed, the intensity of his present perceptions, and his continual occupation with the events of the day, must have weakened both his power and his desire of so attending ; and

hence, there is no need to bring against him a charge of voluntarily and violently asserting what he knew was not likely to happen. Possibly he was deceived himself, and took his opinions as much for gospel as the most dotard and dreaming of his followers. In so far, however, as the non-fulfilment of the prophecies affected the infallibility of the seer, the question as to whether he himself did or did not believe them, had, or could have, very little influence; and I have stated it merely in order to show, that in dissecting the character and pretensions of this notorious writer, I am actuated by no motive other than a desire to state the facts, and conjecture at the causes as fairly and with as much probability as possible.

The loss of Cobbett's invincibility has been as complete as that of the other element of his singular character; and in this he has been like some other great men,—he has done that for himself which he and his friends used to boast all the world could not do for him. His assertions upon all subjects have been bold, and upon most subjects have been held to be incontrovertible; but in the course of his multifarious productions, he has so contrived to state them with exactly the same boldness and dogmatism upon the very opposite sides, that, like the + and the — of Algebraists, they have so completely neutralized each

other, that there are very few points indeed upon which the final equation will not stand thus:

Cobbett's opinion — 0.

The means which he adopted to prevent the promulgation of this conclusion, when he foresaw, as he must have foreseen, that it would be the one ultimately arrived at, hastened rather than hindered its arrival. Like Lord Peter, when he could not succeed in convincing his brothers by argument that the brown loaf was a shoulder of mutton, he tried the effect of swearing. Not being like Lord Peter, a religionist by office, he did not go about to inflict the pains of the anathema upon those who doubted, but he vowed to do, or to permit to be done, to himself, all sorts of things in the event of the prophecies' not being fulfilled. He was to roast himself upon gridirons, or allow others so to roast him ; and, if I remember rightly, he was to eat himself after being duly roasted.— This part of the prophecy has not been more literally fulfilled than the other parts, but still it has had some sort of fulfilment : others have roasted Cobbett, though not upon a gridiron ; and Cobbett has eaten, not himself indeed, but that which, considering him as a public writer, the only light—or darkness if you will—in which I am entitled to consider him, comes nearly to the same thing,—he has eaten his own words.

The most singular and the most recent of Cobbett's *tergiversations*, [I believe the proper word is *verbiversations*; for after all, it is a turning of the words and not of the man,—Cobbett being, as I should suppose, pretty much the same, both back and face, when he adores the Virgin in dreams and kisses the Holy Apostolic toe in vision, as when he is hurling his objurgations against all the saints in the calendar, and rating popes, and cardinals, and jesuits, as persons with whom he could hold no communion;] that there may be no dispute about a word however, I shall say that the most singular of all Cobbett's *versations*—would be his change from “No Popery!” to “O Popery!” were it not that there is here both a physical and a metaphysical cause. Every body knows that the adoration of bones is one of the points in which “O Popery” differs from “No Popery;” and after Cobbett fell to relic-hunting in America, the turn which his written faith would take became a very self-evident matter. I would not have mentioned the bones, had it not been that upon them may be grounded a very excellent plea in justification of the change of the words; and farther, that I may put those who are curious in these matters in possession of some rumours that I have heard, which bring the authenticity of the relics into some sort of suspicion. It seems it had been traditionally reported in America,

that the carcase inhumated where Cobbett performed his resurrection, could not, when in life, have written the "Rights of Man" at all, and would not have done so, although it could ; seeing that it was reported to have been nothing else than a great baboon which a ship-captain had brought from Madagascar as a curiosity, and which, after being chained and cudgelled for twenty years, had been deposited in the place aforesaid. Not having seen the skeleton myself, I am unable to say whether this be or be not the fact ; but I think it not at all unlikely, and moreover, I see no reason why the bones of a baboon, or even of a donkey, should not have answered the purpose just as well as any others. If, however, the relics be still in existence, it would be worth while to send the skull to the phrenologists, in order that the powers and the propensities might be ascertained ; and also to remit the bones of the feet, the *dentes canini*, and the *os coccygis*, to Mr. Lawrence, or any other person equally skilled in physiology and comparative anatomy, who would settle at once whether the said relics belong to a tailed or tailless organization—indicating whether the owner were a monkey or a man. At the same time that this were done, it would not be amiss to send a file of the Political Register to some syllable and sentence broker, in order that he might ascer-

tain, if possible, whether any, and if any, which of the various opinions set forth is the right one.

The great importance of this writer, as an article of public property, and the small probability there is that any thing will remain, after the lapse of a very few years, by which an estimate of his merits can be formed, have induced me, and indeed forced me, to devote so much of this chapter to him, that the remainder of it will not contain the other writers of this class—or rather the writers of a class that would like to call itself this—few and small as they are. I have heard that there are such things, printed and attempted to be sold weekly in the metropolis, as insults to decency and slanders against religion, by some ignorant and miserable creatures who have never had it in their power to practise the one or to feel the other; but many as are the faults and follies of the Great Babylon, and they are not few, it seems that even the most hopeless and helpless of the population have sense enough given them, and virtue enough left, to know that such matters are a disgrace.—They are not obtruded upon any body; they are so obscure, that I know not whether they belong to present or merely to recent times. Perhaps they are all in their graves, and if so, to dig them out would be a work as completely of supereroga-

tion, as it was in Cobbett to delve up the remains of Paine—or the baboon ; and to agitate any question which could arise concerning them, would be still more unprofitable than the agitation of that as to which of the two genera of animals to refer the bones.

Cobbett has, since the above was written, fallen sadly into the “pit of his own digging.” He has attempted to be a member of the House of Commons, and he has failed, not only in seating himself there, but in establishing a claim to the talents and manners requisite for an under-average member of that house. What is written above has now, therefore, more the nature of a monument to the dead than a character of the living ; and as such it is left for the benefit of those who may be disposed to emulate or avoid the Cobbettian virtues.

CHAPTER VIII.

THE JOURNALS *a.*

“ Hear you ! in whose grave heads, as equal scales,
I weigh what authors’ heaviness excels.”

THE portion of discharge from the Babylonian wisdom and the Babylonian wits, which falls to be considered under this title, is that which professes to attend more to science, to literature, and to the arts, than to the mere occurrences of the day ; and I may be permitted to remark, *in limine*, that though this be by far the elder born, it does not appear to have grown to such perfection as the other. At any rate, upon a comparison of the periodical literature of the present day with that which was in existence about an age ago, one can mark no such improvement as that which is visible in the productions of the newspaper press. About the one there is all the freshness and the vigour



of young life, while the other is stunted and dwarfed, and appears to have

“ Fallen into the sear and yellow leaf.”

The causes of this difference may perhaps be found, partly in the nature of the things themselves, and partly in the changes which have taken place in the tastes and habits of society. The journalists have a comparatively limited range of subjects; almost all of these are old, and have been, with a little change in the circumstances, perhaps, written about again and again, and sometimes in so masterly a manner, as to destroy not only all hope of excelling, but almost all desire of emulation, on the part of the modern who has to spin his brains by the week, the month, or the quarter, cheering his labour by hammering over and over again the same old tunes. The subjects, again, to which the newspapers pay their attention, may be frivolous, but they are fresh; they concern the practical pursuits of mankind more nearly, and therefore they come into favour more naturally and with less effort upon either side.

The most melancholy symptoms of decay are, however, to be found in those journals which profess to be scientific. The fact is, that in the whole range of Babylonian publication, extended and crowded as it is, the quantity of scientific productions is much smaller, and their quality much

inferior, than was the case when publishing did not extend to perhaps one-tenth of its present breadth. This cannot arise from any deterioration of the powers of men ; because, considering the facilities that there now are for study, and the increased number of persons who have a capacity for it, there must be in England, and by necessary consequence in the Babylon, more scientific knowledge at the present time, than there was at those times when the printed crop of it was more abundant and rich. Neither can it arise from any desire on the part of the mercantile publishers of books to discourage one species of productions for the sake of another ; because their preference, like that of all other mercantile men, must ever be given to that which commands the readiest and the most extensive sale. It must, therefore, lie with the public themselves : and if one look abroad into society, one cannot help observing that the demand for the practical applications of science is so great, that men of real science are called upon to perform more active and important, and more lucrative duties, than the reparation of books ; and thus, though there be no want of capacity, and also no want of materials, the operation of writing is left to those who are neither well gifted in the one respect, nor well furnished in the other. Accordingly we find, that, whatever be the period of their

appearance, whatever names may be stuck upon their title-pages, and from the nursery of whichever Society they may come forth, the scientific journals are the most inane and the most obscure of all periodical things, and answer scarcely another purpose than that of demonstrating how little of the genuine scientific spirit has been left to animate the “learned bodies,” which still continue to meet their meetings, dine their dinings, distribute their honours and expose—and truly it is an exposure—their transactions. If any one be sceptical upon these points, let him compare the last volumes of the Royal Society’s Transactions with the first,—or even the journal of the Royal Institution with all its patronage and all its members, with the earlier and better volumes of the “Philosophical Journal” collected and published by the late Mr. Nicholson.

The fact is, that in this respect the public seem to have grown away both from the journals and the institutions; for they do not appear disposed to support the one or the other. The failure of the Surrey Institution, the inutility of the London one, the mockery of the Society of Arts, the maudlin solemnity of their gravityships at Somerset House, and the mild keeping and repose of the Royal Literarians, may all be appealed to as evidence of what I am saying: and as to the journals, again, they are perhaps the only species of periodical

publications which do not pay, and therefore the only ones to which—at a time when it has become necessary that every man should bring every valuable talent that he possesses fairly and frankly to the public market—no man will contribute to, if, either in the way of publication or otherwise, he can turn his abilities to any profitable account. No doubt the learned bodies, and such heads as God has given them, continue to set forth a few odds and ends for the purpose more of demonstrating their own wisdom than of adding to that of the public ; but really, both from the nature of the things themselves and from the perfect indifference with which they are received, it is pretty evident that the demonstration would be as clear, and the addition as great, without the publication as with it.

With regard to the literature, the case is different. I do not say that the literary journals are either more learned or more profound, in the present state of Babylon and of the public, than they formerly were ; but I am disposed to think, from the many attempts that are made at their establishment, as well as from the lighter materials of which they are composed, and from the more immediate connexion that these materials are made to have with the events of a day, that they are more popular, and therefore more profitable. So long

as they continue to favour the public with abstract disquisitions upon virtue, and theoretical diatribes against vice, by those whose own virtues and vices were by no means of the highest character, they are echoes, and folks cannot be expected to listen to them, unless when they are idle; but when they leave the disquisition, and give us the thing itself, whether it be high or low, virtuous or vicious, we receive it as something which adds to the knowledge of facts; and, receiving it as such, we pardon the sauce of sentiment or saying in which it floats, however meagre or however rancid.

That portion of the journals which assumes the form, or at least the name, of criticism, is the one which has in these days undergone perhaps the greatest change; and much as has been done to facilitate the manufacture of books by the application of machinery both to the preparing and the printing of the materials, I doubt whether the craft of reviewing may not have profited more by the same admirable application. "*Dum brevit esse labore obscurus fit*," is completely reversed and refuted here. The labour of the critics has been wonderfully shortened; and yet, instead of being thereby obscured, it has become, if not absolutely clear, at least much more easily seen through than ever. But I shall have to advert to these matters, as the spectres of the journals pass in succession before

The most singular and the most recent of Cobbett's *tergiversations*, [I believe the proper word is *verbiversations*; for after all, it is a turning of the words and not of the man,—Cobbett being, as I should suppose, pretty much the same, both back and face, when he adores the Virgin in dreams and kisses the Holy Apostolic toe in vision, as when he is hurling his objurgations against all the saints in the calendar, and rating popes, and cardinals, and jesuits, as persons with whom he could hold no communion;] that there may be no dispute about a word however, I shall say that the most singular of all Cobbett's *versations*—would be his change from “No Popery!” to “O Popery!” were it not that there is here both a physical and a metaphysical cause. Every body knows that the adoration of bones is one of the points in which “O Popery” differs from “No Popery;” and after Cobbett fell to relic-hunting in America, the turn which his written faith would take became a very self-evident matter. I would not have mentioned the bones, had it not been that upon them may be grounded a very excellent plea in justification of the change of the words; and farther, that I may put those who are curious in these matters in possession of some rumours that I have heard, which bring the authenticity of the relics into some sort of suspicion. It seems it had been traditionally reported in America,

among the operatives, but must have been in a great measure lost both to society and to themselves from the want of a channel through which it could be communicated. It could not be expected that men who had to wield the hammer and work the saw, whose heads had no sooner invented than their hands were at work to execute, could take much interest in the gossamer leaves of that which in these times is called philosophy. It could not be expected, and it would have been absurd to entertain such an expectation, that the men whose business it is promptly and immediately to make that which is useful to society, should sit down and thumb a dozen of pages, in order to ascertain whether a small moss that grows no where nearer than the Mountains of the Moon in Abyssinia, and is a great—or rather a small rarity even there—be green inclining to red, or red inclining to green; they must have been presumed to be too much occupied about things calculated to make life agreeable, for following learned persons through their long and sinuous huntings—hunting in which, like the cur with the stag, their first glimpse is the nearest—after the thing called life itself, which after all is not a thing, but a circumstance. They could not be expected to attend to these things, or to care about them; and therefore it could not be hoped that they would pay their quarterly seven-and-sixpence in order to know how very foolishly Master This

tain, if possible, whether any, and if any, which of the various opinions set forth is the right one.

The great importance of this writer, as an article of public property, and the small probability there is that any thing will remain, after the lapse of a very few years, by which an estimate of his merits can be formed, have induced me, and indeed forced me, to devote so much of this chapter to him, that the remainder of it will not contain the other writers of this class—or rather the writers of a class that would like to call itself this—few and small as they are. I have heard that there are such things, printed and attempted to be sold weekly in the metropolis, as insults to decency and slanders against religion, by some ignorant and miserable creatures who have never had it in their power to practise the one or to feel the other; but many as are the faults and follies of the Great Babylon, and they are not few, it seems that even the most hopeless and helpless of the population have sense enough given them, and virtue enough left, to know that such matters are a disgrace.—They are not obtruded upon any body; they are so obscure, that I know not whether they belong to present or merely to recent times. Perhaps they are all in their graves, and if so, to dig them out would be a work as completely of supereroga-

tion, as it was in Cobbett to delve up the remains of Paine—or the baboon ; and to agitate any question which could arise concerning them, would be still more unprofitable than the agitation of that as to which of the two genera of animals to refer the bones.

Cobbett has, since the above was written, fallen sadly into the “pit of his own digging.” He has attempted to be a member of the House of Commons, and he has failed, not only in seating himself there, but in establishing a claim to the talents and manners requisite for an under-average member of that house. What is written above has now, therefore, more the nature of a monument to the dead than a character of the living ; and as such it is left for the benefit of those who may be disposed to emulate or avoid the Cobbettian virtues.

CHAPTER VIII.

THE JOURNALS &c.

“ Hear you ! in whose grave heads, as equal scales,
I weigh what authors’ heaviness excels.”

THE portion of discharge from the Babylonian wisdom and the Babylonian wits, which falls to be considered under this title, is that which professes to attend more to science, to literature, and to the arts, than to the mere occurrences of the day ; and I may be permitted to remark, *in limine*, that though this be by far the elder born, it does not appear to have grown to such perfection as the other. At any rate, upon a comparison of the periodical literature of the present day with that which was in existence about an age ago, one can mark no such improvement as that which is visible in the productions of the newspaper press. About the one there is all the freshness and the vigour

of young life, while the other is stunted and dwarfed, and appears to have

“ Fallen into the sear and yellow leaf.”

The causes of this difference may perhaps be found, partly in the nature of the things themselves, and partly in the changes which have taken place in the tastes and habits of society. The journalists have a comparatively limited range of subjects; almost all of these are old, and have been, with a little change in the circumstances, perhaps, written about again and again, and sometimes in so masterly a manner, as to destroy not only all hope of excelling, but almost all desire of emulation, on the part of the modern who has to spin his brains by the week, the month, or the quarter, cheering his labour by hammering over and over again the same old tunes. The subjects, again, to which the newspapers pay their attention, may be frivolous, but they are fresh; they concern the practical pursuits of mankind more nearly, and therefore they come into favour more naturally and with less effort upon either side.

The most melancholy symptoms of decay are, however, to be found in those journals which profess to be scientific. The fact is, that in the whole range of Babylonian publication, extended and crowded as it is, the quantity of scientific productions is much smaller, and their quality much

inferior, than was the case when publishing did not extend to perhaps one-tenth of its present breadth. This cannot arise from any deterioration of the powers of men ; because, considering the facilities that there now are for study, and the increased number of persons who have a capacity for it, there must be in England, and by necessary consequence in the Babylon, more scientific knowledge at the present time, than there was at those times when the printed crop of it was more abundant and rich. Neither can it arise from any desire on the part of the mercantile publishers of books to discourage one species of productions for the sake of another ; because their preference, like that of all other mercantile men, must ever be given to that which commands the readiest and the most extensive sale. It must, therefore, lie with the public themselves : and if one look abroad into society, one cannot help observing that the demand for the practical applications of science is so great, that men of real science are called upon to perform more active and important, and more lucrative duties, than the reparation of books ; and thus, though there be no want of capacity, and also no want of materials, the operation of writing is left to those who are neither well gifted in the one respect, nor well furnished in the other. Accordingly we find, that, whatever be the period of their

ation, the only advantage which is conferred by the well-conducted part of the weekly journals; for they not only diffuse among the people the means and the desire of reading, but they have a tendency also to withdraw them from somewhat of that dissipation to which every where, and more especially in a place like London, they are so much exposed. In this respect the journals have a vast advantage over the newspapers; and the little disquisitions upon subjects connected with science, with the arts, and with literature, which they contain, have a much more wholesome influence upon the labouring classes than the political disquisitions of the newspaper editors. The newspaper, at least the disquisition part of it, does best in the alehouse: while the journal, again, is more adapted for home perusal; and the workman who, upon Saturday night, lays out the price of one pot of beer in the purchase of his little journal, has a chance not only of preventing the tone of his mind from being relaxed during the Sunday, but of actually saving upon that day the price of two pots of beer, the consumption of which would certainly add nothing either to his strength and skill in working, or to his comfort either as an individual or as a member of a family. I do not pretend to say that the circulation of any description of journals would make the labouring classes either philosophers or hermits, and probably

it is not desirable that they should be ; those being descriptions of persons who thrive best when the majority of people are not very well informed—for the same reason, perhaps, that rotten wood and putrid fish become a sort of luminaries in a dark room,—but it is certain, that, since the breaking down of printed information into those small portions has been introduced, those classes of society have risen a great deal in the scale both of intelligence and of morality.

I am not sure that the weekly criticisms, and the literature of the week, are calculated to have so extensive and such beneficial effects as the weekly records of inventions, discoveries, and improved modes of applying science to assist man in his labours. The journals containing this are produced by a class of persons somewhat different from those who produce the other, and the readers of them are also different. Still, however, they, in some sort or other, help to keep one's information up to the state of things ; and though the weekly reviewers may not be the best-informed or the most impartial of all possible judges, yet they let one know, not only that such and such books have been published ; but, by affording a specimen or two—and their interest leads them to make those specimens as long and as good as they can—they enable one to judge what books are not worth

inquiring after, which is, perhaps, the most wholesome piece of information that can be conferred upon a voracious reader.

In point of standing, of information as to the commercial part of the literary world, of circulation, and particularly of abundant quotation—*The Literary Gazette* is the oldest of this class; and though the praise or the censure which it awards may be sometimes suspected, yet one can always at least guess at the general nature of a book, from the character which is made and taken of it in “*The Gazette*.”

Weekly reviews seem to have been a curious sort of articles, from Mist’s Journal down to this one; and indeed, literary criticism is produced from so many motives, by so many persons, and for so many purposes, that the analysis of it would of itself form a pretty sizeable, and by no means an unappropriate, Babylon. It would, however, be impossible here to communicate all the kinds and degrees of praise and censure which enter into the practice of this curious craft: and though one had room, and also disposition to do it, it would still be doubtful whether it were in itself worth the doing; and this doubt is increased, when one considers that if the criticism in one journal be unfair, either as praising or as censuring, it is very apt to be neutralized by that of another journal. Even

the critic himself seems not to have the power of concealing the fact that he writes against his own conviction. If, indeed, he happens to be a man of talent—of capacity sufficient to appreciate the subject that is before him, it is not possible that he shall write respecting it contrary to his opinion, be the influence of the prejudice or the pay under which he writes ever so strong, without continually tearing holes in the mantle by which he strives to conceal his real sentiments. The expression of opinion in writing, is like that of passion in the countenance and in the attitude : if an attempt be made to render the sign different from the reality, there is always some wayward muscle which takes the wrong twist, or some lagging limb that remains faithful to nature. Hence he who has been in the habit of examining this description of literature can estimate with very considerable accuracy the value of what a critic says ; and, just as is the case with the external assumption of passion, the mode of detection increases with the violence of that which is assumed. If the object be to praise a book which is really bad, then, as this is not only a less pleasant, but incalculably more difficult task, than the praising of a good book, the praise is so mounted upon stilts, and so stuffed and pushed out in all its dimensions, that it is easily detected. It sometimes happens, too, that the critic gratifies

something of a more close and personal nature, by praising that which he knows and feels to be bad—there is less of rivalship to his own wisdom, than if it were better. When, therefore, in any matter of praise in those criticisms, one discovers such a discrepancy between the judgment and the evidence, or such flaws and inconsistencies in the judgment itself, as enables one to discover that it proceeds from different motives, and has a different object from those which ought to actuate a fair critic, then one is to consider it as being unjust in the exact ratio of its warmth. A little praise, under such circumstances, and the thing praised may be passable; a little more, and it may still be tolerable; but go on, and there is always an elevation of eulogy, at which the thing eulogized may be regarded as altogether worthless.

In like manner, as it takes more praise to help a book in proportion as that book is bad, it takes more censure to hurt one in proportion as it is good; and therefore interested censure must be received and dealt with according to the same inverted process that is necessary in the case of interested praise. In this case, however, the detection is not just so easy as in the other; for censure can be assumed with a much closer imitation of truth, than approbation can be,—just in the same way that in common life, the vices,

follies, and oddities of men are much more easily imitated than their virtues. Whether this greater facility with which condemnation can be counterfeited, be owing to the virtue of mankind or to their vice—whether it be more congenial to human nature, or less so, and being extrinsic—a habit as it were, and on that account more easily put on than a strong natural feeling, such as that of admiration, can be roused,—I shall not inquire; but this I can say of my own experience, and I am not singular in the saying of it, that I have often been deceived by false censure, but seldom by false praise. When, indeed, one's own self happens to be the object either of the one or the other, that seems completely to alter the case; and not merely to alter it by a transposition of the perceptions, but by a great increase both of force and of faith to that which looks out for applause, and a corresponding diminution of that which would have to deal with censure.

When the number of new books, and of books which are not new, that come forth and pass before those weekly tribunals for judgment, is considered, it must be pretty evident that no establishment which their most extended circulation could afford, would be able to pay for that careful reading and patient examination which would be necessary for enabling the critic to give learned and impartial

sentence, if the whole, or even a considerable part, of those books were original. But the adaptation of machinery to the practice of modern literature, has been to the full as efficient in abbreviating the labour of the critic, as in superseding that of the author ; and all that the weekly reviewer has to do, is merely to take his cue as to whether the general scope of his observations shall be laudatory or lashing, and then a judicious use of the scissors saves him the waste both of his ink and his understanding.

Periodical journals that are published at short intervals, in which the editors cannot be supposed to have time very carefully to examine, and properly to weigh the merits of the more elaborate works, are very apt, like mountain rills that are always brawling, to wear deep channels, and hide themselves by their own banks,—to fall into mannerism, and see with approbation only one class of books. The corrective for that is competition ; and as there has been a good deal of that accident, or frailty, or necessity, or whatever else it may be called, about the *Gazette*, a rival was wanted. After some not very successful attempts, the *Athenaeum* has been established. Its reign has not yet been long ; but its success has been great, and that has been fully borne out by its merits. It has warmth and spirit, and is pro-

fessedly liberal; and if it avoid the opposite extreme from that into which others have fallen, and do not become "illiberal through its love of liberality," it will be a valuable acquisition to the periodical literature of the Babylon.

The fag end—and truly it is a fag end—of the weekly literature, is brought up by whole swarms of "Mirrors," and "Bees," and "Wasps," and "Spiders," and no one can tell how many oddly designated two-penny-worths and three-penny-worths of paper printed after some fashion, having

"The itch of picture in the front,
With bays and wicked rhyme upon 't;"

all of which, though they have not much absolute value, and no original value whatever as literary compositions, are yet of considerable importance, not only to the makers and venders, but to that portion of the people who have neither leisure nor disposition for the perusal of large books. But they must be read with great caution, as the "common run" of the persons who fag at them are neither able nor honest; and thus often take out the sense of what they steal to hide the theft.

One of the most remarkable circumstances connected with the weekly literature of the Babylon—and as the weekly literature is that which more immediately and properly belongs to the humble

and labouring classes of society, it may be considered as indicating the changes of their tastes—is the great difference that has taken place in its nature and form, since peace and prosperity returned to England. When war with the sword was raging abroad, and war with the tongue was hot at home—when the glory or the idleness of the army terrified strong men to risk their bones in unknown climes for unknown objects, and leave their relatives a burden upon the public; when restrictions and blockades, and armed vessels upon the sea, rendered commerce both expensive and hazardous in itself, and the drain for the public expenditure still farther diminished its returns—when those causes brought distress—and when distress, as is always the case, brought dissatisfaction,—the weekly reading of the poorer classes of society was very different from what I found it in the Babylon. In those days there were furious political pamphlets, and angry attacks upon every person who was in any way connected with the existing authorities; but now the interest of those things has gone by, and the people look for more quiet but more permanent information. The reading which was then popular, was any thing but valuable in itself, for it excited discontent, without either the desire or the capacity of removing or mitigating the grounds of discontent; but still it is by no means improba-

ble that it had its effect, in calling forth that desire of information, which happier times have enabled to direct itself to more valuable and desirable objects. The operation of sharpening is always a harsh one, and one which wears away part of the metal ; but notwithstanding this, it always adds to the usefulness of the instrument.

CHAPTER IX.

THE JOURNALS 6.

“ And as in prison mean rogues beat
Hemp for the service of the great,
So Whackum beats his dirty brains
To advance his master’s fame and gains,
And, like the devil’s oracles,
Puts into doggrel rhyme his spells,
Which over every month’s blank page
I’th’ almanac strange bilks presage.”

BUTLER.

IF it were not that the prying philosophy of these scrutinizing and inquisitive times in which our existence is cast has destroyed all the materials both of mythology and divination, and cut us off, not only from a great deal of very delightful castle-building, but forced us to have recourse to things themselves for their own explanation, a very fine and by no means an unfair theory of the great differences between the daily and the monthly

literature of the Babylon might be founded on the mythological and horoscopic functions of the two luminaries which physically measure out, and are metaphysically supposed to rule over, those two portions of duration. Every body knows that time is divided into days by the sun, and that the moon, in like manner, portions it out into months; and in like manner also, as the sun is said to be the inspirer, the guardian, and the patron of wits, the moon takes charge of those whose imaginations have become so swift in their courses as to leave the wits behind.

Far be it from me to attempt either the building or the borrowing of such a theory,—a theory which, whatever of charm or of truth there might be in it, I have mentioned for no other purpose than to remove it out of the way, lest some friend of the day and enemy of the moon should, through fear of a visitation of the latter, make use of it to the injury of that which so little needs and could so ill bear to be injured. So far indeed is it from being the case, that those who minister to the daily papers are in their wits, and those who minister to the monthly journals out of them, that the former derive their importance, not from their own wits, nor indeed from wits of any kind, but from the dull and matter-of-fact current of events, while the latter have little but their own wits whereupon to depend.

Whether it may have been, that when men felt or fancied themselves to be more under celestial influence than at present, the full moon was accounted the most favourable time for the gatherings of the lunation to be put into print, I shall not take upon me to determine; but monthly publication has long been a favourite practice with the small literati,—and not with these only, for the monthly magazines have always been a sort of dripping-pans to catch whatever the fire of genius might separate from the greater messes during the process of intellectual cookery; and there have been times when the foremost of England's literati have inserted their shortest, and perhaps therefore their best productions, in the monthly journals.

Of all the species of periodical literature, this seems however to be the one which, if from its nature it admits of improvement corresponding to that of society, has actually received the least of it. Compare a daily newspaper of the present day with one fifty years old, and the modern appears a giant beside the other: compare monthly magazines in like manner, and if there be any difference, the modern one is the dwarf. As records of facts—as giving any thing like a full and fair history of the month, they are a great deal worse than their predecessors; and among the whole products of the Babylonian lunation, I have never met

with a single page of record as to the events of the month, that seemed worthy either of confidence or of consultation. While the newspapers have superseded them in this department, the *Minerva Press*—that press which works up into amusement for idle young women the overflowings of the brains of idle old women, at the rate of some threepence-halfpenny a-page—has spoiled them of another and a favourite, if not a chosen, portion of their heritage: they do not now, as formerly, get bundles of sentimental tales and strange romances sent to the office post-paid, from which they may cull a few leaves to eke out the portion which the ever-exhausting brains of the conductor were able to bring together. The weekly periodicals have despoiled them in another quarter: they anticipate them in notices and extracts of books, and also in giving circulation to those marvellous discoveries and tales of which the monthly journals used to be the regular vehicles. Antiquarianism, too, has lost a good many of its charms; and people, especially in the metropolis, have become so anxious about new schemes and new fashions, that they can with difficulty be persuaded to read the history of an old wall, or look at the effigies of an old castle. In consequence, indeed, of the industry with which their predecessors have ransacked and exhausted the past, and of the assiduity with which their

more alert contemporaries monopolize the present, and their own apparent incapacity for seeing very far into the future, the monthly matter-of-fact men have been thrown more exclusively upon the resources of their own wits than any other class of editors ; and, in the case of wits as well as in that of more substantial stores, they who have to depend most constantly upon them, of course arrive at the end of them the soonest.

In consequence of this, the monthly journals have become a sort of barriers set up a good way onward in the road to oblivion, in order to prevent, if possible, the absolute loss of a few things which nobody else appears to care very much about preserving ; and indeed there are few who see them, unless it be those who are anxious to continue as well the sets of books as the sets of families that were founded by their sires and grandsires. Besides these ancient gentlemen, there are others which deal in mathematics and millinery, and spread their nets in order to catch a few of those scattered leaves of poetry, which are too small and too withered for fetching any price in the market ; but these, like the former, have little connexion with and as little influence upon the intelligence and taste of the age, and therefore they form no part of the Babylonian literature, as expressive of the modes of life, or of the mode of thinking,

or of the mode of want of thought, which change with the changing times and seasons of Babylon.

Among the journals which are under the domination of the moon, there are some which profess to devote their attention to medicine; and in so far as these confine themselves to a faithful record of symptoms and modes of treatment in medical and surgical cases, (and nowhere can skilful and industrious editors have more facilities for acquiring these than in London,) they may be exceedingly useful to those whose business it is to carve and cure the human body. But the medical profession treats of subjects which have few attractions for general readers; the slang in which its professors see meet to wrap it up completely out-Babylons Babylon, and when those learned persons leave the application of their pills and their poultices, and begin to dispute whether these should or should not be exhibited, they very frequently become unintelligible to each other, and so it is not to be expected that the public shall understand them, or even make any attempt in that way.

Indeed, the only Babylonian monthly journals which profess to belong to the public generally, and connect themselves with the passing times, are *The Monthly*, *The New Monthly*, and *The London Magazines*; and these, although each has its

range rather in its own corner than over the whole field, and though all be, and are perhaps intended to be, more pretty than profound, may still be taken as very fair specimens of the kind of talent which drudges and labours in ordinary for the laudable purpose of Babylonian amusement. "The London" takes up (for it has changed its habits) the useful—that play of philosophy which makes people wise when they think they are only merry ; and sends them away informed, though they come only to be amused. This is one admirable department of Magazineship, and I hope "The London" will stick to it.

"The New Monthly" is different from "The London," in as far forth as its editor is a man, who in his time has done noble service, both to the cause of song and the cause of liberty ; and who, though he has now laid his more glorious Muse upon the shelf, continues to receive admiration in consequence of the deeds of his former days. Campbell is perhaps the only man now living in England, to whom the bright vision of the very summit of Parnassus has ever been unfolded : in his writings, there is a loftiness of sentiment and purity of heart, a glow of tenderness and a glory of colouring, that seldom, indeed, have been equalled ; and if the endurance of his powers had been in any way commensurate to their value,

Campbell would have been the poetic boast of his age, as well for the number as for the value of his productions. But poets, like poetry itself, are wayward things, and must be left wholly to their own courses. If the notes of song are to be true to nature, and to thrill into the hearts of all mankind, not only without effort, but without desire upon their part, then they must be left to take precisely their own course : for if he who has written ever so admirably at one time, be compelled to write at all times, the chance is, that that which he produces shall be a perfect failure ; and if a bard once fall from the vigour of his strain, there seems to be no return,—an erring poet, like an erring female, is lost, and for ever. It seems curious too, that some of the greatest of our poets are, like the wound of the stage hero, “great because they are so small ;” and that those whose emanations have the bright and the piercing qualities of the lightning, resemble also the lightning in the brevity of their duration. Gray belongs to this class, and stands, and perhaps will stand for ever, at the head of it ; and there is more poetry—absolutely more painting—a farther and a fairer glimpse into nature, in one of Gray’s images, than in half-a-dozen volumes of the ordinary verse-men. Campbell, if not the very next to Gray, is exceedingly near him ; and therefore, like Gray, Campbell could be ex-

pected to write very little. It must not be supposed, however, that if poets of this description put forth a small number of lines, they are on that account more indolent than they who come down upon us in all the breadth, all the brawling, and all the frequency of mountain-torrents. It would be easy to point out scores of volumes, and volumes too that continue to be read, upon which there has not only not been so much intellect to expend in the same compass, but upon which there has not been any thing like so much intellect expended altogether, as there has been upon some of Campbell's odes, which extend only to a few stanzas; or upon some passages in the *Pleasures of Hope*, none of which exceed above one or two pages. If mankind will have this more intellectual and elaborate poetry, they must be content to take it in such small quantities as the powers even of the first of men are able to furnish: if you would be contented with a paving-stone, you may have it as large as artificial machinery and brute force are able to move from the quarry; but if you look for a diamond, you must be contented with that which, in physical magnitude, is comparatively nothing.—Campbell, instead of having—as is said by those who feel no difficulty in their own writings (and how should they?)—written too little, has probably written too much; and when his shorter poems are compared with his longer ones, per-

fectly delightful as some parts, indeed many parts, of the latter are, one cannot help wishing that the whole of them had been short.

But though this admirable bard has ceased to display the same poetical fire as formerly, he retains the same taste; and therefore it is that he is well adapted for superintending that periodical which addresses itself more especially to the great and the gay of the Babylon—to those who are elevated above the sober regions of philosophy, and wanton in the fairy-land of intellectual pleasure.

The “Monthly,” which, when the first edition of these lucubrations appeared, was a miserable melange of newspaper scraps and false philosophy, has now changed its character, and is a work of originality and vigour, running side by side with the best of its brethren.

The mere “magazine writers,” the men who, month after month, “bestow all their tediousness” upon the editors, form a very curious part of the Babylonian literati. They are a sort of neuters—things between the scissor-man who mangles the works of others for the fag-end of the bibliopoles, and the real author who can produce a work which a bookseller of eminence will purchase. They are like the leaves of autumn, sapless, unconnected with any thing stationary, and always fluttering about. They make essays and

“ends of verse,” just in the same way that the dames of Dunstable make straw plait ; and where an editor has to depend on them, his avocation bears some analogy to that of a milliner.

Another thing—their wit, their humour, their feelings, their perceptions, their illustrations—every thing about them—belong not to the grand volume of nature, nor even to the second-rate volume of general society ; but are all confined to the few tiny leaves over which their own eyes have had faculty and permission to range. The eye of the intellect in this resembles the eye of the body,—that in proportion as it has the power of magnifying small things, and thereby more perfectly discerning their beauties and their deformities, its power to take in large objects, and range over extensive views, becomes diminished. As he whose natural vision can discern every little mark and spot upon the tiny flower of the garden, which is vulgarly termed “London pride,” cannot be expected, even although elevation were given him, to scan the horizon stretching but ten miles round ; so he whose intellectual or literary eye has turned upon, and can see and appreciate all the little marks and spots upon that tiny social plant (flower or weed, according as it is thought of), which may also, without much vulgarity, be denominated London pride, has no range of speculation that can at all grapple with the more general, the mightier, and

the more permanent attributes and elements of human society, or so connect them with that which is more enduring than man, as that they shall even have a chance of being permanent.

If any one would write for immortality, the materials upon which he works must in so far be immortal. Now, the very value of those things which are most interesting for idlers to do and drivellers to write about in the Great Babylon, consists in the brevity of their duration. There is no stamina, no gratification, in the things essentially, and therefore the whole of the pleasure derived from them is derived from their novelty ; and novelty is a quality of so fading a nature, that even excellence itself is inadequate to the preservation of it. But the Babylonian writers,—and from all that I have examined and heard upon the subject, I am inclined to believe that the minor Magazines whose names I have not mentioned, are the sluices which let the soft waters of genuine Babylonian lore dribble into the lake of oblivion, so as to admit a new supply to come forth, and thus preserve the colligative runnel, "*in omne volubilis ævum*,"—are continually straining after this home novelty—hunting the butterflies, or chasing the dust of the day ; and will not, or cannot, so much as lift their little eyes to the contemplation of those enduring things, which were old ere the fashions and the fooleries of the Baby-

lon came into existence, but which shall be strong and vigorous after no memorial of those fashions and those fooleries can be found.

There are features of nature, there are principles in man, and there are modes in society, upon which if a writer could but fasten himself, no whirlwind of change, no storm of accident, and not even the flood of time itself, could sweep him away; but these are, perchance, not attainable by those whose preparations for working, as well as whose work itself, have been performed by the streams of Babel. To look upon the Thames itself, with its current of small particles speeding on and on to the ocean, without pause, without change, and without visible return, communicates nearly the same knowledge, and imparts nearly the same taste for permanence of duration, as is given by a whole life-time spent in the contemplation of that casually fleeting current of customs, of fashions, and of men, which, upon its banks, flows onward to civil and to moral oblivion. Farther, when one looks upon running water, one is very apt to become giddy, and the certainty and the severity of the giddiness are ever in proportion to the swiftness of the current: in like manner, when one contemplates, not the fixed form, but the perpetual fluctuations, of society, it is scarcely possible to avoid a considerable degree of intellectual stagger.

ing, and especially that kind of optical deception, by which, when the observing power has become tremulous, it imagines that all else trembles, and that itself stands still.

In consequence, if not of these causes, at least of some cause or other, which prevents them from seeing the strong points of that which is fleeting, seizing the general qualities of that which is mixed, and laying hold of the durable parts of that which is only partially imperishable, the true Babylonian writers—those who make it their labour to knead the clay of their understanding into monthly bricks, daub these bricks afterwards with mortar, build them up into little books, of perchance something less than monthly duration, and stucco those over with titles, and prefaces, and puffs—look for, or at least see, only those qualities of men and of things that are exposed upon that filmy surface, which it pleases that favourite daughter of Chance—Fashion, sole arbitress of Babylon taste, to turn up for their inspection and admiration.

In consequence of those circumstances, it seems exceedingly doubtful whether there be a greater degree of general and permanent interest in those newly-modelled journals of the Babylon, than there was in the older ones, to which the provincial literati, when the love of writing overcame them, sent up their carriage-paid contributions. In point

of literary form, I will grant that they are superior, but I have my doubts as to whether they may not be inferior in value, and more especially in variety of matter; for though I am very willing to admit that, in as far as the mere workmanship is concerned, a town-made magazine may be as superior as a town-made razor, yet I have my doubts as to whether these be not "Birmingham" in the stuff, and "London particular" only in the form and the polish. If, indeed, the same limited number of individuals,—individuals too, who, if they were any way remarkable either for their intellectual powers or their intellectual cultivation, can hardly be supposed to take to this vocation as a trade,—shall continue year after year to furnish the same quantity of fragments, chipped off from their own wits; it is scarcely within the compass of the most wide and charitable postulate to concede the impossibility, or even the improbability, of their becoming so exhausted in thinking, and so hackneyed in expression, as that they shall not only find no new matter to advance, but also no new form in which they can advance it.

Any one who is so gratuitously good-natured as to have no apprehension that continual exhaustion—an exhaustion of that which at first may not happen to be very great, will produce this effect, may turn to the sentiment, the stories, the sketches,

the characters, or the any thing said or sung, and the experiment will have more force than though I or any one else were to throw away a whole chapter in attempting to demonstrate the truism. No doubt there is one circumstance which renders this exhaustion less a matter of loss to the thing exhausted, and of surfeit to them upon whom it exhausts itself, than though there were only one arena for the setting forth of such displays: when any of the persons thus employed wears himself out under one employer, he can turn to another, and revolve anew through all the signs of the same circle; and as the readers of the different journals (perhaps I should say the buyers of them) are not the same persons, that which would be repetition in the one, makes very good original matter in the other. Indeed, if the series extended over a month or two, it would not signify much, although the readers were exactly the same—inasmuch as that which a man has entirely forgotten, is as original to him as that which he never heard of. The writers of whom I speak are well aware both of the value and the safety of this mode of procedure; and they sometimes carry it so far as that, after having run the round of some half dozen of other publications, and been, of course, original in them all, it returns at last to the one in which it first made its appearance, and becomes a second time original there.

Perhaps it does so according to the general law of terrestrial substance, which forms the grass that fattens the sheep, that feeds the man, that fattens the worm, that again forms the grass, and so on in perpetual circle: but this is a point of very nice and not very necessary philosophy. It is much more important to know that if a very silly matter does not contain so much originality in the original invention or discovery, as a matter of more importance, yet it may contain much more in the end,—seeing that by being often forgotten, and as often re-imagined or re-discovered, its value as original may be multiplied till it exceed any fixed quantity of originality that imagination can invent, or industry find out. Here, again, is a close analogy to life: the small ephemeral insects, which live for their days or their half days, possess not in their little frames, or their limited duration, either so much of the energy or the endurance of the living principle, as the larger and longer-lived animals; but the frequency of their recurrence may throw the excess of the total into their scale of the balance. It is just the same with the journals of which I am speaking. In the individual number, they have little originality or little life; but then in their successive publications, and re-productions, and re-discoveries of the same fine and filmy ideas, the total, after the lapse of a number of months, amounts to a goodly something,—a something for

which mankind, especially amid the mutabilities of Babylon, ought to be very thankful, inasmuch as they have it fresh and new at the time, and it neither racks their understandings nor burdens their memories.

But whatever effect this putting of monthly periodical literature upon the mercenary footing of a standing army, instead of trusting it to those volunteers and militia of the pen who fell so severely under the censure of Dr. Young, may have had upon the literature itself, there can be no doubt of its advantages to the literati themselves; for in consequence of this monthly labour, which, I believe, is upon the whole decently paid, those upon whom chance or fortune will not bestow a more prolonged smile, and who will not return to the professions of their fathers, are not doomed to unite their sweet voices in one grand chorus to sing the gallows as heretofore, and depend upon casual pence in the street, like those minstrels of whom they may be regarded as the descendants. This is in itself a great advantage; and though it may, in some instances, serve no better purpose than that of keeping a dunce out of the parish workhouse, yet there are others in which it must save those who are deserving of a better fate from the visitation of a worse one. Indeed the great improvement of literature, as it respects the comfort of

literary men,—and that is no small part of its value, inasmuch as if they who produce be in misery, that which is produced stands a great chance, at least, of being miserable,—is, that it has now become a regular profession—a trade in which men do their weekly work, and receive their weekly wages, with the same certainty and the same regularity as other tradesmen, instead of being, as they once were, danglers upon the bounty of booby lords, or dependants upon the caprice of ever-varying taste.

No doubt the majority of those who, being thus regularly employed, may be considered as composing the literary profession, are men of no great eminence in themselves, and vastly inferior to those intellectual giants who forced their way to eminence through all the difficulties and disadvantages of a less liberal state of things; but still, comparing those who form this class with those who form any other class of society, I do not think that they will be found inferior; and if the task which they impose upon themselves be a little more difficult, and also a little more exposed to observation and criticism, than the more occult vocations of the other three divisions of what is called the learned world, who plead and physic men into the loss of their purses and their health, and preach them into the saving of their souls, then the men themselves ought not to be

blamed for having chosen a profession of which the public are better able to judge, and in which they, perchance, take a deeper interest. As to inferiority among the members of this class compared with each other, it may safely be said, that the majority of every class of society are plodding and every-day sort of characters; that, in proportion as the class becomes more numerous and more regularly established, the majority of its members become more plodding and more every-day; and that, above all things, the world ceases to marvel at, and to magnify to giants the geniuses; the works, and writings of men, just in proportion as those works and writings become better understood. So long as it demanded a combination of great talents, fortunate circumstances, an imposing subject, and an influential patron to prevent the words "literary man," from being synonymous with the word "beggar," it was but natural to suppose that those who did come into notice would remain in it; but even they appeared the greater, and remained the longer, in consequence of the time and the efforts that were necessary in order to make a comparatively ill-educated public acquainted with them: but now that the literary talents form part of the public wealth of the country, as well as those talents which are engaged in furnishing the other necessities and ornaments of life, the

fame of literary men has abated, and their reward has been increased,—they have sustained somewhat of loss in the matter of “empty praise,” but that has been far more than made up to the country, and especially to themselves, in the addition which has been made to the quantity of “solid pudding.”

In thus reflecting upon the advantageous change which a more extended diffusion of knowledge and of liberal principle throughout the British islands, (and, in as far as British example and British influence either at first or at second hand extends, throughout the world,) I had nearly lost sight of the remaining mould into which the metal of Babylonian literature is poured, and as nearly occupied the space which I intended to devote to it. It will not, however, detain me long, as the difference between the quarterly journals and the mass of the other journals and newspapers is a difference in manner, much more than a difference in matter. Fashion indeed wills it that the quarterly journals shall, in general, (with the exception of those scientific chronicles, of which I have already said enough,) take the form of literary reviews, and, under the pretext of discussing books and their authors, actually re-discuss, and that generally not for the elucidation of truth, but for the furtherance of some party purpose, those topics of

the time which have been again and again discussed during the currency of the three months, at the close of which the quarterly journal brings them into judgment, and thereby adds another to the manifold originalities of the same idea.

That species of reviewing, or rather of writing called reviewing, which forms the distinguishing and most valuable feature of the quarterly journals, is not, strictly speaking, of Babylonian origin. "The Edinburgh Review" set the example of writing a series of essays in favour of a particular view of political, philosophical, and literary questions, under cover of a certain number of what might be considered as the most popular publications of the time. The thought was a happy one; for in consequence of the novelty of the book, and the expectation of getting a short road to all its contents, as well as to all the gossip that could be discovered respecting its author, readers were attracted, and read, and haply profited by the reading, those essays and disquisitions which would have been very indifferent, if not altogether repulsive, if they had no signboard more tempting than their own appropriate titles.

It is not a little curious with what nicety chance, if indeed it be the work of chance, has apportioned out those quarterly political batteries, which are so masked as to have the external appearance of

critical journals, to the Modern Athens and the Great Babylon. The three leading ones take each a side of the political triangle: *The Westminster*, as a Radical Journal, very properly takes the base; the *Athenian*, or Wig Journal, assumes to itself the perpendicular; and the *Quarterly* takes the slanting side, which is the longest, and has the surface which it represents equal to both the surfaces represented by the other two. The *Monthly*, which is an Independent and unbiassed Journal in all its criticisms, is without the triangle, and understood to be *Catholic*.

In speaking of “The Quarterly Review” it is not necessary formally to inquire into its origin, although the one usually assigned to it was a quarrel between its proprietor and the proprietor of “The Edinburgh Review,” in which the other had previously held a share. The causes by which literary works, whether of a single publication or successive publications, are produced, have never yet been made matter of philosophic inquiry,—although such an inquiry would be very pleasant, and by no means unprofitable. It would be difficult, however, and demand from literary men in general a series of confessions as long, and somewhat more to be depended upon, than those of Rousseau and Lackington. But leaving the philosophy of the origin of books to those who might be

more profitably employed there-anent than in their present labours, I may state that when “The Quarterly” first made its appearance, the Athenian journal was so strong, so vigorous, and had so powerful a hold upon the feelings and the faith of mankind, the “The Quarterly” had no chance of success but by taking, upon all questions of public interest, the side opposite to that espoused by its rival, “The Quarterly” did this; and as the side which it espoused was either not so well versed in the principles by which it was actuated, or so well able to explain and defend those principles as it has been subsequently, “The Quarterly” began with a system of politics which was (unavoidable at the time) a little dark and dogmatical; and, getting accustomed to that, it has not, to this day, been able to come forth to the light even of that Tory party whose conduct it has seen meet to defend, from necessity perchance at first, and subsequently, it may be, partly from interest and partly from habit. Those who first joined in the literary labours of “The Quarterly,” and remain, except where death or decrepitude has weeded them away, were men of more erudition than understanding—deeply read in the mysteries of books, but, with a very few exceptions, not so profound in those of nature and of man. They were withal excellent linguists, and far more zealous in discovering cracks in

the head of Priscian than in feeling the deficiencies of their own ; they trudged over the globe like so many wandering Jews, and loaded their memories and their pages with geographical details, although at the same time they gave evidence that they were somewhat deficient in those branches of natural and of social philosophy which alone can give to those details their proper degree of interest and utility, and in matters of imagination, taste, and poetry, they in part belonged to a sect which was not popular then, and which does not seem calculated for increasing, or at any rate does not increase, in popularity. In respect of what may be called learned and fine writing, the men of “ The Quarterly ” certainly had many degrees the advantage of their northern rivals, even when the Athenian journal was in the zenith of its power ; but it is equally true that, then at least, they were many, very many degrees, behind those Septentrional sages, if not in the soundness of their philosophy, at least in their skill in the management of it.

“ The Quarterly ” had another difficulty to contend with : its contributors were drawn from among two bodies of men, who, though they had at least come to an understanding that they would equally support the same class of political opinions, or rather political persons—namely, those in power,

were yet in every thing else as nearly the antipodes of each other as it was possible to conceive of men born and educated in the same age and country. One part of them had been, even from their youth, brought up at the feet of the High-church Gamaliel ; and if they were not thoroughly imbued with the doctrines of passive obedience and the Divine right, had yet been pretty well and pretty regularly immersed in those stubborn and unyielding waters. In consequence of this, they were strongly attached not only to all the existing institutions in Church and in State, but to all the long-established schools, and rules, and formulæ, in every department of learning. I do not say that, in their estimation, Aristotle was omniscient and infallible ; but the principles of their philosophy, and the models of their taste, as well as their opinions upon laws and governments, were all such as had been consecrated by the lapse of years, and owed, in some instances at least, the veneration in which they were held more to authority and usage, than to any demonstrated superiority in their own intrinsic nature. The other part consisted of men who, at least at one period of their lives, had scorned the sacredness of antiquity and the laws of institution and of habit—had not only scouted those differences of rank in society which the others revered, and hinted that a human origin, some-

times none of the justest, might be found for that which the others regarded as Divine,—but had been the founders of their own schools of poetry and taste; and in the founding of them, and much more in the practice after they were founded, broken one and all of what the other party regarded as the sacred canons in those matters.

A combination so heterogeneous in many of its elements, could not be supposed to remain undissolved unless by the power of some individual or conventional fence much stronger than its own disposing affinities; and though the success of the Review induced others to contribute to it who were not so violently wedded either to the one or to the other of those sides of the dogma, it could hardly be supposed, that in the event of the tie which held them together being loosened, and any preponderance given—especially to the eccentric element, that those more liberal and more rational auxiliaries would remain. The recent loss of the original editor, upon whose personal acumen more of the value of “The Quarterly Review” depended, and more of the unity among its contributors hinged, than many were aware of, has, as I understand, brought it somewhat down from the eminence which it has so long held; and though this circumstance will have any effect but that of diminishing its orthodoxy upon some points, it is said to be

calculated to make it infinitely more heterodox upon others,—so much so, indeed, that as the continuation of peace gradually diminishes the political portion of the Review, its fame will have to rest upon that part of its base which is the least able to support it. But happily for the Quarterly, as well as for the country, political animosity has in a great measure subsided; and it has thus been enabled to rest its merits upon the sure basis of its literary merits; and thus it has got the better of its rival, which ‘ gives the alarm through the world.’ ”

“ *The Westminster Review* ” is neither so learned nor so orderly in its words as “ *The Quarterly*; ” while in philosophy it wanders as the one party of “ *The Quarterly* ” would have wandered in taste, had not their aberrations been restrained by the other. The politics of “ *The Westminster* ” are of that description which answer far better for argument than for use. They are nearly the same with those which, behind the scenes, govern the meetings in Covent Garden, unless when the disturbing force of a Hunt or a Cobbet gives proof as to how slender a thing such government is. They emanate from that school which believes that every thing is to be accomplished by what it is pleased to call, perfection of system; and which would require all those by whom the systems are framed, to be in-

nitely wiser than ever yet could be predicated of human nature. Its members have never been able to make any figure either in the thinking or in the acting world ; but they are persons far gone in that most hopeless of all amativeness—the love of themselves, and, like other doating lovers, they wander through shady groves and by shallow streams, and carefully avoid all knowledge of the human race. If ever it should become necessary to have a system of political philosophy, no part of which were to be allowed to come into use, then “ The Westminster” philosophers, whether in their character of reviewers, (I mean essay writers,) or in their more assuming one as manufacturers of systems, would be the very men to furnish such a commodity; but until such a want and such a means of supplying it become matters of general desire, the fragments of their wisdom will probably be allowed to lie in the same obscurity as the blocks from which they are hewn. In matters of literary and social interest, “ The Westminster Review” contains not much to atone for the inapplicability of its philosophy ; its notions are sometimes acute enough, but they all smell of the cloister ; and it is withal so dogmatical in the asserting of them, and so brief and vehement in its condemnation of every other one, that, even although the opinions were really better in themselves, folks would hardly consent

to be whipped into them at its furious and intemperate rate.

Indeed, although with those two Babylonian three-monthers, and the addition of the Athenian one, which is at variance with the two in like manner as they are at variance with each other, there be no lack of a quarterly publication to set forth the politics of those three parties, and the several systems and views of men and things which they are wont to couple with their philosophy, yet it may be that there is still wanting a quarterly journal which shall be worthy of the age, devote itself to the record and the furtherance of its advances, and deal with every person and every subject in a manner perfectly fair and impartial.

Such a journal is probably, however, to be desired rather than to be expected ; for as each of those which I have enumerated depends more upon its partizanship than upon its general character, and probably aims more at doing service to its patrons than in doing service to the general interests of literature, and as those which are devoted to science, devote themselves not so much to the furtherance of science generally, as to the furtherance of the scientific fame of those persons with whom they are more immediately and intimately connected, it is possible that the world generally does not hold a work of this kind in such estimation.

as to support it without the aid of some specific prop. This, however, is a matter upon which it would be extremely difficult to procure accurate information, and upon which no speculation could be of the smallest value; I shall, therefore, pursue it no farther: and, indeed, some may think that the number of pages I have already devoted to the different forms and productions of the Babylonian press, are more than enough; but my plea in mitigation is, that this same press is, of all subjects, whether in the Babylon or elsewhere, the most important; and that, from what cause I know not, the accounts of it are less perfect and less appropriate, than those of any thing with which I am acquainted. Very possibly, I have added nothing to the knowledge of this wonderful subject; but I have added something to the quantity of printed paper concerning it, and that is as much as the majority of us authors can say of the majority of subjects about which we write.

CHAPTER X.

BABYLONIAN EDUCATION.

“ Bred up where discipline most rare is.”

ALTHOUGH London is unquestionably the place where there is the greatest demand, and beyond all calculation or comparison the most ample and the most equitable reward, for every description, both of manual and of mental power,—though it be, above all places—and so far above them as well nigh to leave similarity altogether out of the question—favourable for giving that scope, that publicity, and that renown, without which talents can hardly reach the highest degree of excellence,—and although of latter years in an especial manner, all those petty controls — that dependence upon factions, and systems, and societies, and individuals—that dependence upon mere names, and

that subservience of intellectual power to conventional rank,—have been done away with, and the more high and honourable distinction of esteeming and rewarding every man according to his real merits has come in their place ; yet it must be admitted, that London in itself, and in its domesticated and permanent society, neither is nor can be the nursery of those powers, and more especially those intellectual powers, which it is so able, so willing, and finds it so much to be its own interest, thus pre-eminently to encourage. That which London demands is action : the bustle, the hurry, and the necessities of its society will not admit of that long, laborious, industrious, and retired preparation, which is the foundation of that eminence which it attracts. In such a place, human life is too valuable, and human time too precious, for being occupied about any thing that cannot be turned immediately to account ; and therefore the system of education which prevails in and about London scarcely comes under the denomination of what may strictly be termed moral discipline, or mental culture.

Admitting, indeed, that there were time, apart from actual business, to acquire this sort of cultivation, there is no inducement to it. There is no law of human nature more strong in itself, or more constant in its operation, than that which prompts

us to acquire every possession, and arrive at every enjoyment, by the shortest and the easiest means. That which we can conveniently purchase, we shall never take the trouble of making for ourselves; and when we can have the conclusion ready drawn, it is not to be expected that we shall go through all the fatigue of the investigation. Besides, it is only the hope of enjoying the result of labour that induces man to undertake any species of it, and more especially that which, being mental, can give him no *eclat* in the eyes of a multitude, while he is engaged in the doing of it.

In more solitary places,—where the facilities of immediate enjoyment are fewer, the incentives to immediate action less strong, and where man at every period of life, and more especially in the earlier parts of it when the mind is most active and most susceptible of permanent impressions,—the individual is in a great measure left to his own resources; and his enjoyments are regulated by his skill and his industry in finding them out, and, in many instances, actually creating them for himself. In London, on the other hand, every thing is ready made; and all that either man or boy requires is, that he shall possess such a supply of money as shall furnish him with these numerous inviting and easily acquired gratifications. Thus there is a two-fold chain constantly drawing the

Babylonian youth from the practice of any thing like laborious or profound study. He sees as much of interest and of novelty as, without any labour on his part, answers quite well for satisfying ordinary curiosity ; and the purchasable gratifications of appetite lie so temptingly around, that the first and strongest of his desires is to become possessed of that which shall enable him to purchase them. His parents have been brought up amid the same circumstances, and therefore their modes of thinking and of acting are precisely the same. If they have been successful in business, or have by any other means acquired affluence, then enjoyment is the order of the day ; and though they be careful enough to impress upon the youth the necessity of also acquiring affluence for himself, yet as by far the greater majority of them have acquired their own affluence without any thing deserving the name of education, even they look upon the gratification of the animal passions of their children as being a matter of far greater importance than the cultivation of their minds. They know what it is to make a successful speculation, to eat a luxurious dinner, to dress splendidly, and to attend a place of public resort or amusement; but they have no conception whatever that there is any such thing as pleasure in sitting down to mope over those pro-

found disquisitions, without which, however elegant may be the external manners, and however luxurious the modes of life, the mind itself can be little better than an uncultivated waste.

To those who know much about the matter, it will, I presume, be decisive on the point as to the inferiority of Babylonian education, in as far as regards the more deep and general cultivation of the mental powers, that it is, by parent, by pupil, and by teacher, looked upon as nothing else than a piece of the common mechanism of trade. The parent—I mean the Babylonian parent,—when he purposes to educate his child, does it merely with a view of fitting that child for the business or enjoyment of the world, in some particular and limited department; the teacher, again, has no view beyond how he shall, for the smallest possible outlay both of money and of trouble, receive the greatest return; while the child, amid the external temptations and luxuries which every where surround him, and not seeing that much profound thought is exercised by any body that he knows, naturally takes matters as easily as ever he can.

I do not mean to say that the Babylonians are an uneducated people; all that I contend for is, that their education is of a kind which is peculiar to themselves, or only approximated in other places

in proportion as those approach in size to the Babylon. The place itself is artificial; and it is impossible that the education should be any thing else, even supposing that they by whom it is conducted (and that is far from being the case) were themselves the most learned persons, and the most enthusiastic in their devotedness to learning, that the country any where could afford. Farther than this, the Babylonian education, whether it apply to the one sex or to the other, is superficial: I grant that in its surface it looks well—it is smooth, showy, and marketable; but it has little or no depth whatever. In as far as the female part of it is concerned, it does not appear that—unless in some instances, which are so very few as to make exceptions against the rule rather than arguments in favour of it—education is any where a matter of science; and therefore it would be too much to expect that it should be a matter of science in the Babylon. But there the education of the two sexes is much more nearly upon an equality, than in any other place with which I am acquainted: both learn to read and write, and, among those classes that look toward the shop, both learn to cast accounts; both get a smattering of the modern languages; and if Master be sent for a few years to smooth the dog's-ears in the Latin grammar, Miss spends somewhat more time, with equal mental improvement, in

cudgelling the piano-forte or frightening the harp. In Geography, Miss usually has the advantage: for all that either of them learns there is only a few names by rote, a whirl or two given to the globe, the scratching upon a sheet of paper of a few crooked lines which are said to form a map; and as in this latter vocation Miss, if her governess shall not be too modern for that, has a chance of stitching her map upon cloth, as well as scratching it upon paper, she has fairly the advantage of Master. In the other arts, for it is as arts only that they are all attended to, there is very little good done. To be sure, boys, and sometimes girls, draw lines, and squares, and circles, upon paper, and call it Geometry; mix up *as*, and *bs*, and *xs*, and *ys*, and *zs*, with *+*s, and *—*s, and call the *melange* Algebra; or frequent the theatres during the Easter holidays, to gaze upon a piece of painted canvass, with a few holes in it and a lanthorn behind, and call it Astronomy. On neither of those subjects, nor on any subject of an analogous nature, does their knowledge or their care reach beyond the external wonders. As, however, the abstract part of science does not directly minister much to the ordinary business of life, and as the occurrences of the day furnish abundant materials for conversation for the Babylonians, the unscientific nature of their education is never felt by them-

selves, and would not be perceived by a stranger accustomed to a different order of things, were he not to examine the matter a little closely.

The great aim of the one sex in the Babylon seems to be, that they shall be successful in business ; and the great aim of the other sex, that they shall be agreeable in their manners and fascinating in their persons,—matters which, though they do not conduce to that strength and severity of character which obtain among persons whose aim is different, and whose education is different in consequence, render the Babylonians both a safe and a pleasant people.

Envious strangers have said that the males of England are never either children or men ; but that the moment that they can walk or speak they have the smartness and the activity of youths, and that they never afterwards ripen to the full vigour of masculine intellect. The former part of the saying is certainly true of them of the Babylon ; for among boys at a very early age there is a frankness, a quickness, and perhaps also a manliness, which are not to be found or even expected where society is very limited, and where children are kept out of that society, limited as it is. In remote places, one of the most difficult, as well as most delicate parts of education, is acquiring a knowledge of the world ; but in a place like London, that know-

ledge is so easy, so absolutely unavoidable, that it has all the appearance of being intuitive ; and in consequence of this, there is no such thing as a change from the gawky boy, who knows only books, to the wary man, who, as he began the study of the world late, does not feel altogether at home in it.

But in proportion as the facilities of knowing the world, and the temptations to know it, are greater in the Babylon than in other places, the value of the plan of education, properly so called, is decidedly inferior. Those who have attended most carefully to the influence of education upon the manners and the mind jointly, have laid it down, as an invariable result of their experience, that the most wholesome and effective system, for general purposes, is that which combines residence under the paternal roof with the emulation of a public school, where literature and science are regularly, severely, and rigidly taught. There are certain parts of moral culture, to which none but a parent can be supposed to attend ; and there are certain points of intellectual culture, to which no child will attend without that most efficient of all stimuli—the fear of being surpassed by other children. However well the master or the mistress of a boarding-school may be qualified for attending to the moral conduct and moral culture of young people,

and however much they may be disposed, the numbers in a large establishment (and in a place like London, if those at the head of it be eminent, the establishment will be large) prevent that close attention to each individual, which a perfect training of the mind would require ; and (practically speaking) at very large establishments, those who have the chief superintendence do not and cannot attend to individual differences of disposition at all, but leave any thing which is done approaching to this to persons of an inferior order ; and thus, as much mischief is often done by the intellectual spoiling of the tutor or governess, as more than counteracts all the good that results from their mechanical labours.

A system of education which would combine the moral watchfulness of home with the advantage of a public school is not, however, practicable in London. Even though the inhabitants of every district were to establish a school, the younger children, especially in the closest parts of the city, could not go to it ; and there are so many temptations to loiter, and even to do worse things, by the way, that it would not be safe to send the older ones. Thus there seems to be no alternative for the great body of the Babylonians, but to trust the education of their children wholly to that set of trades-people who speculate in what are called “ Academies for

Young Gentlemen," and " Establishments for Young Ladies."

" Academies for Young Gentlemen," and " Establishments for Young Ladies," did I say ? in the majority of cases there is little or no meaning in the terms ; and if the majority of the persons presiding over those houses were brought up and examined, it would be found, that instead of having knowledge and understanding for enabling them to direct the minds and form the manners of others, they have little or no education of their own. They take to the business of education as a common trade ; and though in most common trades the apprenticeships and corporation laws are an evil, there is a great evil here through the want of them. If there be any one profession—any one employment which calls for more judicious habits, more familiarity with good society, and more profound, varied, and well-concocted information than another, it is the profession of public teacher. The mere externals—the mere things taught, are not the principal requisites. It is true, that they are indispensable, and that it is just as absurd to allow an ignorant person to officiate as a teacher, as it would be to employ a cripple as a letter-carrier, or one deprived of sight as a stargazer. But after all, the information, the different arts and sciences, which the teacher may

possess, are not the education ; they are the tools, by the judicious use of which it is to be fashioned ; and, admitting that the tools—the quantity of information—were in all cases the same, the greater difference in value might arise from our knowing how to use them and another not.

That a considerable, a very considerable number of the Babylonian schoolmasters and school-mistresses have not even the tools of education in their possession, I know to be the fact ; and of those who do know the subjects, and are in possession of the tools, there are many who have no skill whatever in the use of them. At the same time there are many and splendid exceptions—men and women of the very first talents and enthusiasm, who are the greatest of all blessings to those that find them out ; but alas ! they are not the majority.

So far, indeed, from extensive information, skill in the art of communicating that information, and an ardent and devoted love of the practice of it, forming the grand incitements for a Babylonian pedagogue, male or female, to take to the craft, I may with confidence affirm, that in ninety-nine cases out of every hundred, they do not once enter into the estimate. What are called the more respectable establishments—that is, the establishments containing the greater number of barrack-rooms, lanky tutors, and green-faced governesses,—

are a sort of hereditary, or rather they extend to successors by purchase ; and, of the minor ones, the greater proportion are undertaken as speculations by those who have been unsuccessful at some mercantile business or some mechanical trade, by mature spinsters who have become brown and withered upon the virginal stems, or the wives of broken down potato merchants or chandlers. To which may be added discharged valets and waiting-maids, with an abundant *quantum* of Caledonian mechanics of the lazier sort, who have scraped together enough of pence to purchase such literary honours as are vended in the LL.D. shop of Old Aberdeen. Now, I believe, these last are “*sisted*.”

And all those persons call this an honest way of getting a living, while to me it seems, and if the Babylonians had time to reflect upon it they would feel that it is, one of the most cruel frauds that can be practised against the public. The bard says, and says justly, that the stealing of one’s good name is more atrocious than the stealing of one’s money—but here is a theft anterior, more difficult to be guarded against, and therefore more atrocious, more cold-hearted, and more cruel, than the practice of even the unprovoked and malignant slanderer. He who has his good name stolen must have enjoyed it for some time at the least ; and therefore must have won and

had pleasure in a certain portion of renown ; but when a child is defrauded of its education—is brought up without the proper mental and moral tuition, the basis is destroyed upon which the good name of the future man or woman should be erected, and therefore there is not even a chance of arriving at respectability or guarding against the sensual and seductive allurements which are so abundantly spread over the Babylon.

It were well that some means were adopted of ascertaining the capacities of a teacher a little more searching and effectual than the taking and swearing of the oath of allegiance to the King. That oath is all very well as far as it goes,—although, by the way, the loyalty of a Briton ought to be presumed and held to be sound and wholesome without any swearing ; but that oath is no test of the literary abilities or the moral habits of the taker and swearer ; and, therefore, if the candidate for a teachership were made to dance a jig and sing Chevy Chace—why, it would be a better criterion. Your parson is not allowed to show you the way to Heaven without some evidence of scholar-craft—your physician is not allowed to assist him without some evidence of study—your lawyer is not allowed to put on the wig of wisdom and dip his hand into your pocket without having at least eaten a certain

number of dinners in a certain enchanted hall ; but your teacher takes and swears the oath of allegiance, and having so done, he may instantly proceed to cheat the little ones out of their best inheritance with perfect impunity.

It may be said, and I have heard it said, that herein, as in all matters, the supply will be regulated by the demand ; that the good commodity will find a market, and the bad one will be rejected. But this is a case to which the common principles of commerce, even those of them which are sound and intelligible, will not apply. Before the giver and the receiver, or the buyer and the seller, can treat upon equal terms, it is essentially necessary that they should be placed precisely in the same circumstances. But here they are not. The parent has never time and seldom ability to examine the teacher ; and though he had, that examination would be contrary to those canons of politeness which, if people break, they are sent to Coventry. Even if the parent had leisure and capacity, and that politeness did not stand in the way, he could not, unless he had made the art of teaching the subject of long and attentive study, discover whether the party examined were or were not duly qualified. All that he could ascertain would be, how the teacher was furnished as a scholar ; and, so different is a scholar from a

teacher, that there are upon record many instances in which the most profound scholar-man, of the most splendid abilities, could not succeed in communicating instruction.

But if the parent be in no condition for determining the qualifications of the teacher, the child, in the early and most important stage of the business, must obviously be less so, must, in fact, be capable of no judgment at all upon the matter, unless as to the gratification of its merely animal desires. We can tell whether it is sufficiently or insufficiently fed, whether it has or has not a sufficiency of play, and whether it is kindly treated or the reverse; but being entirely ignorant, by hypothesis, not only of the mode of communicating instruction, but of the whole matter of that instruction, to question it on the subject, or to expect any judgment or opinion of the least value from it, would be a palpable absurdity. Nay, there is reason to allege, that, though it should be in some respects capacitated for forming a judgment, that judgment would be any thing but sound, because the whole feelings and principles of the child are against education, as it is usually conducted; and no person can so clothe with charms the *radix amara* of knowledge as that it shall be preferred to the romping and sports of the play-ground, or the little companion-

ship of gossiping in a corner, unless that person has an ardent love of the business of teaching and long practice in it.

What is demanded, therefore, is some criterion by which persons duly qualified, after minute investigation, shall grant licenses, without which no one shall be permitted to become a teacher, even in the most common hedge-school. There, indeed, the necessity is more urgent than in the schools for higher classes; because, to the higher classes, the world is in some sort a school, at least of manners; while, as Babylonian society is constituted, the world is to the poor little else than a school of vice. I grant, that there would be very considerable difficulty in determining in whom this power of license should be vested, in as much as it is difficult, especially in a place like the Babylon, where the movements of nations hide those of individuals, to vest such power in a party who would not introduce favouritism. An approximation might be made by rendering certificates of service as usher a necessary part of the qualifications. Nothing could be worse than the present system; and therefore something ought to be done, though I pretend not to say what. My humble purpose is to speak of matters as I find them, without fear or favour of any party.

In consequence of the hands to which education

is entrusted, we often find in the streets of the metropolis the most incongruous combination of symbols. On the ground-floor shall be set forth a cobler, with his lap-stone, and all the other armature of his craft, thumping, boring, and tugging away to the sound of some Grub-street ballad. While over the door there stands rubric—"Misses End-all's Establishment for Young Ladies," where the spouse of the cobler of soles cobbles the understandings of such girls as evil genius may send in her way. Another mischief, and it is a grievous one, arises from the early period at which the children of the Babylonians are sent away from the advantages of the paternal roof. From all that I have observed, I have no reason to think that the Babylonians have less of the natural *storge* towards their children than the inhabitants of any other place. In their own natures they are bland, soft, and kind; the attraction of society weans them forthwith, and, money-getting apart, they are generous. It is to be presumed therefore—more especially as their range of natural objects, the objects best adapted for directing the feelings, is limited—that the Babylonians have more than the average quantity of attachment to their children; but out of this, which is in itself as good, the pernicious system is apt to extract evil. One finds upon great houses in all the surrounding villages

the words “Preparatory School for Young Gentlemen from the age of *three* till nine,” and thus the infants are removed from their parents and placed under the superintendence of a hireling, and, as I fear, often of both a headless and a heartless hireling, just when reason is beginning to dawn, the feelings to expand themselves, and the character to take its first and most important bias. That there cannot be paid to them at that early age the same sedulous attention that a properly-educated and judiciously dispositioned mother could pay, must appear true for many reasons. The best teachers in these establishments proceed on the mercantile principle of getting as much, and giving as little as possible. The common reciprocities of friendship cannot be bought for money, and there is daily proof that those who attempt to buy affection with coin, find themselves lamentably disappointed: the coin is squandered, and they are sent to mourn in despair and misery their want of prudence and of judgment. Now if this be the case in the instance referred to, much more must it be the case where a hireling comes in as a substitute for that fondest and firmest of all attachments—that attachment for which Nature has given her universal guarantee, down even to the lowest and most ferocious species of animals—the fondness of a mother. Besides, where the collection of infants

is numerous, and that must always be the case at respectable establishments, the principle, even granting that she had the capacity and the disposition, must parcel out her attention among so many, and enjoy her pleasures and carry on her household craft besides, that the quantity of attention she can bestow upon each must be small indeed.

Here, again, it may be said that she employs the very best governesses; the most accomplished and the sweetest tempered girls in the world. But I would ask, if the attention of a hireling at first hand be bad, how that of a hireling at second hand can be better? Besides, the very feeling of inferiority, the consciousness that she is dependent, will always induce the governess to sacrifice the interest of the infant to that of the mistress,—unless, indeed, where she has cunning, and looks forward to presents from the parents, and in these cases, she will invariably sacrifice the interests of the child more largely and more cruelly to her own interest. Farther, from the age of their governesses, from their education, from the secrets they have kept—or rather, perhaps, on account of their seclusion from society, they will think more of their future flirtations than of the minds and morals of their infant charges; and so upon every view of the matter, the employment of them is no plea in justification of the system.

I have said, and any one's observation may prove the truth of it, that there is much fondness in Babylonian parents. Now this fondness is not annihilated by the unnatural removal of the child from the paternal roof. It collects during the whole term of schooling; and when the child returns at the holidays, it is almost certain of being spoiled. The cupidity of the keepers of the schools renders their holidays so numerous and at so short distances from each other, that even the most gay and thoughtless parents have not time for forgetting their children; and thus they pour upon it at the end of every eight or nine weeks (for the quarter is seldom longer) that fondness which has been collecting all the time. Fondness is like a stream in the mountains, give it its natural course, and it flows, healthful, refreshing, and lovely,—dam it up, and it becomes a destructive torrent, spoiling that which, uninterrupted, it would have fertilized. It may be that the habits of the Babylonians preclude them from paying attention to their children, and it may be too that in the matter of mere atmospheric air, those suburban nurseries may be more wholesome than the tenements of the streets and squares, but it is equally evident that neither the treatment at school during term, nor the fondling at home during the holiday, conduces much to intellectual ability or moral

strength of character; and were it possible to trace misery and ruin through all their steps, there is no question that the fate of hundreds of those who afterwards become the sorrow of their parents, and the burden, and the bane of society, is derived "from three to nine years."

Considering how much both of the virtue and the happiness of society depends upon the proper education of females, there is no part of the wide waste of Babylonian teaching, or pretended teaching, more painful than the institutions for the youthful fair. In the "Establishments" the whole or the chief aim seems to be display and effect; and while there is a most extensive muster of toys and trifles, and external accomplishments, which can never be of any use, and which, probably, are never intended to be of any use, farther than ministering to the vanity of the parents and the cupidity of the schoolmistress, there is very little that can in any way come within the description of knowledge—knowledge that in any way tends to make the professor a safe counsellor or an agreeable companion,—far less a judicious mother. The flimsy studies, the frivolous amusements, the notice set upon trifles, with the thoughtless, giggling and rompings of girls "just budding into women," make one look upon an establishment for young ladies with a very suspicious eye; and

though I should not wish to be at all positive or dogmatical upon the subject, I very much fear, that the vacuity of the female mind sends many a Babylonian husband to spend his mornings at the tavern or club-house, while the same cause sends many of those fair daughters of Babylon, whom fate, humanity, and virtue, so much lament, to those courses from which, even with the most thorough abhorrence of them, and the most sincere desire of amendment, there is no return.

In respect of general information, that is, information which takes a decided hold of the mind, forms its character, and tends to give it force and effect in future life, the academies for youths are not much better. But the education of a youth answers another purpose than the formation of the manners and the mind, and as that purpose may be served without any of the more mental and difficult parts of education, the mode of teaching boys probably does not lead to the same lamentable consequences as that of teaching girls. The mechanical arts of reading, writing, casting accounts, taking the weight of bales, and the measure of barrels, and spelling over the modern languages—though, God knows ! they do not deserve the name of intellectual education—do not tend to make a boy either an agreeable or an intelligent man, are still very useful in the way of business ; and

though they leave the Babylonian adolescent a helpless and pitiable sort of creature enough in his leisure hours, and force him into dissipation or dandyism from sheer "want of thought," yet they, in all probability, are more in accordance with twelve hours a day of enthronement on a three-footed stool, than acquirements of a higher and more intellectual character.

Now, since business—continued, close business, is the heritage to which the majority—an immense majority of the sons of Babylon are born, it is perhaps well that they are educated accordingly; for though there be a living instance of a man of money and of bags holding dalliance with Apollo's maids of honour, the association is somewhat incongruous, and it is neither likely nor desirable that it should come into general practice. Thus far, therefore, it may be said, that the minds of the Babylonians are adapted to their occupations; and that if more airy information were to elevate them above the solids of the warehouse, there may be some danger that their God would take wings, and be off at the same time.

One department of Babylonian education, though it comes sanctified with the covering mantle of charity, yet looks suspicious to a stranger, and makes him wish that he could tuck up the mantle

and look at what is beneath—I mean the Charity Schools at which the children of the poor are educated gratis. Gratis education is, in its own nature, a vice: it sows the seeds of servility and dependence, and sometimes of idleness and dissipation; but the peculiar circumstances of the poorer classes in Babylon may haply sanctify it into a virtue,—inasmuch as if the children were not educated in this manner, they would stand but small chance of getting any education at all. Another thing: if these children were not educated in the charity-schools, they would have a chance of receiving another kind of gratuitous education, sadly negative for all virtuous purposes,—the education which the hordes of thieves and blackguards that infest the streets are so willing to bestow upon all children that they can inveigle into their service. On account of the necessity of the case, therefore, I apprehend, that in spite of the more wholesome general theory, the practice must be allowed to be a good one, *quoad* virtue, whatever it may be in the way of learning; and if the wise rulers of the parishes, and portly stewards of the almshouses, do thus operate in preserving a large portion of the youth from the contamination of vice, why, who would grudge them a few substantial dinners at the public expense?—Who

would be hard-hearted enough to “muzzle the oxen,” who thus not only “tread out the corn,” but trample down the chaff?

That there are, among the immense number of persons who pursue school-craft in the hope of making their fortunes and leaving it off as soon as ever they can, persons of considerable talents, and persons who are well-disposed towards their pupils, I do not mean to deny: but still, I very strongly suspect that the number of these bears but a small proportion to the whole; and were they even twice as numerous as they are, that would not make a good system out of a bad one. There is nothing in which people, whatever may be their own education, are so apt to be imposed upon, as the education of their children; and there is no form of that in which imposition is so easily carried on, as when the child lives apart from its parents, and visits them only at the holidays. The school must be a bad one indeed, if some improvement have not been made in the course of the half or quarter of a year which takes place between two of those terms of paternal joy and juvenile gratification; and as the natural feeling of the parent does not pause and take the time in which this difference has been made fully into the account, the real improvement, even in the matters which are brought forward for judgment, and of which the parent is capable of

judging, is sure to be very much magnified. But the matters which answer best for pleasing a parent and being shown off to a parent's friends at the holidays, although they be those which conduce most to the teacher's honour and emolument, and are therefore those which must receive the greatest share of attention at the school, are not, for either of these reasons, the best for the child itself. The most valuable part of education is that which teaches the child to know; but the one which makes the best matter for exhibition is that which teaches it to do—no, not “teaches *it* to do” either, but that which *does*, always with the assistance of the teacher, and it may be sometimes without the assistance of the child. In this way, it very often happens that the child of either sex, who returns from the school with a long bill to enlarge the eyes of mamma and the mouth of papa, and a portfolio and box full of pretty nothings to set them right again, and induce them to send for aunt and uncle, and all the rest, to wonder at the display of youthful skill, and taste, and industry, has not acquired above half a dozen of new ideas all the time, and that it has acquired these from its school-fellows, or from the chamber-maid or the footman.

The resident Babylonians complain that they are eaten up—literally consumed from the place—

by the provincials; and that Yorkshiremen and Iriahmen, and especially Scotchmen, invade every house and every establishment like locusts, and stick and magnify like leeches; but really—independently of the waste of human life from the want of care and comfort in the poorer classes, from haply the excess of comfort in the more wealthy, and from the effect of close situation and contaminated air upon all—from the great distraction and waste of intellect which goes on in such a place, and the miserable system of general education—which I confess I do not see any means of remedying—if there were not a constant importation into London, it would soon become as remarkable for the want of talent as it is at present for the possession.

It by no means follows that there is in the Babylon any thing which destroys the natural powers, or that children are born there with feebler minds, or even feebler bodies, than in other places. There is no need for such supposition, nor, though there were, would it be of any use, seeing that it is not true; and the constant temptations to enjoyment, the great facilities there are for it, the early period at which young men get immured in business, the closeness with which they attend to it, the light and transient nature of all subjects of conversation, and the tendency which the continual applica-

tion of the many and powerful stimuli of so great a city has to deaden and exhaust the more active powers, and reduce men to a nearer resemblance to machines, are quite enough to account for the whole matter. Superficial as the system of education is, it suffices for all the purposes of society! and though, out of the line of his particular vocation, the opinion of a Babylonian be neither the wisest nor the most enlightened opinion in the world, there does not appear to be any very obvious way of improving it.

If the education of the middle classes be thus superficial, it cannot be supposed that that of the lower can be better: indeed, where that is at all made a matter of public interest, the very generosity of John Bull, or rather the notion which he has of generosity, spoils its effect. John, especially in Babylon, reduces all things to a money value; and so much money expended upon any thing, is always, in his view of the matter, so much good done. Perhaps it may be the only way of accomplishing the education of the poor; but I am disposed to think that the number of charitable institutions at which children get education gratis, disposes their parents to set a lower value upon it than if they had to purchase it out of their own earnings. In order to give education its proper effect, I should suppose (though I will not be

dogmatical upon the subject) that both the parent and the child should have a feeling that it is their own, and that by its means, and without favour, obligation, or assistance, they ought to rise in the world. It may be, that, in a place like the Babylon, where a man who would be absolutely great in any other place is absolutely little, and where, of course, one who would be but little any where, is nothing at all—where the poor have no distinction to gain, and, except honesty and sobriety, no character to lose,—they would not, of their own accord, educate their children: but even that does not prevent me from thinking that forced education, like forced fruit, wants much of the flavour of that which is natural; and I rather am inclined to think, that, though the children at these schools make a very pretty show on parade days, and though the system gives occasion for meetings, and speeches, and dinners,—yet that it is neither the best, nor—what the Babylonians will understand better—the cheapest mode of educating the poor.

In these remarks, I have altogether omitted the foundation and company schools of a higher class; not because I think that they are faultless, but because their faults are of another description. They are *exclusive* establishments—accessible for other reasons than the merits of the parties, or even their abilities to pay the fees; and though

liberty requires that those who found any sort of establishment should be allowed to arrange the details of it, yet knowledge—and education, if it be meant to communicate knowledge—should know, and especially feel, no aristocracy but its own.

It is a curious, a singular, and perhaps a mischievous fact, that, in the city which lays claim to more liberty, more respect for man in himself, and less for the merely accidental distinctions of life, than any other in the kingdom, and which contains more establishments for education of one kind or another than any other place, should not have a single public school which is open upon the same terms to all classes of persons ; but should be under the necessity of teaching pride to one class of society, and shame and degradation to another, the very moment that they begin to study the Horn-book.

“ The London University” has taken up “ a more solid position” than when the first edition of these volumes were written. But though it has altered, my opinion remains the same ; and as that opinion is against the apparent progression of the institution, it becomes almost unavoidable that I should state very briefly one or two of the branches into which that opinion is divided. For the sake of clearness, if upon such a subject one can be clear, I shall state my opinion *seriatum*.

In stating those opinions, I must, however, enter a caveat against mistakes: I am not arguing that, at this establishment, as profound erudition and as accurate science, may not be acquired as at the antient universities; because the acquisition of these does not necessarily depend upon any establishment at all. What I argue against is the *Aristocracy* of learning—the name, which sometimes stands in place of the reality; and the want of which possibly may, with a little change in society, be an actual advantage. The change of society necessary for this purpose is that the public shall be able to take for themselves direct evidence of the acquirements of men, which will, at any rate, be better than the presumptive evidence of the certificate.

The great Babylon cannot therefore have a University any way comparable in respectability or usefulness with the Universities already established: for these reasons:—

First, because it wants that holiness of antiquity, that shadowyness of time, and that long file of immortal names which twine no small portion of the amaranth of eternity around the solemn piles and the sacred halls of the Cam and the Isis. One who goes to an university with any sort of hope of profiting thereby, must go with all the animation of soul, and all the devotional feelings

of a pilgrim journeying to a sacred shrine. He must picture to himself the men who have been there before him, and whose names are inscribed upon that adamantine pillar upon which time wastes its fury in vain,—he must go there, not merely to read and to hear lectures, for these are but dry and formal drudgeries; he must go to wonder in the day and to meditate and worship in the night, in places where every stone tells a tale, and the echo of every arch is the memorial of a mighty spirit. The London University could not, until nearly a thousand years were written on its front, acquire this solemn and spirit-stirring grandeur; and for that reason the London University could not awaken the soul and kindle it up to genius.

Secondly, the London University has no literary award in its gift. True, it may give medals, as medals are given by your Royal and Un-Royal Societies; but these are not the talismans by which to open the chambers of Science, or to let in the light of inspiration. Go to the Babylonian Societies, which are now in the habit of purchasing genius with such prices, mark if they can even cheapen mediocrity with their silver and their gold; and when you have contemplated the insignificant candidate and the dull Mæcenas, if you have any perception of the truth of imma-

terial and immortal things in you, you will see the spirit of genius standing aloof, indignant and in scorn, saying to the intellectual Simonist, “Thy money perish with thee;” and calling from some little village, or lone glade, a peasant boy who shall put the whole band to shame.

The London University could give no literary name that would count,—that would be held in any sort of estimation either abroad or at home. I grant, that abstractedly there is nothing in degrees, and that like the sun, they shine upon the wise man and the fool; but notwithstanding, there is much in them to attract the respect and the veneration of mankind. The different gown and wig which a judge wears when he ceases to be a pleader and ascends the bench, have nothing in them abstractedly; but take them away and clothe his Lordship as you would clothe a plain man in the jury-box, and the dignity of justice would be lowered to a prodigious extent. The black coif which the judge puts on when he is to doom a fellow-creature to the death, has in it substantially nothing more than the same taffeta of a lady’s mantle as she trips along the street and all men smile and are delighted; but when he places it on his head and proceeds to his solemn duty, there is an awful and a wholesome silence pervading the court. In like manner, though the forms and the titles that are

given at an University be nothing in themselves, yet when you couple them with the circumstances, they do inspire a love and a veneration of learning which could not be inspired by plain men, in plain apparel, and with plain names, however long; or however successfully they might have studied. From the want of these honours, no man destined for any profession to which literary honours give celebrity, could well attend the London University; and therefore, whatever may be the extent and splendour of the building, and whatever the names and number of the classes, it would be nothing else than a common academy, differing in no wise from those already in existence, except in its magnitude, and the superiority of its teaching.

The people of Scotland are a people fond of education, and because of the facility with which literary titles can, or could be obtained, at some of the Universities, degrees there are at a greater discount compared with those in England, than the paper roubles of the Autocrat of all the Russias are compared with the silver. If, therefore, there was any country in which Universities, similar to that projected in London, would have succeeded, Scotland should have been that country. They were tried. They call them Academies, indeed, but some of those Academies were incorporated by royal charter, and at most of them provision was

made for a course of education—at least of scientific education—as extended and as perfect as that which is given at the Scottish Universities. Well, what was the result? Why, the higher classes were thronged for a few years; but when the students went to pursue the learned professions, they found that all they had acquired at the Academies went for nothing; and thus the high hopes of the founders flitted away, and the institutions took their natural place as schools for the education of men of business. They who pretend to hope for a different destiny to the London University would require to establish the position upon some speciality of the case, which lies not within the scope of general reasoning or common experience.

Thirdly, London is not the place for an University, even though the value of learned honours should be more exposed to counterfeiting by an increase of the number of mints. Wherever an University is to succeed and be splendid, and therefore useful, it must so overtop every thing else, as to be the subject of general conversation, and the object of general admiration. Even since Edinburgh built for herself the palaces, and arrogated to herself the name of 'The Athens'—since she became more proud of herself as a city than as a seat of learning—her University has been upon

the decline ; and since Aberdeen occupied so conspicuous a place among the commercial towns in Scotland, we find no Beatties and Gregorys there. Now, if these happen in places where the idol of the day is some chapman provost, or at the most some broken-down peer, the slenderness of whose income prevents him from wintering in the metropolis, how can we expect that a University could flourish in Babylon, overshadowed as it would be by the gold of the east, and the glories of the west ?

Fourthly—and the argument in this case is from the evidence of facts; establishments somewhat approximating the nature of Universities have been already tried in Babylon, and not one of them has succeeded. Take the modern ones : The Surrey Institution,—what is it now ? A place of nocturnal wine-drinking and wassailing for the idle. The Royal Institution,—whoever speaks of it—whoever attends it—in what way does it make an impression on the public mind ? The London Institution,—I question whether, independently of the two or three persons who get salaries there, and the men who dine, (if it be a dining establishment,) there be a single individual that mentions its name. Gresham College, with its eleven thousand a year, and its professors of divinity, law, physic, mathematics, rhetoric, geometry, astronomy, and

music—what does it do? Does it convert the Jews of Capel-court? Does it make clear the principle of *meum* and *tuum* there? Does it cast the water of chicanery in the land of bargain-making—inspire the men of trade with a knowledge of the celestial bodies—make the wranglers at four o'clock speak in mood or figure—or breathe one sweet note of music around the whole locality? Not a jot: the men of the Alley go to their gambling, and the men of the Exchange to their merchandize, but nobody goes to the Gresham lectures; and though one-half of the establishment belongs to the Babylonian corporation, it is not long since a motion was made for a committee to inquire whether any such thing as the Gresham College or the Gresham lectures were, or ever had been, in existence?

These four reasons must suffice in the meantime; and if they are satisfactorily answered, I believe I shall be able to find four more.

If, however, the London University shall confine itself to its proper sphere, that of being a superior school, without straining after high names and ideal honours, it may be a very excellent thing, and may be the means of diffusing useful and substantial knowledge with a rapidity and to an extent for which there is no precedent. One grand advantage it might have,—the greater part

of the students might be at their classes during the day, and with their parents in the evening.

Though the censure which in the preceding part of this chapter has been bestowed upon the Babylonian Academies be but too well deserved, as to the common class there are exceptions, and splendid ones,—establishments where men of the first talents and information devote their whole energies to the important task. Among these, I may mention that of Messrs. Rowland and Frederick Hill, first at Hazlewood, near Birmingham, and now at Bruce Castle, Tottenham. They have made the practice of teaching both knowledge and manners a regular study; and as they brought both talents and good-will to the subject, I need hardly add that they have been eminently successful. Their laws are very minute, but their pupils improve wonderfully; which shows that order and system are grand elements of education. The labours of such men cannot be too highly estimated: the details of their plans have been ably given by themselves, and are before the public.

CHAPTER XI.

BABYLONIAN QUACKERY.

—“ He that hath but impudence,
Sure hath to all things great pretence.”

ON a first and superficial view, it may well seem not a little strange that, along with more talent, more integrity in business, and more of the elements both of knowledge and of honesty than are to be found in perhaps any other community of human beings equally numerous, the Babylon should be the very hot-bed of all manner of quackery and imposture—the soil which nurses these to any extent, and in any form ; and which, giving the serpent of deceit the covering and the wings of a dove, enables it to fly to the uttermost parts of the earth, and to poison society wherever it passes.

At first sight, I say, this appears passing strange; but when we come to analyse the Babylonian character, even in these lineaments of it which I have attempted to trace, we find that it is more open to imposition, and more prone, or at least likely, to favour imposition, than the character of any other people. In the Babylon even the native is a stranger—a perfect anchorite, and knows no more of those by whom he is surrounded than if the nearest habitation were in Cornwall. Self is every where the grand object of human exertion, and the grand end to which all human training and education point; but in small societies, or where man has to depend upon his fellows for occupation, for assistance, or for amusement, his selfishness is necessarily broken in upon, and his individual identity, so to speak, is blended with that of others, and instead of running an insulated chain of selfish acts, he is worked up in the drama of his parish or his hamlet, takes his character, and finds the sweetness of his pleasure, and the poignancy of his pain, in that. Thus he must be educated for society, must live for society, must bear a character which society shall scrutinize at all points, and for his safety as well as for that of those about him, he must know and scrutinize the characters of all of whom the society is made up. This, no doubt, has many disadvantages: it wastes

time, because the knowledge which is necessary cannot be obtained without a great deal of gossip; it unfits for very vigorous or very successful business, be that business what it may, because it does not admit of that perfect abstraction and devotion to the main study or the main chance, which is the very soul of success and eminence; it disposes to slander and evil-speaking, both actively and passively, upon very slender grounds; and it produces altogether a caution and littleness of character, which are equally injurious to the higher operations of the mind, and the warmer and more disinterested exercise of the feelings. But while it has all these disadvantages, and a good many more, it is doubtful whether it may not be more conducive to rational enjoyment in the individual; and it is certain that it guards him against both the practising and the suffering of that quackery and deception which can be so successfully played off or suffered in Babylonian society.

Your provincial, though he may not know any thing perfectly, must yet have some knowledge of every thing; whereas your Babylonian, while he is much nearer perfection in his one subject than the other, is far more ignorant of every thing else. The provincial, in short, is an entire machine,—clumsy, I will allow, both in appearance and in working, but still having all the parts necessary

for independent performance ; the Babylonian is a mere part of a machine—a spring, a lever, a wheel, or a peg, as it happens—beautifully fashioned, and admirably adapted for his place, but of no use whatever when removed from it. His education in early youth is confined to a preparation for his business ; in later youth it is confined to the technicality of that business ; as he advances it is still the business,—dashed it may be with the avarice of rounding a certain sum, or the ambition of having a miniature of the world in ten acres of shrubbery, or delving through viands at Guildhall, and dealing decisions at a police office. But still, in all the “seven ages” of his existence, he is oblivious to every part of the mighty mass of Babylon, saving the mud across which his own track lies.

Besides the education of the Babylonian, and the habits of insulation which he acquires, the very mode in which he does business disposes him to be the dupe of imposture. Among those with whom he associates, though there be a continual driving of bargains, and scrambling after gains, there is necessarily a great deal of openness, honour, and punctuality, in the performance of engagements when once entered into. The different persons among whom what is called a “connexion” subsists, keep the strictest faith with each other, as the only means of enabling them to carry on their

transactions with success; and thus, as they have no suspicion among themselves, they are not disposed—at least not so much disposed, to suspect others, even though they had time and capacity, both of which are in many instances wanting.

Farther, the number of strangers who are continually visiting the Babylon, and who, while putting on their wonder and amazement at its magnitude and varied contents, put off, or at least cannot exercise, their provincial prudence, give a vast scope to quackery; and as their Babylonian friends, even when they happen to have such, know just as little as to who is a quack, or what is an imposition, as they do themselves, they are left without counsel in a situation where they cannot profit by their own local sagacity, even though they were left free to the exercise of it.

In this state of ignorance, both as to persons and things, any man who has cunning and impudence, and abandonment of principle enough, may undertake, and to a very great extent succeed, in almost any thing that has a name, or for which a name can be invented. Let there be but a showy establishment, appearances of wealth and respectability, an imposing address, and abundance of assertion, no matter how utterly false, and the issue ceases to be a matter of any doubt. So much is this the case, that it is extremely doubtful

whether, in any business, and more especially in any profession which requires real or assumed talents or information, the persons who are most before the public, possess the greatest fame, and amass the greatest wealth, be not the veriest dunces of the whole in every thing but the art of imposition—the practice of that impudence of which the theory is so clearly laid down in the quotation at the commencement of this chapter. So far as my observation has gone, and though I may have been wrong, I have not been idle, I have found in every class of the Babylonians men walking in secret—in oblivion and comparative poverty, as it were, to whose hands those at the head of the class were unworthy to hold water; and that while the former in reality did the work, the latter reaped all the reward.

Patriots making a noise, lauded in the Babylonian prints, and the echo taken up throughout the Island, and yet, upon scrutinizing their characters, I never found that they knew one principle or practised one virtue coming within the name of patriotism. From such men the cause of Freedom has sustained more injury than from all its open opponents; and it were well if some test could be procured by which no man should be allowed to assume that character, even upon a newspaper foundation, without a certainty that he

both knew and practised a little of that about which he ranted,—and ranted for the most base and selfish of all purposes.

I would not stain my page by the mention of their names,—even although the law of libel—that grand *Magna Charta* of quacks and impostors, were torn from the statute-book; but I could, from the most unquestionable evidence, draw such characters of them, at whose feet the misguided people of this country are now worshipping, as would make the lying oracles of the day seem strange indeed; and which would lead any unbiassed person to conclude that the conservation of popular privilege would be as safe in the cells of Newgate as in certain other places where it is the burden of each man's story.

The history of the Babylonian bubbles, which are bursting every day with so discordant a noise and so mephitic effluvia, affords abundant instances of that species of quackery of which I am now speaking; and were a full and an honest retribution for this within the compass of the existing laws, a certain tree would drop its fruit more abundantly, largely, and ripened for corruption, than it has done for many days. Nor is it in these combinations of impudence, these extensive organizations of fraud, that the evil of this description of quacks works. Here it is cruel enough,

inasmuch as it has impoverished many individuals, and been the ruin of not a few; but with all its mischief here, it is salutary; because it strikes at a principle, or rather at a want of principle, which is in itself vicious and reprehensible. What was it, I would ask, that drew the minor jobbers into those absurd speculations, in which they have been duped and cheated by the major ones? Was it a desire of honest gain? Was it a wish to forward any thing useful? Was it a hope that the scheme for which they were advancing their money was in any way to promote the honest industry, or better the real condition of any portion of mankind? No such thing. It was a desire to possess that for which they had not worked—a mere spirit of gambling—a dip into *hell*, as it were; and they remained there and got their punishment only because they had not the knowledge of the place as well as the love of it.

That many of the honourable and right honourable names which those quackeries have tended to clothe with other and less seemly attributes, were won over to them with other views than the mere love of gain, I am ready and willing to allow. But there is a quackery of glory as well as a quackery of gain; and though the former be not so sordid as the latter, it always appears much more silly when the two are unmasked together;

and though the feeling be dashed with a strong admixture of pity, we cannot help contemplating the degradation of human nature, as deep in a noble lord or a noted patriot, who sells or lends his name to sharpers, as in those sharpers who, under the cover of that name, contrive to cheat less cunning sharpers through the medium of the common vice of cupidity.

Even in the worst of those impostors, the end has a wonderful tendency to justify and even to sanctify the means; and as in national contentions, success becomes justice, and triumph right; people—and the Babylonian people, for the reasons stated, more than any other—pay their court to him who is elevated—or *notorious*, if that be a better word—without any retrospective glance at the means of elevation. And he who but a few years past may have been stigmatised for every species of meanness and vice, and escaped the gallows only through excess of impudence, may be cheered and applauded as one well qualified to teach morality and justice to the Senate and Government of the country. This may, indeed, come from purity of election—from that purity which does for a small bribe in private, what it is too cowardly to do in public for a large one; and if so, reform should be begun and perfected in another quarter than that to which we are accustomed to look.

If so much deceit and imposition, so barefaced impudence and unrestrained knavery, be found in this nominally elevated portion of Babylonian society, it is natural to suppose that a similar portion descends through the mass; and though the proof be no way pleasant, experience verifies the supposition. In every profession and every trade in which there can be the least mystery, or where fraud can supply head and heart, we find the quack and the successful man are synonymous terms. Take the *profession* of divinity. Who are the idols of the multitude? Are they the men that set clearly before mankind their duties, and reprove them honestly for their offences? No,—they are they who prophane the temple by putting on the sock and the buskin, and who insult the presence of the Almighty by converting the house of prayer into a spouting-shop—a theatre for the display, not of classical learning and graceful eloquence, but of distorted ranting and disgraceful grimace. In the law, the case is somewhat different; because the lawyer has not the same shield as the preacher. Both must speak; but the lawyer must speak so as to guard himself against the logic, or the sophistry of a reply; and though he may hide it as much as ever he can, still he is unable altogether to conceal the truth and the fact. But though there be in the legal profession this inherent security against quackery,

it is not perfect in its operations; because gowns and wigs of certain forms and textures sometimes must submit to a power over which talents and integrity have no control; and because, even in the halls of justice, other things than walls have ears, and those ears are sometimes most miraculously open to the folly of one pleader, and deaf to the wisdom of another. Still, notwithstanding this imperfection, and it is an imperfection, chargeable, of course, not upon any individual or individuals, but upon human nature generally, the upper department of the legal profession is perhaps more free from quackery than any other within the Babylon.

One profession, which has a sweet and a sounding name, contains its full share of imposture; and that profession is perhaps more, strictly speaking, *a profession*, than any other that could be named—the profession of charity. Of that I shall have occasion to speak hereafter; but the chapter of quackery would be incomplete were this section omitted; and of all those great men who buy the year's indulgence and the festival's thanks by the fifty pounds subscription, it would be curious to discriminate between those who are actuated by vanity—or it may be by something deeper and darker—and those who are actuated by motives genuinely charitable.

Certainly one of the fields where the most abundant seed of quackery is sown, and the most abundant harvest has been reaped, is the literary world—in its two great departments of book-making and book-praising. Before the art was brought to so much perfection, and the principle of the division of labour was so happily, or at least so successfully, applied to it, an author and a critic were very different beings from what they are in these times. A book too, I may remark *en passant*, was a different sort of production; but that has been discussed at some length already. Formerly, an author was supposed not only to know something of the subject of his book, but to know a good deal more of it; or, at any rate, to have devoted much more time to the study of it, than the majority of those by whom the book was to be read; and though there was always a little borrowing and lending in this vocation, still every book was in the majority of its substance the real property and production of the author. This part of the business is completely changed, and every month finds us a work ushered into the world under a feigned name, and by a person who neither knows the principles of the subject of that book, nor of the language in which it is written. We have systems of Geography by men who would require to ask at the coach-office the route to

Holyhead or Penzance,—Geometry, by persons who do not know the difference between an assertion and a demonstration,—Natural Philosophy, by those who have hardly learned to read,—Mechanics, by persons who barely know the difference between a wheel-barrow and a steam-engine,—and, in short, all sort of science issuing from heads so completely “refined from reason,” that not one of them knows or cares in the least about the subject upon which he illuminates the world, farther than that a certain number of leaves torn from a certain number of books will compose a volume of the size for which he has agreed with the bookseller.

In the oral part of science it is nearly the same, and he who takes upon himself the function of lecturing is as equally guiltless of all knowledge as he who gleans a book. When “the lecture time” banishes dogs and bears, and monkeys and devils, and men more hideous than either, from the boards of the theatres, those of the minor ones are usually taken possession of by “lecturers upon the sublime science of astronomy.” But what lecturers! what a science! The lecturer is no doubt a voice, but it is “the voice of one crying in the wilderness”—in the dreary desolation of complete ignorance. Not one syllable of what he utters does he understand, or even pretend to

understand. No; he brings his lectures ready made, just as certain eloquent divines do their *conciencias selectæ*; then he calls in the aid of the lamp-manufacturer and the scene-painter: the former makes him a “solar system,” which, hung by invisible threads from the ceiling, are made to perform all sorts of motions but the right ones; and the latter builds him a firmament out of an old drop-scene, blackened and drilled into holes, somewhat after the fashion of a badly projected planisphere, but generally with the wrong side turned to the audience. Should the operator be a philosopher only—a Sidrophel, this furnishing is enough; but as it very frequently happens that the Babylonian Lenten Astronomer plays buffo all the rest of the year, he must have a spice of the sublime and the beautiful of his other calling introduced, for the purpose of uniting allegory and colour to that music of the spheres which some underling is extracting the while from a rickety piano or an asthmatic fiddle. When this is the case: Enter the several constellations in *propria persona*, upon as many shreds of old blankets, having the twinkling fires in each harmoniously lit by a single farthing candle. Thus gifted and furnished, the modern Sidrophel seizes his wand of office, and frets his little hour upon the stage,—although the paper-kite and turnip-lanthorn which

the elder sage discerned in telescopic vision were far more lively emblems of planetary majesty than the wares of the modern. Indeed, the antient is superior to the modern in every described or describable quality save one, and in that one there is a happy and beautiful coincidence.

“ —As those fowls that live in water
Are never wet, he did but smatter :
Whate'er he labour'd to appear,
His understanding still was clear.”

Nor is this description of quackery confined to those holders-forth of the holidays ; for it runs in a brisk and copious stream throughout at least all the nether empire of pseudo-philosophy ; and at one institution or another, one cannot fail of receiving demonstrative evidence every week of how little wisdom is necessary for the pretended instruction of the world.

It would be endless, however, to attempt following all the details of Babylonian quackery ; and it may be affirmed that, in like manner as every solid substance has a shadow, so has every virtue and every vice of the Babylon a counterfeit ; and that, just as is the case with the shadow, the counterfeit always becomes the more conspicuous the stronger the light in which the whole is seen.

The disposing causes to this mass of imposture I have already stated, and I may now remark that there is a concurrent cause which is as powerful in itself, and which produces a still greater effect in consequence of the constancy of its operation. He who said—"If one man suffer another to repeat daily to him any story, however absurd or nonsensical, will believe it firmly, and act upon the belief before the year is out," displayed a very profound knowledge of the human character in general, and of the Babylonian character in particular. Even among those accustomed to thinking, it is true that,

" —Like the world, men's jobber-noles
Turn round upon their *ears* the poles ;
And what they're confidently told,
By no sense else can be controll'd ;"

and much more must this be the case where all is action and there is no thought. Accordingly, a Babylonian quack has only to assert with a sufficiency of impudence, that he is in possession of the qualities by which he means to profit, and he may make sure, long before the twelve months are out, of finding abundance, not only to believe him, but to reward him for his impudence. And here again the press, which has so many good qualities, offers a facility for the deceiving of the public, and enabling the deceiver to profit, to which

there is hardly any limit. Your needy newspaper manufacturer, like every other Babylonian, is entire and unrestrained in his worship of Mammon. In public principle, as he calls it, this fool may be the burden of his song, in opposition to that fool ; and he may laud the man who *would be* corrupt in the opposition to him who *is* corrupt, or *vice versa*, according to whim or accident, or any other of the small forces that dispose and influence that portion of a man's exertions, which is no way connected with his main object. But, even in its purest forms, the press has its preserve —its province within which no principle enters, save those of making money and keeping the weather-gage of the libel law. This portion is open to every quack who can afford to pay the fee; and when he sets forth his pretensions, no question as to his practice is ever asked. Let the veriest fool go to a needy newspaper-office and tender a scroll setting forth that he is gifted by nature and study for communicating the most sound and wholesome of all possible advice ; or let the veriest villain exhibit in like manner his pretensions to be an instructor in morality ; and you never once hear one question as to the capacity of the former, or the honesty of the latter. No: the only matter of investigation is—how many lines of print shall be in the lie, and for how many shillings these can

be put into circulation; and if the bringer has ability and disposition to table down the sum, then, ere the clock has tolled another day, his quackery appears before the public in the semblance, and with all the solemnity of truth.

It is to be regretted that an engine which actually does so much good, and the good of which might, under more judicious management, be so much increased, as the Babylonian press, should yet be allowed to earn a very great part of its subsistence by prostitution of the most reprehensible kind,—prostitution, which at once drains the pockets of the public, insults their understandings, and poisons their morals. It is true, that when we look at a newspaper, and find in it more printing than could in any other form be afforded for ten times the sum, while more than half the price—small as it is—is paid to the public revenue in duty, we must admit that it would be an injury to the proprietor to deprive him of any portion of his gains upon squeamish or fastidious grounds; but really it would tend much to the public advantage, if the law, in as far as respects political and other speculative opinions, were a little relaxed, and some of the severity transferred to the advertising department. If the *truth* is to be a libel in public matters, and in matters of opinion on the character of individuals, it would surely be

no harm to make *falsehood* a libel on the part of those of whose impudence the press for its own gains is the channel, and subject the publisher as well as the writer in penalties whenever the matter advertised happened to be false and deceitful. This would stop an immense torrent of quackery; and, what is more essential, it would preserve the young and unsuspecting from a flood of impurity, which cannot but produce the most mischievous effects.

In this way the press bears a close analogy to the atmospheric fluid. That fluid is the universal breath of life, and by means of the action of the heat and moisture which it puts in circulation, the cause of life itself: but it is the vehicle of death also; blight and mildew, all the disease-producing miasma, and the wide-wasting pestilence, come upon the wings of the wind. Just so with the press: it is *that* which has given the human mind that health and growth of which we boast so much in these times, and which also carries the plague and pollution of Babylonian quackery over the country.

With the reflecting part of mankind, this constant advertising produces an effect quite contrary to that which the quack intends. "Good wine needs no bush," even though that bush costs nothing but cutting from the thicket at the house-

end ; and if a fortune is spent on the bush, that must come out of the pockets of the customers, and so the quality of the wine must be deteriorated to the whole amount of what the bush costs, as well as to a profit on that amount equal to the ordinary profit on the same sum laid out in augmenting the quantity, or improving the quality, of the wine.

To suppose otherwise would be in direct opposition to the grand principle upon which trade of every kind is carried on, and indeed upon which all human labour proceeds. To get the greatest possible return for the least possible expenditure, is the aim of all men ; and virtue and vice, wisdom and folly, in all their numerous varieties, merely vary the object of that aim, and the mode in which it is taken. These may be included in the two great classes of the pursuers of glory, and the pursuers of gain. In trade generally there is not much glory, and in quackery there is none whatever. There may be degrees of quackery,—a quack may be, as among quacks, a good man, and he may even do good ; but still the good done can never be the object. Glory, of whatever kind it may be, will not hide ; it exists only in public, and therefore the man who pursues it cannot help standing forward and avowing himself in his own proper character.

It is thus quite clear that the advertiser must make the same profit upon what he spends in advertising, as he does upon an equal sum expended in any other way. He must, indeed, make much more; for, taking the average size and price of advertisements, a full third of all the money expended upon them goes to the public revenue in a direct tax; as, for instance, if any one shall pay yearly on the average three thousand pounds for advertising, one thousand of it goes to the revenue. Wherefore, of all the parts of the cost, at which any thing is offered to the public, advertising is the dearest; and in as far as it is this, in so far must the article, whatever it is, which is constantly advertised, be worse than that upon which no such expense is incurred. Any thing that is new, any thing of which the majority of the people may be presumed to be ignorant, may be advertised with great advantage to the public, and time may be saved, as well as valuable information given in this way; but when the advertising is constant, one may very safely conclude that the thing advertised is of very little value.

But though these facts be so plain and palpable that one is almost ashamed to state them, yet the quantity of quackery in the Babylon, and the success with which that quackery meets, are great beyond what any one, who has not studied the subject,

could be made to believe. So much is this the case, that there are in the Babylon itself very few persons who are not either quacks themselves, or have been in some way or other the dupes of quackery. Sin and saintship, too, are equally within its scope; and the professing devotee and the infidel have just as much claim to the title as those who profess to renovate the decays of age, or remove the consequences of accident. Among the regular, the beneficed clergy of the Babylon, there is not indeed much quackery, because their money is found to them without it; and thus any thing in addition, of which they may be desirous, comes within the category of glory with which quackery, in any of its money-getting forms, cannot have the least affinity. The beneficed parson has the pudding provided for him; all, therefore, that he has to labour or scramble for is the praise; and as there is a kind of superiority in the very fact of his profession, without any reference to either his virtues or his talents, he has less occasion to drudge for applause than any other man.

With him who has no benefice, and especially with him who gets his "call to the labour of the vine-yard" by that mysterious and wonder-working afflatus, which can, without human learning or human means, qualify a "closer" of shoes for "opening" the gates of paradise, the case stands marvel-

lously different. He is of stern and unbending necessity a quack; and while he stands with hair on end and mouth agape, swinging his arms, kicking his legs, and rending at once the ears and hearts of his auditory, and the legitimate English of his King, he is as much an empiric as the philosopher of the pail, or the healer of disease by pounded brick-bats and ditch-water. The amount of this description of quackery is very great, and the effects of it are equally pernicious. It is addressed to the ignorant—the morally helpless, but not the vicious—and when once they have become tainted by it there is but little chance of recovery. Other species of quackery may be more costly in money, and more revolting to decency in the way in which they are perpetrated; but as this one acts chiefly upon the minds of the poor, the evil that it produces is very great.

Those quacks are chiefly found in the lanes and alleys of the suburbs; and wherever you find a small barn-like tenement, with some Bible-name painted or plastered on it, you may be sure that you have found the theatre of a preaching quack,—some one who does, in reality, far more injury to the cause of religion than if he were in the most open and audacious manner to impugn its doctrines. The law is specific, and when taken up by some one who has more zeal than knowledge,

or rather, perhaps, who is himself a religious quack of another kind, very bold against those by whom the precepts of religion are derided, and its rites scoffed at; but against those by whom it is burlesqued and travestied, there are no proceedings whatever.

The curative quackeries, however, as they have a sort of right of primogeniture, are probably the most numerous, and certainly the most destructive. Any farther than the mechanical operations of surgery are concerned, the healing art is a mystery, not only to those upon whom it is practised, but to those by whom it is administered. No farther proof of this is needed than the changes of fashion in nostrums and specifics, not only in the change of years, but in the change of place or person. A sovereign remedy in one street, is held to be a poison in the next; and what one practitioner enjoins you to avoid as your bane, another recommends you to fly to as your antidote. Under such uncertainty, and considering the anxiety which all people have for the preservation of their health, it is very natural to suppose, that any thing which any body is capable of sufficiently advertising, as a preventive or cure of any one malady, real or imaginary, is sure to find abundance of purchasers; and this accounts for the endless array of pretended curatives and cures.

The mere fact of taking out a patent for one of these preparations is a proof, that getting it sold is the only object of the patentee.

The mystery in which the whole of this profession is wrapt up, and the cabalistic terms that still continue to be used in it, render it impossible to draw a line of distinction, and say, "here regular practice ends and quackery begins." A patent for it is presumptive evidence of a quack nostrum, and a disguised and mysterious practice is presumptive evidence of a quack. In neither case, however, is the evidence conclusive; for if the medicine be effective, or the practice successful, the form, the manner, or the name, is of very little consequence. If a man sells what he professes to sell, or does what he professes to do, all is substantially fair and honourable; but still as he chooses the forms under which other men deceive, there is no preventing a certain degree of suspicion, in those who have not a personal knowledge that the reality, which this injudicious cloak covers, is fair and honourable.

Though one cannot, without feelings of a very unpleasant nature, contemplate this universal diffusion of quackery, it would be a difficult matter to get it eradicated. But the moral corruption of it is dreadful. A man whose profession is habitual deception, has the whole foundation of morality

taken away, and cannot be better in the average of the parts than he is in the whole. Regret may thus be felt as regards the public: as for the parties themselves, they are not worth a thought; and there can be no question that, in the forlorn parts of their lives, when disease pains them, or old age consumes, they pay the full cost of what they have earned by craft and deceit. I know not, but I should suppose, that the last long and lonely night which one of these gentry spends in the possession of his mental powers—afraid to look back—with the curses of those whom he has robbed and ruined ringing in his ears—death coming to close quarters—and something awful beyond, because seen only in vision, and through the colouring and distorting media of terror and despair, must be far more dreadful to be borne than that of a felon whose death on the ensuing morning is, in human law, to extenuate his crime. But the law will not give the other the blessing of this tranquillity in the hour of death; and so, if there were not something in the practice which withered all the nature and feelings of men in them, of a surety they would all hang themselves the moment that the tide of life runs low.

END OF THE SECOND VOLUME.

LONDON :
PRINTED BY S. AND R. BENTLEY,
Dorset Street, Fleet Street.







